



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_43769
Title of the Manuscript:	Antifungal Potentials of Acacia nilotica, Ziziphus jujube Linn and Lawsonia Inermis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction part need to be extended by inclusion of</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Problem statement • Literature Review • Hypothesis • Proposed Solution • Objectives <p>There is not much background information about the studies done earlier in the plant materials. To be included in Introduction part with few references.</p> <p>There is no uniformity in references (For example, 2 and 10), there is no page numbers. Some references are in different format. It must be standardized according to the Journal format.</p> <p>In Table No 1, The superscripts ^{a b c d e f g} are need to be defined at the bottom of the Table. Not clear that which one is compared with which one, and the significance also.</p> <p>There are some Grammatical Errors in the Manuscript. Need to be checked throughout the Manuscript.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The introduction was updated and it covers all the highlighted points 2. More information was included in the introduction section. 3. The references were updated according to journal's guidelines. 4. Corrected, thanks for the observation. 5. The manuscript was thoroughly checked and the grammar was improved
Minor REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	