SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRB_42223**
Title of the Manuscript:	**THE EFFECT OF PROTEIN TYROSINE PHOSPHATASE 1B INHIBITOR ON GLUCONEOGENESIS INVITRO**
Type of Article:	**Original Research Article**

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	**Authors' response to final evaluator's comments**
Figure 2 is still inacceptable. Two points only for the graph. **The authors did not the importance of the data of protein concentration in Table 1.** **Finally, Figures 4 and 5 could be merged in one figure with different panel (A, B, C…etc). In this case, the figure caption will be "Fluorescent intensity of unstained control, stained control and treated HepG2 cells".**	The authors will convert data in figure 2 and 3 to words written in the paragraph (blue highlighted paragraph in the text). Table 1 is just to show the difference in protein concentration in control and treated cells in addition to lipid detection. This assay is not a main point, just a supplement in the paper. Therefore, the authors put table in the section "3.2 Proliferation Assay" better than separate in a difference section. In section "3.3 Nile red fluorescence assay", the authors agree to merge figure 4 and 5 together. The paragraph in this section was also rewritten (green highlighted paragraph in the text). .