



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_38695
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDY OF MINERAL AND PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF SOIL AND LACTUCA SATIVA GROWN IN THE VICINITY OF CEMENT COMPANY OF NORTHERN NIGERIA (SOKOTO CEMENT) AND USMANU DANFODIYO UNIVERSITY SOKOTO (KWALKWALAWA)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- It is suggested that the discussion about the tables and figures should appear in the text before the appearance of the respective tables. Information presented in the tables should not be repeated in the discussion about the table. Tables should be explanatory enough to be understandable without any text reference. In the text should only appear the discussion and not repetition of data of the table. - Materials and Methods (synthesize) Already published methods should be mentioned with references. - 5 line of the abstrat: <i>Lactuca sativa</i> (italic). - Figure 1: Is figure 1 important? If yes, it should be mentioned in the text. If not, it should be withdrawn (No tables or figures should be given without discussion or reference inside the text). - The discussion may be better: because the moisture content is very low when compared with the control sample? Because in quantitative phytochemical analysis significant difference (observed between the two groups)? - Conclusion: "Our results revealed that the alkaline dust emitted from the cement plant causes uptake of metals by the plant and decreased phytochemical concentrations" Is this statement 100% correct?	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Poliana Guerino Marson
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Tocantins, Brazil