



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_38695
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE STUDY OF MINERAL AND PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF SOIL AND LACTUCA SATIVA GROWN IN THE VICINITY OF CEMENT COMPANY OF NORTHERN NIGERIA (SOKOTO CEMENT) AND USMANU DANFODIYO UNIVERSITY SOKOTO (KWALKWALAWA)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Such experiments were needed to keep the awareness against such industrial activities because the chemicals are entering into the food chain and affects the entire food chain causing so many ailments. • I critically read and analysed the entire manuscript, found some mistakes. So, rewrite the abstract, introduction, results and discussions. • Usually around the cement factory calcium content is more and affects the bone system. • But I am strongly appealing the plants grow around cement industry not safe for the consumption because biomagnifications process takes place in the tissues of the body and causes so many disease., But in the abstract, the last sentence states the plants grown at cement industries might be safe for consumption but it is not safe. • In the determination if metals mention the AAS model and mention the different wavelengths of metals. • The conclusion part of this experiment is aggressive and is carrying the message to the society and also to the young researchers. • The author must write the acknowledge the funding agency. • Check the references present in the text and in the references section and must be according to the guidelines of the journal. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes and thank you • We made some corrections to all parts of the manuscript • This is justified by Table 4 and 5 of our paper • It was a typo, it should be "not", we have corrected it • Noted and included • Conclusion is corrected • Acknowledgements are noted, however, authors are responsible for funding the research. • References cited in text and bibliography are corrected according to Journal format
Minor REVISION comments	-----	
Optional/General comments	-----	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>