



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_39587
Title of the Manuscript:	PERFORMANCE OF BROILER CHICKENS FED BAOBAB, AMARANTHUS AND TIGER NUT DURING A HOT-DRY SEASONb
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The subject of manuscript is original but the manuscript did not write according to scientific article writing techniques or rules, and hypothesis is not clear. For example; the material and methods are not enough. The male or female number???Equal!! -Analyzed analysis of experimental diets?? -Vitamin C, E and A contents of diets???Calculated or analysed?? -Vitamin premix??Commercial or special preparing for this experiment. The premix contains Vitamin E (????Which form) and Vitamin A!!!! -The last group (mixture) why 0.9 level!!! -Two control groups; positive and negative groups (control and T2) -Housing???Not enough information!!!type of house -Chemical analysis method?????in feed and excreta -crude sources of vitamins?? Crude is not suitable word, natural additives -Ethics and animal welfare considering in trial. -The replicate number is not enough -the genotype!!!Anak strain, compare with the other studies, it is difficult in view of discussion. -the tables should be reordered. Table 2 should be given as graphic. P<0.0001 is not, P<0.05 or P<0.01 or P≤0.05 -The identification of experimental groups should be given as dip note at tables. As a result; The manuscript is suitable for publication after revisions.	Commercial To make them equal T₁ is the control while T₂ is the diet that contained synthetic vitamin C It shall be provided Natural shall be adopted You should be talking about Table 3 and not Table 2 P<0.05
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		