7

8

1

SWOT Analysis of Large Cardamom in Ilam

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

Large Cardamom is high value and low volume crops with highest export potential in Ilam as well as in Nepal. The district was selected purposively for the analysis of external (Write Internal) and external factors of production. The primary data were collected during February-July 2017. The strength as main internal factors of this crop were its high price and higher profit, high demand in international market, traditional knowledge and experience, less capital investment requirement for its cultivation, and generating rural women employments. However, the other internal factor as its weakness also comprises of high price fluctuation, lack of improved knowledge on orchard management, lack of price information to farmers, low yield due to very old orchard and no certified variety as per the altitude domains. The analysis of external factors as opportunities of the crop were found such as establishment of essential oil extraction industry, development of variety according to the altitude, production and distribution of disease free saplings, conduction of research and development/training, and potentiality of land area expansion for cultivation. Similarly, the other external factor as threat of the crop included declining its productivity due to diseases, price fluctuation, lack of technical manpower, drying of irrigation water resources, and propagation from mother rhizomes. The crop was found very popular due to its better strength and opportunity. Hence, government should be given special emphasis to overcome its weaknesses and threats through research and developmental program.

District, Nepal

9

10 Keywords: Opportunities, Prioritization, Strength, Weakness, Threat

11 1. INTRODUCTION

Large Cardamom (*Amomum subulatum* Roxb.) belongs to the family Zingiberaceace under the order Scitaminae and is confined to the sub-Himalayan range of eastern Nepal, Northern

14 India (Sikkim and west (Write capital) Bengal) and Bhutan. It is known as Alaichi (अलैंची (in

15 Nepali, *Badi Alaichi* in Hindi and renounced as black gold, black cardamom, queen of spices.

16 It is evergreen, perennial, herbaceous plant grown in north facing hill slope. It is most 17 important cash as well as spice crop of Himalayan region including Nepal, India (Sikkim and Darjeeling hills), and Bhutan¹. They also stated that, the farming is more suitable in the 18 19 slopes of hills and mountains where the soil is competitively soft and is formed by thin silty 20 rocks, which are easily eroded. They have also mentioned that the traditional farming system 21 would aggravate the extent of soil erosion, result in permanent deforestation, and would 22 worsen the environmental destruction. In such areas, perennial and shade loving crop like large cardamom would be the best alternative. It would not need frequent tillage, would 23 24 prevent deforestation in such areas, and encourage people to plant trees. This would 25 support biodiversity conservation.

Large Cardamom (LC) is indigenous spice growing in moist deciduous and semi-evergreen forests of Nepal in an altitude ranging from 500-2000 meter above sea level (masl). This plant is evergreen perennial which are growing up to 2.5 m height. The rhizomes are a dull red color which gives rise to leafy shoots and spikes. The rhizomes are subterranean in nature. It is cross pollinated crop and bumble bees (*Bombus haemorrhoidalis* Smith) are the
 main pollinator².

Cultivation of LC is spreading over the suitable area of hilly districts and its cultivation has
 reached over 51 districts⁴. It is said to be one of the oldest indigenous species to the eastern
 hills of Nepal hence it is also known as Nepal Cardamom.

LC is one of the oldest spice crop and its Ayurvedic value. It was known to Greeks and Romans as *Amomum* during which is used in various disease conditions. It is very much curative for diabetic activity⁵. The seeds are aromatic pungent, stimulant, stomachic, alexipharmic and astringent. It is used to treat stomachic pain, indigestion vomiting, malaria and high alcohol consumption. As a spice, it is also flavoring agent, and preventive and curative agent for sore throats, lung congestion, digestive disorders, and pulmonary tuberculosis in Unani and Ayurvedic medicine⁶.

42 Nepal is the worlds' largest producer and supplying more than 50% of the world's market demand⁷. Total area, production, and productivity of Nepal was 17,002 ha, 6521 t, and 522 43 kg ha⁻¹ respectively^{8 & 9}. It is a low volume, high value and nonperishable crop with medicinal 44 properties. It was introduced from Sikkim into Nepal long time back¹⁰. More than 95% of the 45 produced is exported and out of total export, 99 percent exported only to India and remaining 46 quantity was exported to other country such as Pakistan, UAE, Singapore, Bangladesh, 47 China and other countries¹¹. The area under LC plantation is growing slowly and steadily. 48 However, in the recent years, its' production has been drastically affected by the outbreak of 49 50 rhizome/clump rot (Pythium aphanidermatum) disease. The decline in production is also 51 attributed to viral disease like foorkey and Chhirkey. The production of LC in Ilam also has 52 significantly decreased. As a result, farmers have started moving towards plantation of tea 53 orchard.

54 Based on the review, it was found that most of the work has done either particular aspects or 55 many years back. However, none of the works has done particular on its external and 56 internal factors. So, there exists substantial information gap in relation to the exact situation 57 of LC. Hence, this study was designed to analyze the strenghth and weaknesses (internal 58 factors) and opportunities and threats (external factors) of LC in general in the llam district. 59 Finally, suggest the possible intervention to be adopted by the government and development 60 agencies for further research and developmental work in the nation.

61 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

62 Ilam is the pioneer in LC production and marketing in Nepal, therefore, this district was 63 selected purposively for the SWOT analysis during February-July, 2017. The accessibility of 64 researcher and developmental workers like DADO, UNNATI, and FLCEN at district level was 65 additional reason of selection of the district. For the study, field survey and literature review 66 were conducted along with expert consulatation and Focus Group Discussion (FGD).

67 2.1 SWOT Analysis

68 SWOT is acronyms of Strength, Weakness, Opportunity, Threats which is being used as 69 analysis technique used for the LC farming in the Ilam district. The following steps were 70 followed for the analysis of the internal and external factors of LC.

In first step we listed the strengths and weaknesses of LC farming of Ilam district. Secondly,
 identified their opportunities and threats as per the experienced of the grower farmers.

73 2.2 Prioritization

We identified strength, weaknesses, opportunities and threats through experts' panel meeting with DADOs, NARC scientists, NGOs personnel and traders involved in marketing of LC in the Ilam districts. Then it was prioritized with the FGD with farmers involved in the production of LC. The prioritization was made using following methods¹².

- 78 The prioritization of strength and weaknesses were done as following process:
- Importance: A number 0.01 (less important) to 1.0 (more important) given to each strength and weakness. The summation of all weights must equal to 1.0.
- Rating: The rating score were given from 1 to 3 is to each factor for which 3 were given to major factor and 1 to minor factor of strength. The similar process was done for the weaknesses.
- **Score**: The score was calculated by multiplying importance with rating.
- 85 The prioritization of opportunities and threats were done as following process:
- Importance: A number ranging from of 0.01 to 1.0 were given based on the level of
 impact for example 0.01 given to less impact to 1.0 for very high impact. The
 summation of all weights must equal to 1.0 including opportunities and threats.
- Probability: For the calculation of probability which showing how likely the opportunity or threat were rated from 1-3 based on low probability to 3 high probabilities respectively.
- 92 **Score**: The score was calculated by multiplying importance with probability.

93 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The first of all strength, weaknesses, and then we (Use proper word) identified opportunities and threats through experts panel meeting with government officials and traders as mentioned in the methodology. The identified internal and external factors of LC were listed and verified with the farmers during the FGD with grower farmers of LC of Ilam districts. DADOs, NARC scientists, NGOs personnel and traders involved in marketing of LC research and development in the districts. As given matrix in Figure 1 we found and finalized the followings:

| | Helpful/Positive Factors | Harmful/Negative Factors (Write in bold) |
|----------|---|---|
| Internal | Strengths | Weakness |
| Factors | 1. High unit price of commodity | 1. High price fluctuation |
| | 2. Profit is relatively higher | 2. Lack of disease free saplings |
| | 3. Suitable topography and agro-climate | Insufficient of loan facilities |
| | Cultivating in marginal land | 4. Lack of price information mechanism |
| | Less capital investment required to cultivate | Lack of knowledge on orchard management |
| | 6. Traditional knowledge and experience | 6. Low yield due to very old orchard |
| | 7. High demand in international market | 7. No certified variety as per altitude |
| | 8. World's largest market and top | 8. Weak and insufficient extension services |
| | exporter | 9. Lack of knowledge on cultivation |
| | 9. Generate rural employment for women | 10. Dependency on traditional Bhattis (Dryer) |
| | 10. Long productive life | |
| Externa | Opportunity | Threats |
| I | 1. Potential for land expansion/extension | 1. Declining productivity due to disease |
| Factors | 2. Production and distribution disease free | 2. High fluctuation in price |
| | saplings | 3. Drying/decreasing irrigation water sources |
| | 3. Potential for research, | 4. Adulteration and mixing wild cultivars |
| | development/training | 5. Propagation through mother rhizome |
| | 4. Increasing awareness in postharvest | 6. No cardamom policy in the country |
| | value addition | 7. No technical manpower having academic |
| | 5. Expansion of international market than | degree in cardamom |
| | India | 8. Very old Plantation |
| | 6. Promotion of modified dryer | 9. Forest office has stopped its cultivation |

| 7. Develop technology against diseases 8. Establish industry for extraction of essential oil 9. Develop variety according to altitude 10. Branding in niche market | 10. | Declining international reputation |
|---|-----|------------------------------------|
|---|-----|------------------------------------|

101 Source: Expert panel and Focus Group Discussion

102 **3.1 Prioritization of Strength**

103 The priority ranking of strength which is one of the internal factors of large cardamom 104 enterprise was done by the farmers of llam district. It reveals that, profit is relatively higher, high unit price, and high demand in international ranked first, second and third respectively 105 106 (table 1). High unit price was the main strength of the crop in 2007 by about 34 percent of the respondents while 36 percent of the respondents prioritize cultivation in marginal land in 107 the 2014 in a study¹³ which has found fifth priority in this study. Similarly, they also found LC 108 was helpful to solve the unemployment problem¹⁵ which is found sixth rank in this study. LC 109 requires less capital requirements have found eighth rank in this study which was also 110 supported by the outcomes of the study made by Bhattarai¹³. 111

| 112 Table 1: Priority Ranking of Strength (Intern | nal factor) of LC Enterprise |
|---|------------------------------|
|---|------------------------------|

| SN | Strength | Importance | Rating | Score | Rank |
|----|---|------------|---------------------------------|-------|------|
| 1 | High unit price of commodity | 0.07 | 2.95 <mark>S</mark> (Remove) | 0.207 | Ш |
| 2 | Profit is relatively higher | 0.15 | 2.21 | 0.332 | I |
| 3 | Suitable topography and agro-climate | 0.03 | 1.18 | 0.035 | IX |
| 4 | Cultivating in marginal land | 0.04 | 2.45 | 0.098 | V |
| 5 | Less capital investment required to cultivate | 0.02 | 1.91 | 0.038 | VIII |
| 6 | Traditional knowledge and experience | 0.05 | 2.00 | 0.100 | IV |
| 7 | High demand in international market | 0.05 | 2.20 | 0.110 | |
| 8 | World's largest market and top exporter | 0.03 | 1.14 | 0.034 | Х |
| 9 | Generate rural employment for women | 0.03 | 3.00 | 0.090 | VI |
| 10 | Long productive life | 0.03 | 2.30 | 0.069 | VII |

113 Source: Field survey 2017

114 3.2 Prioritization of Weakness

115 We also find priority ranking of weakness prevailing in the Large Cardamom sector in the districts using three categories of importance, rating and score given by the farmers of the 116 survey locations and finally ranked them. The analysis of ranking found that the first 117 weakness was high price fluctuation of LC price rate while selling. It is not only for the year 118 119 but also within the month and day. This finding was also supported by the traders. The 120 second ranking was found lack of knowledge on orchard management and the third ranked obtained by Lack of price information mechanism to the farmers (table 2). The main 121 122 impediment of the LC farming was problem of disease in 2007 accompanied by lack of availability of disease free saplings in 2014 by study¹³ which we ranked seventh in this study. 123

124 Table 2: Priority Ranking of Weakness (Internal factor) of LC Enterprise

| SN | Weakness | Importance | Rating | Score | Rank |
|----|---|------------|--------|-------|------|
| 1 | High price fluctuation | 0.06 | 2.77 | 0.166 | I |
| 2 | Lack of availability of disease free saplings | 0.04 | 2.06 | 0.082 | VII |

| SN | Weakness | Importance | Rating | Score | Rank |
|----|--|------------|--------|-------|------|
| 3 | Insufficient of loan facilities | 0.03 | 2.41 | 0.072 | VIII |
| 4 | Lack of price information mechanism to farmers | 0.05 | 2.14 | 0.107 | Ш |
| 5 | Lack of farmers knowledge on orchard management | 0.05 | 2.59 | 0.130 | Ш |
| 6 | Low yield due to very old orchard | 0.04 | 2.65 | 0.106 | IV |
| 7 | No certified variety as per altitude | 0.04 | 2.45 | 0.098 | V |
| 8 | Weak and insufficient extension services | 0.04 | 2.32 | 0.093 | VI |
| 9 | Lack of farmers knowledge on cultivation and curing | 0.03 | 2.05 | 0.062 | х |
| 10 | Dependency on traditional <i>Bhattis</i> (Dryer/Kiln) | 0.03 | 2.18 | 0.065 | IX |

125 Source: Field survey 2017

126 **3.3 Prioritization of Opportunity**

127 Like as analysis of strength and weaknesses, we also analyzed the opportunity. The 128 importance, probability and score given by the respondent were analyzed and found that 129 establishment of industry for extraction of essential oil was the first rank; accompanied by 130 development of variety as per the altitude domain and the production and distribution of 131 disease free saplings was found second and third rank respectively in the district (table 3). 132 Potential for land extension was prioritized 48 percent of the respondent in 2007 by study of 133 Bhattarai¹³ which we found in fifth rank in this study whereas they found possibility of more earning by quality improvement by 52 percent respondents in 2014 which we ranked third in 134 135 our study.

136 Table 3: Priority Ranking of Opportunity (External factor) of LC Enterprise

| SN | Opportunity | Importance | Probability | Score | Rank |
|----|--|------------|-------------|-------|------|
| 1 | Potential for land expansion/extension | 0.06 | 2.41 | 0.145 | V |
| 2 | Production and distribution disease free saplings | 0.06 | 2.45 | 0.147 | 111 |
| 3 | Potential for research and development/training | 0.07 | 2.09 | 0.146 | IV |
| 4 | Increasing awareness in postharvest value addition | 0.05 | 2.27 | 0.114 | VI |
| 5 | Expansion of international market than India | 0.03 | 2.22 | 0.067 | VIII |
| 6 | Develop, demonstrate and promotion of modified dryer | 0.01 | 2.05 | 0.021 | Х |
| 7 | Develop technology against clump rot and viral diseases | 0.02 | 2.18 | 0.044 | IX |
| 8 | Establish industry for extraction of essential oil | 0.10 | 2.82 | 0.282 | I |
| 9 | Develop variety according to altitude | 0.07 | 2.50 | 0.175 | П |
| 10 | Branding in niche market | 0.03 | 2.50 | 0.075 | VII |

137 Source: Field survey 2017

138 3.4 Prioritization of Threat

Finally, we also prioritized the threats of the LC farming. The importance, probability and score given by the respondent farmers of district were analyzed. It reveals that the first rank 141 was declining of large cardamom productivity in the district. The second rank was found high 142 fluctuation of price and third was no technical manpower having academic degree in LC 143 (table 4). Threat of disease was the main emphasis of the majority farmers in 2007 and 2014 studied by the Bhattrai¹³. Study made by Pathak, and again by Rai and Chapagain reported 144 that, the disease has been the most appalling problem in LC production. Production has 145 146 reduced to 25% due to poor LC production area management which includes disease, pest 147 & insects as major influencer. The market actors whose livelihoods are directly linked with 148 LC cultivation are fretful due to this contemporary disease and concerned organizations are seen baffled to address the problem. He further described that there are 45% loss due to disease like chhirkey (5%), foorkey (5%), rhizome rot (5%) and Blight (30%)^{13 & 15}. In addition 149 150 to this price fluctuation was the second emphasis given by the farmers of Ilam district in both 151 2007 and 2014. Both the findings of Bhattarai, as such supported this study¹³. Drying of 152 water resources and adulteration was other threats of LC farming¹³. It has also supported the 153 findings of this study which has ranked as fourth and eighth (table 4). 154

| 155 | Table 4: Priority Ranking of Threat (External factor) of LC Enterprise | |
|-----|--|--|
| | | |

| SN | Threat | Importance | Probability | Score | Rank |
|----|---|------------|-------------|-------|------|
| 1 | Declining productivity due to diseases | 0.11 | 2.830 | 0.311 | I |
| 2 | High fluctuation in price | 0.09 | 2.770 | 0.249 | II |
| 3 | Drying/decreasing irrigation water resources | 0.06 | 2.640 | 0.158 | IV |
| 4 | Adulteration and mixing of wild cultivars | 0.01 | 0.140 | 0.001 | VIII |
| 5 | Propagating from mother plant/rhizomes/clumps | 0.05 | 2.270 | 0.114 | VI |
| 6 | No Cardamom Policy in the country | 0.04 | 2.770 | 0.111 | VII |
| 7 | No technical manpower with academic degree in LC | 0.08 | 2.320 | 0.186 | Ш |
| 8 | Very old plantation/orchard | 0.06 | 2.450 | 0.147 | V |
| 9 | Forest office has restricted cultivation in community forest | 0.02 | 1.820 | 0.036 | Х |
| 10 | Declining international reputations | 0.03 | 2.010 | 0.060 | IX |

Source: Field survey 2017 156

4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS 157

158 The main strength of this study for the LC farming was profit is relatively higher due to high 159 unit price of the commodity accompanied by the high demand in the international market as Nepal is exporting about 98 percent of total world export. The cultivation of LC in marginal 160 161 land is the other major strength of the study which in fact expansion of LC farming in such 162 land would not replace the land for other crops which are being cultivating for the food 163 purposes. It provides additional opportunities to uplift the economic condition of the people 164 without any adverse effects in farming rather positive influence in the environment impacts.

High price fluctuation of the commodity, lack of knowledge of farmers on the orchard 165 management, lack of availability of price information to the farmers, low yield due to very old 166 orchard, and no recommended and certified cultivars as per the altitude domains were the 167 168 major weaknesses found in the LC farming during the study. It hinders the productivity of the 169 crop as well as assurance of marketing in the farm levels.

The establishment of oil extraction industry in the country was found first and very new 170 opportunity during the study which support in value addition and attract addition opportunity 171 172 for the employment of youth and women in the country. The research for the varietal 173 development for different altitude, production and distribution of disease free saplings are the 174 second and third rank opportunities which also support to expansion and as well as increase 175 the productivity of the commodity.

176 The main treats in LC farming were declining of productivity due to disease incidence. 177 lacking technical manpower, drying of water resources, and adulteration by mixing wild LC 178 such as Churumpha.

179 Looking at the above internal and external factors which can also be categorized as positive and negative factors, we can conclude that there had been boon for the increasing 180 production and productivity of LC along with value addition for the increasing economic 181 182 status of farmers of district despite some weaknesses and threats for which following 183 intervention has been recommended.

- 184 1. The NARC specially National Commercial Agriculture Research Program (NCARP) should be well equipped for financial, physical and human resources to develop 185 186 demand based research:
- 187
- 188
- 190
- a. Develop technologies to manage the disease complex to reduce the LC decline.
- 189
- Varietal development with appropriate plant geometry and altitude domain. b.
- c. Identify and recommend technologies on nutrient and water management.
- 191 2. Price information mechanism developed so as daily market price and demand reach 192 to farmers.
- 193 3. Training provided to the different level of trainers, nurserymen and farmers on 194 scientific cultivation technology, curing, processing, and value addition.
- 195 4. Tissue culture laboratory strengthened and virus free seedlings produced and distributed. 196
- 5. Quarantine system strengthened to check import of disease infected material from 197 198 India and also from infected district, province to others within country.
- 199 6. Develop/produce booklets, leaflets, audio and audiovisual materials and cast 200 through appropriate media so as to reach to the producer farmers.

REFERENCES 201

- 202 1. Sharma, E., Sharma, R., Singh, K.K., and Sharma, G. (2000). A boon for mountain 203 populations: Large cardamom farming in the Sikkim Himalaya. Mountain Research and 204 Development 20(2): 108-111.
- 205 2. Sinu, P.A., and Shivanna, K.R. (2007). Pollination biology of large cardamom (Amomum 206 subulatum). Current Science. Vol. 93 (4): 548-552
- 207 Sharma R. and Sharma. G. (2007). Traditional agroforestry in the eastern Himalayan 3. 208 region: Land management system supporting ecosystem services. Tropical 209 Ecology 48(2):189-200.
- 210 4. Shrestha, K.P. and Shrestha, J. (2018). Value chain analysis of Large Cardamom in 211 llam district of Nepal. SocioEconomics and Agriculture Research Policy Division, NARC, 212 Kathmandu.
- 213 Vavaiya, R.B., Patel, A. and Manek, R.A. (2012). Anti-Diabetic Activity of Amomum 5. 214 subulatom Roxb. Fruit Constituents. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Innovations. 215 Vol: 2 (5)
- 216 6. Sharma, G., Sharma, R., Sharma, E. (2009). Traditional knowledge systems in large 217 cardamom farming: Biophysical and management diversity in Indian mountainous 218 regions. Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge 8(1):17-22.
- 219 7. Shrestha, KP (2018). Growth Trends Analysis of Large Cardamom in Nepal. 220 Socioeconomics Agriculture Research Policy Division (SARPOD), Nepal Agriculture 221 Research Council (NARC), Nepal.

- MoALMC. (2017). Statistical Information on Nepalese Agriculture, Ministry of Agriculture, Land Management and Cooperatives, Monitoring, Evaluation and Statistical Division, Agriculture Statistics Section, Singha Durbar, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- NSCDP. (2016). Annual Report. National Spice Crop Development Program,
 Government of Nepal, Ministry of Agriculture Development, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal.
- 10. Shrestha, K.P., Karn, P.L., and Shrestha, C.B. (2001). A study report on Large
 Cardamom, Marketing in Nepal and India. Nepal Agriculture research Council,
 Agriculture research Station, Pakhribas, Dhankuta.
- 11. Shrestha, KP (2018). Marketing of Large Cardamom in Mechi hills, Nepal.
 Socioeconomics Agriculture Research Policy Division (SARPOD), Nepal Agricultural
 Research Council (NARC).
- 12. Jurevicius, O. (2013: SWOT Analysis Do it properly. Strategic Management Insight.
 https://www.strategicmanagementinsight.com/tools/swot-analysis-how-to-do-it.html
- 13. Bhattarai, T. (2016). Efficacies and Impediments in Large Cardamom farming in Ilam,
 Nepal. Economic Journal of Development Issues Vol. 21 & 22 No. 1-2 (2016) combined
 issue.
- Pathak, A. (2013). Value chain analysis of *Amomum subulatum* (Alainchi) in MSFP Lot 1
 districts. ForestAction Nepal and RRN, Kathmandu.
- Rai, J. K. and Chapagain, S. P. (2014). Value Chain Analysis of Forest Products in
 Koshi Hill Districts of Nepal: Challenges and Opportunities for Economic Growth. Forest
 Action Nepal and RRN, Kathmandu.

6 CER