2

1

3

4

5

# Effect of Land Uses and Physical Soil and Water Conservation Practices on Runoff and Soil Loss in Western Tigray, Ethiopia

**Original Research Article** 

#### 6 ABSTRACT 7

8 A field experiment was conducted in three consecutive years (2014-2016) in western zone of 9 Tigray, Northern Ethiopia. It was conducted with the objectives of estimating the runoff and 10 soil loss of four different land uses. Area closure, grazing land, treated cultivated land and untreated cultivated land were selected in a watershed. A total of 12 runoff plots with a size 11 12 of 15 m x 3 m were constructed in each land use type with the same slope (8.5%). About 25 13 cm height corrugated iron was constructed for each plot. A runoff collection ditch with 14 dimensions of 2 m length, 1.2 m width and 1 m depth was dug and lined with thick plastic 15 sheet at the bottom side of each runoff plots to collect runoff discharge and sediment yield. 16 After each rainfall event runoff volume in the ditch was measured and subsequently one liter 17 sample was taken to laboratory from each runoff collection ditch after the runoff is mixed 18 vigorously. Samples filtered using filter paper and oven dried at 105 °C for 24 hours for sediment concentration calculation. The highest average surface runoff is 7277 m<sup>3</sup>/ha/year 19 20 and the corresponding soil loss 110 t/ha/year were recorded in the grazing land. The lowest 21 runoff (597 m<sup>3</sup>/ha/year) and lowest soil loss (2 t/ha/year) were also recorded in the area 22 closure treated with stone bund plus trench and tree plantation. Hence, the actual runoff and 23 soil losses recorded were higher in untreated cultivated land and grazing land than area 24 closure and treated cultivated land which warrant the requirement of more effective soil and 25 water conservation measures. Therefore, area closure treated with the integration of physical 26 soil water conservation measures is the best technology for rehabilitation of degraded land. 27 Stone bund is also the best technology on cultivated land to conserve soil and water.

28 Keywords: Land use, runoff plots, runoff, soil loss

29

## 1. INTRODUCTION

30

Land use and land cover change through inappropriate agricultural practices, deforestation and high human and livestock population pressure have led to severe land degradation in the Ethiopian highlands [1]. As a result, biodiversity loss and soil erosion are the common occurrences. According to [2, 3] land degradation, which includes the degradation of vegetation cover, soil erosion, and nutrient depletion, is a major ecological and economical problem in Ethiopia. Understanding the complexity of land-use and land-cover and their driving forces and impacts on environmental security is important for the planning of natural
resource management and associated decision making [4]. According to [5, 6], soil losses in
the Ethiopian highlands reach 200-300 t/ha annually.

40

41 Performance of soil erosion control measures is location specific [7]. In recent days the idea 42 of area development using an integrated watershed management approach has received 43 recognition in the national development strategy. This must be done by research activities. 44 Different studies have been done to estimate runoff and soil loss using runoff plot method. 45 For example, [8] used 28 plots for daily runoff measurement for two years period in the semi-46 arid to sub-humid highlands of Tigray to study the effect of vegetation restoration in 47 exclosures and to identify other factors influencing runoff production. Integrated watershed management is expected to improve the interaction between the physical, social, 48 49 technological, economic and policy dimensions; interdisciplinary approach to solving 50 problems; and the full participation of all stakeholders during problem identification, 51 planning, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation. So the main objectives this study is to 52 estimate the runoff and soil loss of four different land uses and then to recommend the best 53 land use type.

54

### 55 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

- 56
- 57

#### 2.1. Description of the Study Area

58

The study was conducted during 2014 to 2016 at Welkait district, Western Zone of Tigray, Northern Ethiopia. It is located at the west of Mekelle the capital of the Tigray region, at  $13.5^{\circ} - 14.1^{\circ}$  N and  $36.8^{\circ} - 37.8^{\circ}$  E, with an elevation of 700 to 2354 m a.s.l. (Fig.1). The mean annual rainfall of the area ranges from 700 to 1800 mm. Most of the rainfall is concentrated during the main rainy season which extends from June to September. The average temperature of the area is 21.25 °C with minimum 17.5 °C and maximum 25 °C.



65 66

Fig 1. Map of the study area

#### 67 2.2 Experimental Design and Data Analysis Method

68 A model watershed of different land uses with and without soil and water conservation 69 (SWC) practices was selected. Each land use type was indicated in the model watershed 70 (Table 1). A total of 12 runoff plots with a size of 15 m  $\frac{1}{x}$  3 m was formulated in each land 71 use types with the same slope (8.5%) in Cambisol soil type. About 25 cm height corrugated 72 iron and stone wall was constructed for each plot. A runoff collection ditch with dimensions 73 of length, width and depth; 2 m, 1.2 m and 1 m, respectively was dug and lined with thick 74 plastic sheet at the bottom side of each runoff plots to collect runoff and sediment. A plastic 75 rain gauge was installed to measure daily rainfall. After each rainfall event runoff volume in 76 the ditch was measured and subsequently 1 liter sample was taken to laboratory from each 77 runoff collection ditch after the runoff is mixed vigorously. Samples filtered using filter paper 78 then oven dried at 105 °C for 24 hours.

- 79 Data analysis was done using Microsoft excel and simple descriptive statistics. Each runoff
- 80 discharge was summed for each year and converted to hectare. Soil loss was also calculated

#### 81 multiplying the oven dried soil sample obtained form one liter volume of water by the total

#### 82 runoff discharge.

#### 83 Table 1. Description of the treatments

| Treatments                     | Description                                    |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 Treated uncultivated (AC)    | Stone-faced soil bund + trench in area closure |  |  |  |  |
|                                | enriched with tree plantation                  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Untreated uncultivated (GL)  | Grazing land                                   |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Treated cultivated land (TC) | Stone-faced soil bund                          |  |  |  |  |
| 4 Untreated cultivated (UC)    | Cropland                                       |  |  |  |  |

#### 84

#### 85 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 86

#### 87 **3.1 Runoff Discharge and Sediment Yield**

88

The highest discharge (7277 m<sup>3</sup>/ha/year) and soil loss (110 t/ha/year) was recorded in the GL and the lowest discharge (597 m<sup>3</sup>/ha/year) and soil loss (2 t/ha/year) was recorded in the AC treated with stone-faced soil bund plus trench treated with tree plantation (Table 1a). The grazing land is characterized by different gullies and subjected to uncontrolled grazing which makes the soil susceptible to erosion due to disintegration of the surface soil by by grazing and their traffic. Poor surface cover, and gullies accelerate siltation in the reservoirs due to high soil erosion [9]

However, treated cultivated land contributed about 4 times higher soil loss compared to the 96 97 treated uncultivated land, Because the treated uncultivated land is covered with vegetation so 98 that the tree vegetation intercepts the rain drops and gives time for infiltration. Similar 99 finding was reported by [10]. According to his finding the positive impact of vegetation cover 100 on runoff reduction is due to direct effect as a canopy cover intercepts raindrops, thus 101 dissipating their energy and creating infiltration pathways. The physical soil and water 102 conservation structures have also conserved more runoff and soil both in the AC and 103 cultivated land. [11] found that various surface water harvesting structures increase the 104 reliability and availability of water by storing runoff. On the other hand the soil on the 105 cultivated land is susceptible to erosion due to the continuous tillage. [12] indicated mean 106 annual soil loss of 12 sites from the foot of the bunds due to tillage erosion. As its name indicates 107 soil water conservation structures conserve not only soil but significant amount of runoff 108 discharge. This study was done using runoff plot method which covers only 181.5 ha; so it is 109 better to do using spatial analysis method in order to cover a large area.

110

| Treatments           | Runoff <mark>(m<sup>3</sup>/ha)</mark> |      |      | <mark>a)</mark> | Soil loss <mark>(t/ha)</mark> |      |       |         |
|----------------------|--|------|------|-----------------|-------------------------------|------|-------|---------|
|                      | 2014                                   | 2015 | 2016 | Average         | 2014                          | 2015 | 2016  | Average |
| Treated uncultivated | 441                                    | 306  | 1045 | 597             | 1.3                           | 0.9  | 2.5   | 2       |
| Grazing land         | 6708                                   | 6876 | 8248 | 7277            | 133.8                         | 81.0 | 115.1 | 110     |
| Treated cultivated   | 1234                                   | 1401 | 1440 | 1358            | 7.9                           | 6.9  | 10.1  | 8       |
| Untreated cultivated | 5776                                   | 5931 | 7964 | 6557            | 104.8                         | 59.3 | 74.2  | 79      |

#### 111 Table 1a. Average runoff discharge and sediment yield

- 112
- 113
- 114

#### 115 4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

116

Results clearly indicate that area closure treated with tree plantation, can be used to reduce soil loss and runoff volume effectively. Further, stone-faced soil bund was almost equally effective in reducing runoff, soil loss in cultivated land. These two land use management practices were significantly superior to grazing land and cropland in reducing runoff and soil erosion. However, the highest soil loss was recorded in the Grazing Land. Generally, as the runoff increases soil loss also increased.

122

Area closure treated with the integration of physical soil and water conservation measures is the best technology for rehabilitation of degraded land. Stone bund is also the second-best technology on cultivated land to conserve soil and moisture. This study was done using runoff plot method which covers only 181.5 ha, so other estimation/evaluation methods such as spatial analysis or other models might cover a large area

128

#### 129 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the financial support by sustainable land management program
(SLMP) and the Welkait wereda office of agriculture experts plus the local community who
helped us in fieldwork and data collection.

133

#### 134 **REFERENCES**

135

Samuale T., Etefa G., A.J.Raj, Emiru B., and G. Taye. Land use and land cover
 change and woody vegetation Diversity in Human-Driven Landscape of Gilgel
 Tekeze Catchment, Northern Ethiopia. 2014.

139 2. Sertse S. Study and design guidelines on watershed management with reference to 140 forestry.Unpublished.Bureau of Agriculture and Rural Development (BoARD), 141 Mekelle, Tigray. 2007 142 3. Darghouth S., Ward C., Gambarelli G., Styger E. and Roux, J. Watershed 143 Management Approaches, Policies, and Operations: Lessons for Scaling Up. 2008;11. 144 4. Efrem G., Mats S., Ulf S. and B. M. Campbell. Land-use and land-cover dynamics in 145 the central rift valley of Ethiopia. 2009. 146 5. Herweg K., and Stillhardt B. The variability of soil erosion in the Highlands of 147 Ethiopia and Eritrea. Research Report 42. Centre for development and Environment. 148 The University of Berne. 1999. 6. Hurni, H. Land degradation, famine, and land resource scenarios in Ethiopia. In: 149 Pimentel D, editor. World Soil Erosion and Conservation. Cambridge Studies in 150 151 Applied Ecology and Resource Management. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University. 1993; 27-61 152 153 7. Sudhishri S, Dass A, N.K. Lenka. Efficacy of vegetative barriers for rehabilitation of 154 degraded hill slopes in eastern India. Soil and Tillage Research. 2008; 98-107 155 8. Descheemaeker, k., Nyssen, J., Poesen, J., Raes, D., Mitiku, H., Muys, B., Deckers, S. 156 Runoff on slopes with restoring vegetation: A case study from the Tigray highlands, 157 Ethiopia. Journal of Hydrology. 2006; 331, 219 – 241 9. Tamene, L., Park S.J., Dikau R., Vlek, P.L.G.. Analysis of factors determining 158 sediment yield variability in the highlands of northern Ethiopia, Geomorphology. 159 2005; 76: 76 – 91 160 10. Descroix, L., Viramontes, D., Vauclin, M., Gonzalez Barrios, J.L., Esteves, M. 161 162 Influence of soil surface features and vegetation on runoff and erosion in the Western 163 Sierra Madre (Durango, Northwest Mexico). Catena . 2001; 43: 115–135. 164 11. Amanuel, Z., Vanmaercke, M., Poesen, J., Verstraeten, G., Nigussie, H., Mitiku, H., Kassa, A., Deckers, J., and Nyssen, J. Spatial and temporal variability of river flows 165 166 in the degraded semi-arid tropical mountains of northern Ethiopia, Geomorphologie. 167 2013; 57: 143-169

- 168 12. Desta G., J. Nysen, J. Poesen, J. Deckers, Mitiku H., G .Govers and J. Moeyersons.
- 169 Effectiveness of stone bunds in controlling soil erosion on cropland in the Tigray Highlands,
  170 northern Ethiopia. Soil Use and Management. 2005; 21, 287

| 172 | 13. |
|-----|-----|
|     |     |
|     |     |
|     |     |
|     |     |
|     |     |
|     |     |