



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_45231
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSING THE STATUS YANDEV FOREST RESERVE USING REMOTE SENSING AND GEOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION SYSTEM (GIS) TECHNIQUES.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-The author needs to address grammatical errors in the manuscript. -There is need to adopt a consistent system of numbering, some heading have numbering while some do not have any numbering. The same goes to the Figures as they supposedly are numbered in accordance with the section in which they are placed yet preceding sections have no numbering -Figures are not consistently numbered. Line 2. Revise Topic wording, seems like there is a word missing on the topic. Line 6: assess and predict..... (instead of.... predicts) Line 8: was used for 2012... Line 10: <i>The land use and land cover was classified into Built up,....</i> Line 12: <i>that Bareland increased from 0.01% in</i> Line 13: <i>built up area increased from 0.75% in 1986</i> Line 14: <i>degraded forest increased from 2.01% in 1986</i> Line 33: In the Forestry sector, collection of relevant forest... Line 104: occurs... Line 107: ...temperature is 30°C... Line 115: The study involved.... Map 139 and 154 require consistent legend description. Preferably remove underscores in between such terms phrases Table 1,2,3 & 4: Align columns Line 215: correct tenses Line 217: correct tenses Line 218: missing word in statement Line 219: showed wrongly spelt Line 221 & 250 : word who is wrongly spelt Line 249: This research I in line with Ogunmola <i>et al</i>....Revise statement	- there was a review of the work - the numbering have being taken care of - Line 2; Yes "Of" was missing it is inserted now - Line 6 Agreed and adjusted - Line 8 Agreed and adjusted - line 10 Agreed and adjusted - line 12 Agreed and adjusted - line 13 Agreed and adjusted - line 14 Agreed and adjusted Line 104 Agreed and adjusted - line 107 Agreed and adjusted Line 115 Agreed and adjusted - All the maps adjusted as suggested, the underscores removed - The tables were worked on the columns aligned - line 215 to 249 were restructured
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	