



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_42038
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Woody Species Diversity in Different Ecological Zones of Taraba State, Nigeria: A Strategy for Conservation
Type of Article:	Original research papers

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>1. The abstract is still riddled with errors;</p> <p>2. The results were not detail enough and lacks general scientific expression;</p> <p>3. There were a lot of typo errors;</p> <p>4. Formatting was not standardized;</p> <p>5. Can the authors point out where they sought to test for hypothesis? I can't see anywhere in the text were they indicated hypothesis testing;</p> <p>6. I expect the authors to write in prose, except in areas where there supposed to be a sub-heading. As it stands now, I don't think these sub-headings are acceptable. The authors should delete them entirely, and rather write as paragraphs. For instance, Sub-headings D, E & F, are basically about comparing statistical significant difference in ecological zones and protected areas. So why report them as sub-headings, when you are presenting the same underlying information on significant difference? Clearly this is unacceptable.</p> <p>7. Finally, I observed that not all the comments I pointed out where effected. I still see a lot of the comments I raised in the previous review, occurring. I urge the authors to please take review comments seriously, since this will help improve the content of the manuscript.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Collins Ayine Nsor</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Eco-tourism & Forest Recreation, Faculty of Renewable Natural Resources, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science & Technology, Kumasi, Ghana</i>