1

## 2

8

# <u>Original Research Article</u>

# **Development of triploid varieties of** *Hevea brasiliensis* using endosperm

### ABSTRACT

9 Aim: To generate a pathway for development of *Hevea* triploids using endosperm tissue as an
10 explant.

Study Design: Standardization and optimisation of various parameters for isolation and culture of endosperm tissue and protoplast. Completely randomized design for data obtained from different treatment. Ploidy of the obtained culture was determined.

Place and Duration of Study: Department of Biotechnology, Rubber Research Institute of India and
 duration of study 1 year.

Methodology: Endosperm tissues were collected from *Hevea* seeds of different developmental stages. Somatic embryogenesis from endosperm tissue using callus mediated embryogenesis and direct method of embryogenesis were carried. Endosperm tissue from both immature and mature seeds were cut into thin slices and subjected to enzymatic digestion for the release of protoplasts. Different concentrations and combinations of cell wall digestion enzymes and osmotic agents were experimented. The callus obtained from endosperm tissue was subjected to cytological analysis and flow cytometric analysis.

23 Results: Endosperm tissue from immature fruits (8-10 weeks) was found to be the ideal source both 24 for somatic embryogenesis and for the release of large amount of protoplasts. Of the two basal media 25 tried, Nitsch medium favoured callus induction, 6 % callus induction from mature endosperm tissue in 26 presence of 2,4-D (6.3 µM) and Kin (12.1 µM) and 10 % callus induction from immature endosperm 27 tissue in presence of BA (4.4 µM) and NAA (2.2 µM). Direct embryogenesis (2 %) has been obtained 28 from immature endosperm in MS basal medium along with GA<sub>3</sub> (2.0  $\mu$ M) and BA (11.1  $\mu$ M). A few of 29 the endosperm protoplasts showed division when cultured over K&M medium with NAA (0.1 μM) 2,4-30 D (0.2  $\mu$ M) and BA (0.4  $\mu$ M).

31 Conclusion: Endosperm can be used for the development of triploids of *Hevea brasiliensis*. The 32 ploidy variants i.e. triploids, developed through these *in vitro* techniques can be further used in *Hevea* 33 breeding.

34 Keywords: Endosperm, Triploid, Hevea Seeds

35

37

### 36 1. INTRODUCTION

Triploidy, a genomic condition that is favorable for vigor and vegetative productivity has been proved to be beneficial in several crops. Development of triploids with increased biomass is highly desirable in *Hevea* since it may lead to a reduction in the immaturity period as well as an increase in yield.
Triploids are generally sterile. Hence triploid development is suitable for plants where seed is not the
economic part. The advantage of triploids can be well exploited in *Hevea* since latex, not seed, is the
product of commercial value in this crop. Moreover, development of triploids will lead to seedlessness,
which can combat phytophthora disease thereby making the tree resistant to this disease.

45

46 Traditionally triploids are produced by hybridization between tetraploids and diploids. In vitro 47 regeneration of plants from endosperm, the sole naturally occurring triploid plant tissue, offers a direct 48 single step approach for triploid production. Parenchymatous nature of the endosperm and the 49 absence of vascular tissues make it a unique and excellent experimental system for in vitro culture 50 studies (Hoshino et al., 2011). The endosperm in angiosperms is formed via double fertilization and 51 triple fusion (i.e., fusion between 3 different haploid nuclei, 1 from the paternal and 2 from the 52 maternal side), which is a unique process in higher plants and is present in all angiosperm families 53 except Orchidaceae, Podostemaceae, and Trapaceae. Endosperm functions as a nutritive tissue for 54 the growing embryo, as the growth and development of the embryo depends on the presence of the 55 endosperm. Moreover, the endosperm exists as a reserve food in some seeds like cereals. The 56 endosperm represents about 60% of the world's food supply. Failure of the endosperm to develop 57 properly leads to the abortion of the embryo. Endosperm may be fully utilized by the developing 58 embryo (non-endospermous), or it may persist in mature seeds (endospermous). Attempts were 59 made from 1930 by the scientist Lampe and Mills to grow young corn endosperm using in vitro 60 techniques. Different developmental stages of endosperm from immature to mature stages were used 61 by different workers for the in vitro development of triploids. Culture of endosperm protoplasts is yet 62 another option for the production of triploids. Moreover, triploid protoplasts once isolated are useful for 63 the production of an euploids through fusion with haploid or diploid protoplasts. Also, plant protoplasts 64 provide a unique single cell system to underpin several aspects of modern biotechnology. Hence 65 experiments such as somatic embryogenesis from endosperm tissue using direct and indirect method 66 and Isolation and culture of protoplast from endosperm tissue.

67

69

### 68 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 70 **2.1Explant**

Endosperm tissue which is the explant used in this study were collected from seeds of different
developmental stages. Broadly these source seeds can be divided into two categories mature and
immature seeds, depending on their stage of development.

74

### 75 2.1.1 Mature seeds

Seeds (Fig. 1b) were collected from mature fruits (Fig. 1a) from the field grown trees of *Hevea* clone RRII 105, on the day of dispersal. The hard seed coat was removed mechanically and the seeds were sterilized using 0.1 % mercuric chloride solution with a few drops of Tween 20 for 5 min, followed by several washes in sterile distilled water. The inner integuments as well as the embryos were removed 80 and the remaining tissue, the endosperm (Fig. 1c), was cut into small pieces and used for further 81 process.

82

#### 83 2.1.2 Immature seeds

84 Young fruits at different maturity stages of 1-10 weeks (Fig. 1d) were collected from field grown trees 85 of Hevea and were surface sterilized using alcohol for 15 min. The developing seeds were separated 86 and cut transversely into two halves (Fig. 1e), of which the half with the micropylar end was cultured in 87 Nitsch medium fortified with growth regulators 2, 4-D (9.0 µM) and Kin (13.9 µM) for inducing 88 endosperm development (Fig. 1f).

89

90 Endosperm tissue obtained from both mature and immature seeds were used as the source for 91 protoplast isolation and culture and also for callus mediated and direct embryogenesis.

92





Fig. 1: Explants used for endosperm culture

96 a) Mature fruit, b) Mature seeds, c) Mature endosperm tissue, d) Immature fruits & 97 corresponding ovules at different developmental stages (1 to 10 weeks), e) Cross section of 98 young seed for half ovulo culture, f) Endosperm developing from cultured immature seeds

е

f

99

#### 100 2.2Somatic embryogenesis from endosperm tissue

#### 101 2.2.1Callus mediated embryogenesis

102 The endosperm tissue from both the sources were cut into small segments and cultured for callus 103 induction in two basal media, MS and Nitsch, fortified with different combinations of the growth 104 regulators 2, 4-D (4.5 - 9.0 μM), Kinetin (9.3 - 13.9 μM), BA (2.2 - 8.8 μM) and NAA (1.1 - 5.3 μM). 105 Best combination for callus induction was worked out and the calli induced in this medium were transferred to embryo induction medium after proliferation. Two basal media *viz*. MS and WPM fortified with GA<sub>3</sub> (0.87- 2.9  $\mu$ M) and BA (4.4 - 13.3  $\mu$ M) were used for embryo induction.

108

### 109 2.2.2Direct embryogenesis

For direct embryogenesis, the endosperm tissue from both the sources were kept in two different embryo induction media, MS and WPM fortified with different levels of  $GA_3$  (0.87- 2.9  $\mu$ M) and BA (4.4 - 13.3  $\mu$ M). Cultures were maintained in dark.

113

### 114 2.3Protoplast culture

### 115 2.3.1 Isolation of protoplasts

Endosperm tissue from both immature and mature seeds were cut into thin slices and subjected to enzymatic digestion for the release of protoplasts. Different concentrations and combinations of cell wall digestion enzymes and osmotic agents were experimented with a view to enhance the protoplast yield.

### 120 2.3.2 Osmoticum

Experiments were carried out for identifying the suitable osmoticum for protoplast release. Cell and protoplast washing medium (CPW medium\*) was used as the basal medium. Different concentrations (0.2 - 1.0 M) of the sugars and sugar alcohols viz: sucrose, glucose, mannitol and sorbitol were tried for identifying the right osmoticum. Also different combinations of the two sugar alcohols were tried for optimization of osmoticum. In addition, the osmotic stabilizer MES (5mM) was incorporated in all the above solutions and autoclaved at 120°C and 15lb/sq pressure for 15 min and stored at 25°C.

129

### 130 2.3.3 Cell wall digestion enzymes

In order to identify the type and concentration of digestion enzymes for protoplast release, different concentrations and combinations of the enzymes cellulase onozuka RS (0.5, 1.0, 2.0 %) and pectinase Y23 (0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5 %) were tried. The enzymes were dissolved in the osmoticum and the pH was adjusted to 5.7. The solution was filter sterilized using a membrane filter (Millipore 0.22µm), stored at 4°C and thawed to room temperature before use. Thin slices of endosperm tissue were incubated in different enzyme solutions and incubated for different time intervals (2 - 6 h).

### 137 2.3.4 Protoplast purification

After enzyme incubation, protoplast suspensions were first filtered through nylon sieves of different mesh sizes (30, 64, 71, 85 and 100 µm) to remove the debris and undigested tissue. The filtrate was then transferred to centrifuge tube and the protoplasts were pelleted by centrifugation at 500 rpm for 2 min.

142 The supernatant was removed using a pasteur pipette and the pellet was re-suspended in the 143 osmoticum, mixed well and again centrifuged. This was repeated three times to remove traces of

144 enzymes. Finally the purified pellet was re-suspended in 1ml of osmoticum and the suspension was

145 used for culturing. The protoplast yield per gram fresh weight was determined with the help of a

haemocytometer.



### 147

148 <u>2.3.5 Culturing of protoplasts</u>149

Three different basal media viz. MS (Murashige and Skoog, 1962), WPM (Lloyd and McCown, 1980) and KM (Kao and Michayluk, 1975) were used for plating the isolated protoplasts. Sucrose and glucose were provided as carbon source at concentrations of 20 gl-1 and 10 gl-1 respectively in all these three media. Different growth regulators combinations of 2, 4-D (0.1 - 1.0  $\mu$ M), NAA (0.1 - 1.0  $\mu$ M), Kinetin (0.1 - 1.0  $\mu$ M) and BA (0.1 - 1.0  $\mu$ M) were also added. Aliquots of purified protoplasts were plated over these different media combinations and all the cultures were incubated in the dark at 28°C. They were examined routinely to detect cell division, multiplication, growth and callusing.

157

## 158 2.4 Statistical analysis159

160 All experiments were conducted in completely randomized design (CRD) and analyzed using SPSS 161 16.0 software. The data was subjected to square root/arc sine transformation and analyzed using 162 ANOVA with a significance of  $p \le 0.05$ .

163

### 164 **2.5 Confirmation of ploidy**

### 165 **2.5.1Cytological analysis**

166 The proliferating callus obtained from the embryo sac was subjected to cytological analysis (Rekha et 167 al., 1993). Callus with actively dividing cells were pre-treated with 0.2 mM 8-hydroxyguinoline for 5 h 168 at 4°C. After this pretreatment the solution was drained off, the callus was washed with distilled water 169 and transferred to cold freshly prepared fixative, Carnoys fluid II (3:1 ethanolacetic acid), for 48 h at 170 room temperature. Afterwards the fixative was drained off and the callus was washed thoroughly to 171 remove traces of fixative, if any. Then the samples were stained with 1 % Snows carmine for 4 hrs. 172 The samples were smeared in 45% acetic acid with a glass rod and mounted on slides as per 173 standard protocol. The slides were observed under a light trinocular microscope (Leica).

### 174 2.5.2 Flow cytometric analysis

The ploidy analyzer I (Partec GmbH, Germany) was used to determine the ploidy level of the embryo sac derived callus. For sample preparation, the callus was crushed in galbriath's\* buffer and kept for 5 min incubation. The suspension containing the nuclei was mixed by pipetting up and down several times and then filtered through a 50 μm nylon mesh. The filtrate containing the nuclear suspension was stained with 50 μg/ml propidium iodide and incubated at room temperature for 5 min. 50 μg/ml RNAse was then added and mixed and this mixture was used for ploidy analysis (Rashmi and Rakhi, 2013). The position of peak G1 nuclei of the control (Diploid callus derived from immature anther) was

established at channel 400 on a 1024-channel scale, after which the instrument setting was keptconstant and the test samples were run under the same parameters.

184

### 185 3. RESULT

### 186 **3.1 Somatic embryogenesis from endosperm tissue**

### 187 3.1.1Callus mediated embryogenesis

188 Of the two basal media tried, Nitsch medium favored callus induction. Among the different growth 189 regulators, a combination of 2, 4-D and Kin responded towards callus induction from mature 190 endosperm. 6 % callus induction was obtained in a combination of Nitsch medium supplemented with 191 2, 4-D ( $6.3 \mu$ M) and Kin ( $12.1 \mu$ M) (Fig. 2a&b). At higher concentrations of 2, 4-D and Kin there was 192 no callus induction (Table 1). When the mature endosperm tissue was kept in the callus induction 193 medium for longer periods, root organogenesis was observed in a combination of 6.6  $\mu$ M BA and 4.3 194  $\mu$ M NAA (Fig. 2c).

195 196

### Table 1. Effect of 2,4-D and Kin on callus induction from mature endosperm tissue

| $\begin{array}{c} 2,4\text{-D}(\mu M) \longrightarrow \\ Kin(\mu M) \\ \downarrow \end{array}$ | 4.5       | 6.3      | 8.1      | 9.0        |
|--|-----------|----------|----------|------------|
| 9.3  | 0.0(0.7)  | 0.0(0.7) | 0.0(0.7) | 0.0(0.7) * |
| 11.2   | 1.0 (1.2) | 4.5(2.2) | 3.0(1.8) | 2.5(1.7)   |
| 12.1   | 2.0(1.5)  | 6.0(2.4) | 4.0(2.1) | 3.0(1.8)   |
| 13.0   | 1.0(1.2)  | 3.5(1.9) | 3.0(1.8) | 2.5(1.7)   |
| 13.9   | 1.5(1.4)  | 3.0(1.8) | 3.0(1.8) | 1.5(1.4)   |

CD=0.31

### \*callus induction (%) from mature endosperm tissue

Data were subjected to square root transformation and transformed means are given in Parenthesis



Fig. 2 Culture of mature endosperm
 a & b) Cultured mature endosperm isolated from mature seeds
 and induction of callus from this cultured endosperm.
 c) Root induction from mature endosperm
 In the case of immature endosperm tissue, a combination of BA and NAA responded towards callus
 induction whereas with the combination of 2,4-D and Kin no callus initiation occurred, only
 enlargement of endosperm tissue was observed. 10 % callus induction was obtained in a combination

206 of BA (4.4  $\mu$ M) and NAA (2.2  $\mu$ M) (Fig. 3a&b). At higher concentrations of these growth regulators, 207 especially BA, the callus induction was nil (Table 2).

### 208 Table 2. Effect of BA and NAA on callus induction from immature endosperm tissue

| BA (μM) →<br>NAA (μM)<br>↓ | 2.2       | 4.4        | 6.6       | 8.8        |
|----------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| 1.1                        | 1.0 (1.2) | 6.5 (2.6)  | 4.5 (2.2) | 0.0 (0.7)* |
| 2.2                        | 7.0 (2.7) | 10 (3.1)   | 7.0 (2.7) | 0.0 (0.7)  |
| 3.2                        | 5.5 (2.4) | 9.0 (3.08) | 3.0 (1.8) | 0.0 (0.7)  |
| 4.3                        | 2.5(1.7)  | 4.0 (2.1)  | 1.5 (1.4) | 0.0 (0.7)  |
| 5.3                        | 2.0 (1.5) | 0.0 (0.7)  | 0.0 (0.7) | 0.0 (0.7)  |

CD= 0.23

### \* percentage of callus induction from immature endosperm tissue

Data were subjected to square root transformation and transformed means are given in parenthesis



- 211 Fig: 3 Culture of immature endosperm
  - a &b Cultured immature endosperm and induction
- 213

212

## of callus from this cultured endosperm

### 214 3.1.2 Direct embryogenesis

- 215 Endosperm tissue isolated from 8 week old fruits was found to be suitable for direct embryogenesis.
- 216 Embryo induction at a low frequency (2 %) was obtained directly from immature endosperm, when
- 217 cultured over MS medium fortified with GA $_3$  (2.0  $\mu$ M) and BA (11.1  $\mu$ M) (Fig.4a&b). These embryos
- are kept in the same medium for further development. From the mature endosperm tissue, no embryo
- 219 development could be obtained and later the tissue got dried up.



220

- 221 Fig.4: Direct embryogenesis
  - a Cultured immature endosperm tissue (8 week old)
- 222 223
- b Direct embryo induction
- 224 3.2 Protoplast isolation and culture

### 225 3.2.1 Protoplast isolation

Among the different stages of immature fruits cultured, endosperm development was observed in the 8 - 10 week old fruits (Fig. 1d). Protoplasts could be isolated from both mature and immature endosperm tissue, but the yield from immature endosperm was more compared to the mature endosperm tissue.

### 230 3.2.2 Osmoticum

Among the different osmotic agents tried, mannitol has been found to be the most efficient, followed by sorbitol for maintaining osmotic stability. The optimum concentration of mannitol and sorbitol was in the range 0.6 - 0.8 M and 0.4 - 0.6 M respectively (Table 3). Protoplast yield was more in immature endosperm compared to mature endosperm in both mannitol and sorbitol. In presence of mannitol a protoplast yield of  $17 \times 10^4$  /gfw from mature endosperm and  $28 \times 10^4$  /gfw from immature endosperm

- 236 were obtained. With sorbitol  $12 \times 10^4$  /gfw from mature endosperm and  $16 \times 10^4$  /gfw from immature
- 237 endosperm was obtained. No protoplast release occurred with sucrose and glucose.

# 238Table 3Effect of sugar alcohols on protoplast release from mature and immature239endosperm tissue

240

| SOURCE                   | Mannitol (M) |     |     |     | Sorbitol (M) |     |     |     |     |    |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----|-----|-----|--------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|
| SOURCE                   | 0.2          | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1            | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1  |
| Mature fruit endosperm   | 4            | 7   | 15  | 17  | 7            | 6   | 10  | 12  | 6   | 3* |
| Immature fruit endosperm | 10           | 12  | 26  | 28  | 20           | 10  | 15  | 16  | 12  | 9  |

241

### \* Protoplast yield × 10<sup>4</sup>/gfw

It was observed that a combination of the two sugar alcohols, mannitol and sorbitol, yielded more number of protoplasts when compared to the number of protoplast released when this osmotic agents were added separately. 0.7M mannitol with 0.5M sorbitol was found optimum in both the case of immature and mature endosperm tissue (Fig. 5). The highest yield of protoplast of about  $20 \times 10^4$  /gfw from mature endosperm tissue and in case of immature endosperm tissue,  $34 \times 10^4$  /gfw was obtained.



247

248

Fig.5: Effect of osmoticum on protoplast release

### 249 3.2.3 Cell wall digestion enzymes

Out of the five enzyme combinations tested, 2.0 % cellulase mixed with 0.2 % pectinase was found to be optimum for the release of protoplasts from mature endosperm tissue. A combination of 1.0 % cellulase and 0.2 % pectinase gave highest protoplast yield from immature endosperm. Protoplast yield from other combinations of these enzymes was quite low in both the explants (Fig. 6). A protoplast yield of  $24 \times 10^4$  /gfw was obtained from mature endosperm when subjected to enzymatic

### 255 digestion in the enzyme combination E4 whereas protoplast release from immature endosperm tissue





### 257

258

### Fig.6: Effect of digestion enzymes on protoplast release

With the optimized parameters of osmotica and enzymes, endosperm tissue isolated from immature seeds was found to be ideal for isolation of protoplasts (Fig.7b) compared to mature seeds. In the case of mature endosperm, even though a good number of protoplasts were released initially but as the enzymatic digestion proceeded for about 2hrs, the osmoticum turned turbid, thereby rendering estimation of protoplast yield much difficult (Fig. 7a). Turbidity may be due to the release of oil granules from the mature endosperm tissue.



265

266

267

### Fig. 7 Protoplast release from mature and immature endosperm

a Mature endosperm tissue

| 268 | $a_1$ Initial stage of digestion, $a_2$ After 1 hr of digestion, |
|-----|--|
| 269 | a <sub>3</sub> After 2hr of digestion                            |
| 270 | b Immature endosperm tissue                                      |
| 271 | $b_1$ Initial stage of digestion, $b_2$ After 1 hr of digestion, |
| 272 | b <sub>3</sub> After 2hr of digestion                            |
| 273 |  |

### 274 3.2.4 Purification and culture of protoplasts

275 Endosperm protoplasts isolated from young developing seeds could be purified easily when 276 compared with the mature tissue due to the lack of oil granules. Protoplasts released from mature 277 endosperm tissue are bigger compared to that from immature tissue (Fig. 8a&b). However, due to the 278 presence of oil granules in case of mature tissue, purification process was difficult and the yield was 279 also less. Purification was carried out using 71µ mesh size sieve for protoplasts from immature 280 endosperm and 100µ mesh size sieve for protoplasts from mature endosperm. Protoplasts from 281 mature endosperm did not respond in culture. A few of the protoplasts from immature endosperm 282 started division in K&M medium supplemented with 0.1 µM NAA, 0.2 µM 2,4-D and 0.4µM BA (Fig. 283 8c&d). Further division and microcolony formation from these protoplasts is awaited.





Fig.8: Purification and culture of released protoplast

- 286 a Purified protoplast from mature endosperm tissue
- 287 b Purified protoplast from immature endosperm tissue

288 c & d Protoplast division.

289 **3.3 Ploidy determination** 

### 290 3.3.1 Cytological analysis

291 Cytological studies showed a chromosome count of 3n=54 (Fig. 9) in the callus obtained from 292 endosperm tissue, observed at a magnification of X 400. Hence it can be confirmed that the 293 endosperm derived callus is triploid in nature.



294

295

Fig.9: Chromosome count from endosperm derived callus (3n=54)

296

### 297 3.3.2 Flow cytometer

Using flow cytometer, ploidy of the endosperm derived callus was determined (Fig. 10a&b). The histogram showed fluorescence intensity of nuclei from the endosperm callus at the highest peak at channel 560 which is almost 1.5 times the value of the control diploid callus (400), thus proving this callus to be triploid. This confirms the presence of an extra set of chromosomes in the endosperm derived callus, thereby rendering it to be triploid. 303



### 310 4. DISCUSSION

311 Endosperm tissue shows a unique characteristic when compared with other explants in terms of 312 origin, development and ploidy level. In our study both mature and immature endosperm tissue were

taken as explants for protoplast culture and for direct and callus mediated embryogenesis.

### 314 4.1 Somatic embryogenesis from endosperm tissue

### 315 4.1.1 Callus mediated embryogenesis

In triploids chromosome pairing during meiosis is disrupted and the unequal segregation of the chromosomes produces aneuploid gametes, thereby significantly decreasing fertility. The most direct route to generate triploid plants is to regenerate shoots or somatic embryos from mature or immature endosperm tissue. This has been achieved in a range of plants (Garg *et al.*, 1996; Bhojwani, 2004).

320 In the present study callus induction, both from immature and mature endosperm tissue could be 321 obtained at frequencies 10% and 6% respectively. Basal medium was the same, namely Nitsch 322 medium. But the growth regulator combinations favoring callus induction were quite different, 2, 4-D 323 (6.3 μM) + Kin (12.1 μM) for mature endosperm and BA (4.4 μM) + NAA (2.2 μM) for immature 324 endosperm. This may be due to the difference in requirement of the endosperm tissue during the 325 early stage of development and the late/mature stage. Sehgal & Khurana, (1985) had reported callus 326 induction from endosperm of mature fruits in MS medium with IAA (1mgl<sup>-1</sup>) + BAP (1mgl<sup>-1</sup>) and also in 327 2,4-D (1 mgl<sup>-1</sup>) + Kin (1 mgl<sup>-1</sup>). Callus induction from mature endosperm of Actinidia deliciosa was

obtained in MS basal medium with 2, 4-D (2 mgl-1) + Kin (5 mgl-1) (Goralski et al., 2005). Also 328 329 different growth regulator reguirements for callus induction and subsequent callus proliferation from 330 immature endosperm of neem (Azadirachta indica) have been reported by Thomas and Chaturvedi, 331 (2008). According to them, best callusing (53 %) was obtained when cultured in MS + NAA (5  $\mu$ M) + 332 BA (2 µM) + CH (500 mgl<sup>-1</sup>) whereas the percentage of callus proliferation was maximum (45 %) in 333 MS + 2, 4-D (5 µM). In a few cultures, root induction was observed from the mature endosperm tissue 334 upon prolonged culture in the callus induction medium fortified with 6.6  $\mu$ M BA and 7.5  $\mu$ M NAA. 335 However, shoot induction hasn't so far been obtained in those cultures. Endosperm exhibits the 336 property of organogenesis, as reported by Johri and Bhojwani, (1965) in the case of Exocarpus 337 cupressiformis.

338 Earlier attempts were made by Rekha et al., (2007) for the development of triploids in Hevea using 339 mature endosperm tissue, but due to low plant regeneration frequency, no further work was 340 attempted. In 1947 La Rue, for the first time, reported the possibility of obtaining continuously growing 341 tissues from the cultured immature maize endosperm. In general it has been found that mature 342 endosperm requires the initial association of embryo to form callus but immature endosperm 343 proliferates independent of the embryo. Similar observation for mulberry was reported by Thomas et 344 al., (2000). The stages of immature endosperm at the time of culture were normally expressed as 345 days after pollination (Thomas et al., 2000). However, some researchers estimated the endosperm 346 stage in relation to the stage of developing embryo (Walia et al., 2007). When the callus was 347 transferred to a medium containing BA or Kin, shoot buds differentiated from all over the callus. 348 Maximum regeneration in terms of number of cultures showing shoot buds and number of buds per 349 callus occurred in the presence of 5 M BA (Chaturvedi et al., 2003).

### 350 4.1.2 Direct embryogenesis

351 Endosperm tissue, being the storage tissue for the developing zygotic embryo, is expected to be 352 amenable to direct embryogenesis under in vitro condition. In our experiment, direct embryo induction 353 from cultured immature endosperm could be obtained in MS medium supplemented with GA<sub>3</sub> (2.0 μM) 354 and BA (11.1  $\mu$ M). However the frequency was quite low. Hence further standardization needs to be 355 carried out in this direction to perfect a system for direct embryogenesis from the triploid endosperm 356 tissue. Parameters like exact age of the young fruit for endosperm isolation, various media 357 components and other additives need to be standardized. It is technically demanding but the rate of 358 success is generally very low.

### 359 4.1.3 Protoplast isolation and culture

Successful isolation of protoplasts from both immature and mature endosperm tissues could be achieved. Protoplasts obtained from immature endosperm showed division when cultured for callus induction. Isolation procedures that yield highly purified and functional protoplasts have been described for many species. The isolation of plant protoplasts was first reported more than 50 yr ago (Cocking, 1960). Isolated protoplasts allow the study of various metabolic processes. Freshly isolated protoplasts have been proved to be versatile cell systems for studying a broad spectrum of plant physiology, plant cell biology, plant gene engineering, biomechanics, stress responses and cell death controls (Bethke and Jones, 2001, Tena *et al.*, 2001).

368 Enzymatic isolation of protoplasts using cellulase was first reported in tomato from root tips (Cocking, 369 1960). The easy availability of commercial, purified enzymes such as cellulase, cellulysin, pectinase, 370 macerozyme, driselase, rhozyme and hemicellulase has now resulted in an increase in the yield and 371 viability of protoplasts and their subsequent response in the culture medium. Commonly a 372 combination of pectinase and cellulase is used to digest the cell walls and to liberate protoplasts 373 (Power and Cocking, 1970). In our experiment using a combination of 1 % cellulase and 0.2 % 374 pectinase, large number of protoplasts could be released from immature endosperm tissue. Higher 375 concentration of the enzyme cellulase (2.0 %) along with 0.2 % pectinase was found effective in the 376 release of protoplasts from mature endosperm tissue. The concentration and combination of enzymes 377 for the isolation depend upon age, genotype and stage of differentiation of the tissue from which the 378 protoplasts are to be isolated (Mukhtar et al., 2012). Protoplasts can be isolated from a variety of 379 tissues, young in vitro-grown plants (Bajaj, 1972), tissues like callus, cell suspension (Sushamakumari 380 et al., 2000a) and explants such as root tips (Xu et al., 1982), hypocotyl, cotyledons (Hammatt et al., 381 1987) and shoots (Russell and McCown, 1986) and leaves from old or mature plants (Sheen, 2001). 382 In our experiment protoplast isolation was tried from both mature and immature endosperm tissue. 383 Similar combinations of enzymes were used by Takahashi et al., (2004) to isolate endosperm 384 protoplast from dwarf rice variety. In legumes the most frequently used cellulase is Onozuka R-10. 385 This enzyme proved to be suitable for efficient protoplast release from primary explants of alfalfa 386 (Zafer et al., 1995; Mizukami et al., 2006). Pectinases interact with cells being in different phases of 387 the cell cycle and act like biochemical sorters (Sinha & Caligari, 2004). The stability, viability and 388 further growth of the isolated protoplasts are closely related to the maintenance of a proper 389 osmoticum during isolation and subsequent culture. In general, osmotic potential is adjusted by 390 adding D-Mannitol, sorbitol, glucose or sucrose to the enzyme mixture (Navratilova, 2004).

391 Generally protoplast burst in hypotonic solution and collapse in hypertonic solution (Ohshima and 392 Tyama, 1989). The use of metabolically active osmotic stabilizers like glucose, sucrose along with 393 metabolically inert mannitol is advantageous for protoplast culture. Such substances will be utilized by 394 the protoplasts for growth and cell wall regeneration (Vasil, 1976). At optimum sugar alcohol and 395 enzyme combination the protoplast yield from mature endosperm tissue was less compared to the 396 immature endosperm tissue. A decrease in yield and viability of protoplasts obtained from later stage endosperm due to starch increase has been reported in maize (Schwall and Feix, 1988) and wheat 397 398 (Keeling et al., 1989).

Purification of protoplasts from mature endosperm tissue also became difficult due to the presence of oil granules. Thus endosperm tissue from young developing seeds was identified as the suitable explant for protoplast isolation and culture. Helle *et al.*, (2010) studied the characterization of oil and starch accumulation in tubers of *Cyperus esculentus Var. Sativus (Cyperaceae)* where he reported that at the initial stage the starch starts accumulating and along with the development, sugar and 404 protein levels decrease and oil starts accumulating and lipid and fatty acid composition begins to 405 reflect a storage character. Similar observations were obtained in our experiment showing that in 406 *Hevea*, as maturation proceeds there is accumulation of oil granules. Protoplast yield of 36 x 104 gfw 407 was obtained from immature endosperm tissue of *Hevea* and showed division when cultured in the 408 K&M medium.

409 In Hevea, successful protoplast release could be achieved using embryogenic cell suspension 410 derived from immature inflorescence and inner integument of immature fruits (Sushamakumari et al., 411 2000a). They also reported that the protoplasts, when cultured in KPR liquid medium, underwent 412 division leading to callus formation and embryogenesis. Similar results were obtained by 413 Pongchawee, (2006) who reported first cell division of protoplast when cultured in KM8P medium. The 414 isolated protoplasts from endosperm are generally metabolically active as discussed by Isabel, (1992) 415 who reported stable transformation of protoplast from maize endosperm. Many useful genes 416 expressing in barley are endosperm specific.

### 417 4.1.4 Ploidy determination

418 The callus obtained from endosperm tissue was triploid in nature as confirmed through both cytology 419 and flow cytometric analyses. Cytology and flow cytometer are two techniques generally used to 420 count the chromosome and the DNA content in many species like coconut (Abraham and Mathew, 421 2011), kiwifruit (Goralski et al., 2005). In our result a chromosome count of 54 was obtained from 422 endosperm tissue of *Hevea*. Hevea is a diploid species with a chromosome count of 36. Hence it can 423 be assumed that the calli obtained from endosperm tissue are triploid in nature and can be used for 424 the in vitro development of triploid plants of Hevea through endosperm culture. The result was also 425 supported by the flow cytometer data, showing peak at 560 which is 1.5 times more than the peak 426 value of control.

### 427 5. CONCLUSION

428 Callus induction could be achieved from both mature and immature endosperm tissue. Direct 429 embryogenesis has been obtained from immature endosperm.

Different parameters for isolation and purification of endosperm protoplasts have been standardized. Protoplast release could be obtained from both immature and mature endosperm tissues. Protoplast division could be induced in a few cultures derived from immature endosperm. Isolation, culture and division of protoplasts from endosperm tissue of *Hevea brasiliensis* is reported for the first time. Triploid nature of endosperm callus (3n =54) was confirmed through cytology as well as flow cytometry.

436

437

### 439 **REFERENCE**

- Hoshino Y, Miyashita T, Thomas D. *In vitro* culture of endosperm and its application in plant
   breeding: approaches to polyploidy breeding. Sci Hortic. 2011; 130(1):1-8.
- 442 2. Lampel L, Mills CO. Growth and development of isolated endosperms of *Carthamus*443 *tinctorius*. Biol. Plant. 1930; 51: 749–753.
- 444 3. Murashige T, Skoog F. A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue
  445 cultures. Physiol Plant.1962; 15: 473-497.
- 446
  4. Lloyd C, McCown. B (1980) commercially feasible micropropagation of *Mountain laurel*,
  447 *Kalmia latifolia* by use of shoot tip culture. Int. Plant Prop. Soc. Proc.1980; 30:421–427.
- 448 5. Kao KN, Michayluk MR. Nutritional requirements for growth of *Vicia hajastana* cells and 449 protoplasts at a very low population density in liquid media. Planta. 1975; 126:105-110.
- 450 6. Rekha K .Cytogenetic analysis in Kacholam (*Kaempferia galangal*). MSc thesis. 1993;KAU.
- 7. Rashmi RH, Rakhi Chaturvedi. Establishment of dedifferentiated callus of haploid origin from
  unfertilized ovaries of tea (*Camellia sinensis* (L) O. Kuntze) as a potential source of total
  phenolics and antioxidant activity. In Vitro Cell. Dev.Biol.-Plant.2013; 49:60-69.
- 454 8. Garg L, Bhandari NN, Rani V, Bhojwani SS. Somatic embryos and regeneration of triploid
  455 plants in endosperm cultures of *Acacia nilotica*. Plant Cell Rep.1996; 15:855–858.
- 456 9. Bhojwani SS. *In vitro* production of triploid plants. In: Goodman RM (ed) Encyclopedia of plant
  457 and crop science. Marcel Dekker, New York, 2004; pp 590–593.
- 458 10. Sehgal CB, Khurana S. Morphogenesis and plant regeneration from cultured endosperm of
   459 *Emblica officinalis Gaertn*. Plant Cell Rep.1985; 4:263–266.
- 460 11. Goralski G, Popielarska M, Slesak H, Siwinska D, Batycka M. Organogenesis in endosperm
   461 of *Actinidia deliciosa* cv. Hayward cultured *in vitro*. Acta Biol Crac Ser Bot.2005; 47:121–128.
- 462 12. Thomas TD, Chaturvedi R.Endosperm culture: a novel method for triploid plant Production.
  463 Plant Cell Tiss Organ Cult.2008; 93:1–14.
- 464 13. Johri BM, Bhojwani SS Growth response of mature endosperm in cultures. Nature.1965;
  465 298:1345–1347
- 14. Rekha K, Jayashree R, Sushamakumari S, Sankariammal L, Thulaseedharan A. Endosperm
  culture in *Hevea brasiliensis*. In: Recent Trends in Horticultural Biotechnology
  (Keshavachandran R, Nazeem PA, Girija D, John PS and Peter KV Eds.), New India
  Publishers, 2007; p.111-116.
- 470 15. La Rue CD (1947). Growth and regeneration of the endosperm of maize in culture. Am.J. Bot.
  471 1947; 34:585–586.

# UNDER PEER REVIEW

| 472               | 16. Thomas TD, Bhatnagar AK, Bhojwani SS. Production of triploid plants of mulberry (Morus  |
|-------------------|---|
| 473               | 17. Walia N, Kaur A, Babbar SB. Proliferation and differentiation from endosperms of <i>Carthamus</i>   |
| 475               | tinctorius. Biologia Plantarum.2007; 51 (4): 749-753.   |
| 476<br>477<br>478 | 18. Chaturvedi R, Razdan MK, Bhojwani SS. An efficient protocol for the production of triploid<br>plants from endosperm callus of neem, <i>Azadirchta indica</i> A. Juss. J Plant Physiol.2003;<br>160:557–564.   |
| 479<br>480        | 19. Cocking EC.A method for the isolation of plant protoplasts and vacuoles. Nature. 1960; 187:927-9.   |
| 481<br>482        | 20. Bethke PC, Jones RL. Cell death of barley aleurone protoplasts is mediated by reactive oxygen species. Plant J.2001; 25:19-29.  |
| 483<br>484        | 21. Tena G, Asai T, Chiu WL, Sheen J. Plant MAP kinase signaling cascades. Curr Opin Plant<br>Biol.2001; 4:392-400.   |
| 485<br>486        | 22. Power JB, Cocking EC. Isolation of leaf protoplast: Macromolecule uptake and growth substance   |
| 487               | response. J Exp Bot.1970; 21:64-70.   |
| 488<br>489        | <ol> <li>Mukhtar I, Bajwa R, Nasim .G Isolation of Mesophyll Protoplasts from Leaves of Dalbergia<br/>sissoo Roxb. J. Appl. Sci. Environ.2012; 16 (1): 11 – 15.</li> </ol>  |
| 490<br>491        | 24. Bajaj YPS. Protoplast culture and regeneration of haploid tobacco plants. Am J Bot. 1972; 59:647.   |
| 492<br>493<br>494 | 25. Sushamakumari S, Sobha S, Rekha K, Jayasree R, Asokan MP. Influence of growth<br>regulators and sucrose on somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration from immature<br>inflorescence of <i>H. brasiliensis</i> . Indian Journal of Natural Rubber Research. 2000a; 13: 19-29. |
| 495<br>496        | 26. Xu ZH, Davey MR, Cocking EC. Organogenesis from root protoplasts of the forage legumes<br>Medicago sativa and Trigonella foenum-graecum. Z. Pflanzenphysiol.1982; 107:231-5.  |
| 497<br>498        | 27. Hammatt N, Kim HI, Davey MR, Nelson RS, Cocking EC. Plant regeneration from cotyledon protoplasts of <i>Glycine canascens</i> and <i>G. glandestina</i> . Plant Sci.1987; 48:129-35.  |
| 499<br>500        | 28. Russell JA, McCown BH. Culture and regeneration of Populus leaf protoplasts isolated from non-seedling tissue. Plant Sci.1986; 46:33-142.   |
| 501<br>502        | 29. Sheen J. Signal transduction in maize and Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts. Plant Physiol. 2001; 127: 1466–1475.   |
| 503<br>504<br>505 | 30. Takahashi H, Shigeto M, Takehiro M, Kunisuke T. Preparation of Endosperm Protoplasts from<br>a Dwarf Rice Variety and Transient Expression of Green-Fluorescent Protein. Plant<br>Biotechnology.2004; 21(2):109-112.  |

# UNDER PEER REVIEW

| 506         3           507         508 | <ol> <li>Zafar Y, Nenz E, Damiani F, Pupilli F, Arcioni S. Plant regeneration from explant and<br/>protoplast derived calluses of <i>Medicago littoralis</i>. Plant Cell Tissue and Organ Culture.1995;<br/>41:48.</li> </ol>   |
|---|---|
| 509 3<br>510<br>511                     | 2. Mizukami Y, Kato M, Takamizo T, Kanbe M, Inami S, Hattori K. Interspecific hybrids between<br><i>Medicago sativa</i> L. and annual Medicago containing alfalfa weevil resistance. Plant Cell Tiss.<br>Org. Cult. 2006; 84:79-88.   |
| 512 3<br>513                            | 3. Sinha A, Caligari PDS. Aspects of isolation underpinning mitotic behaviour in lupin protoplasts. Australian Journal of Botany. 2004; 52: 669-676.  |
| 514 3<br>515                            | 4. Navratilova B. Protoplast Culture and Protoplast fusion focused on Brassicaceae. Hort. Sci<br>(Prague). 2004; 31:140-157.  |
| 516 3<br>517                            | 5. Ohshima M, Toyama S. Studies on culture of cells and tissue of crop plants, I. survey on isolation and culture of protoplast from rice leaf sheath. Jap. J. Crop Sci. 1989; 58: 103-110.   |
| 518 36<br>519                           | 5. Vasil IK. The progress, problems and prospects of plant protoplast research. In: Advances in agronomy.1976; 28: Brady N.C (ed.). New York: Academic press  |
| 520 37<br>521                           | 7. Schwall M, Feix G. Zein promoter activity in transiently transformed protoplasts from maize.<br>Plant  |
| 522                                     | Sci.1988; 56 161-166.   |
| 523 38<br>524                           | <ol> <li>Keeling PL, Baird S, Tyson RH. Isolation and properties of protoplasts from endosperm of<br/>developing wheat grain. Plant Sci.1989; 65:55-62.</li> </ol>  |
| 525 39<br>526<br>527<br>528             | 9. Helle T, Salla M, Karl-Erik G, Per H, Marie EO, Leif B, Sten S, Anders S. Characterizaton of<br>oil and starch accumulation in tubers of <i>Cyperus esculentus</i> var. Sativus: A novel model<br>system to study oil reserves in non-seed tissues. American Journal of Botany.2010; 97(11):<br>1884–1893. |
| 529 40                                  | ). Pongchawee K, Nakorn U, Lamseejan S, Poompuang S, Phansiri S. Factors affecting the  |
| 530                                     | protoplast isolation and culture of Anubias nana Engler. International Journal of Botany. 2006  |
| 531                                     | 2: 193-200.   |
| 532 4 <sup>-</sup><br>533               | . Isabel D, Pilar C. Isolation of protoplast from developing barley endosperm: a tool for transient expression studies. Plant Cell Rep.1992; 10:595-598.  |
| 534 42                                  | 2. Abraham A, Mathew PM. Cytology of coconut endosperm. aob.oxfordjournals.org.2011.  |
| 535 <b>4</b> 3<br>536                   | <b>3.</b> Goralski G, Popielarska M, Slesak H, Siwinska D, Batycka M. Organogenesis in endosperm of <i>Actinidia deliciosa</i> cv. Hayward cultured <i>in vitro</i> . Acta Biol Crac Ser Bot. 2005; 47:121–128.   |