# DETERMINANTS OF MEDICINAL PLANTS USAGE FOR TRADITIONAL HERBAL MEDICINE AMONG VILLAGERS LIVING AT THE PERIMETER FENCE OF INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TROPICAL AGRICULTURE (I.I.T.A) IBADAN, OYO STATE, NIGERIA

## Abstract

8 The study was designed to access the determinants of medicinal plants for traditional herbal 9 medicine among villagers living at the perimeter fence of International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (I.I.T.A), Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria. Multistage sampling procedure was 10 adopted for the study. A total number of four hundred and eight respondents comprising of 11 farmers, hunters, herbalists and herb sellers were randomly selected and interviewed using 12 13 copies of well structured questionnaire. Data were analysed using descriptive statistics, Probit and Tobit regression analysis. The study showed that the average age of farmers and hunters 14 15 was 55 and 57 years while the average age of herb sellers was 43 and herbalist 63 years. Majority of the respondents pooled together are male, married with average age of 55 years 16 and house hold size of 7 members. The larger percentage of them were native of the study 17 18 area, not educated, not employed, but having the monthly income between 12,000- 20,000 naira and closer to the forest by 1-9 km. The study further revealed that there was significant 19 relationship between the use and intensity of use of medicinal plants for tradition herbal 20 medicine and factors that determine it. Variables such as age, religion, sex, believe in 21 22 traditional herbs, forest medicinal plants used in treating any ailment in the past, nearness to the forest, presence of health care medical centre, poverty status and income were significant 23 at 1% probability level. Household size and occupation was significant at 5% level while 24 25 location was significant at 10% level of significant. The study therefore recommends that conservation and domestication of these valuable medicinal plants should be a priority to 26 prevent their loss and ensure continuous supply to people that needs them. 27

Keywords: Medicinal plants, Traditional herbal medicine, Uses, Variables, Villages,
Respondents, Stratified, Probit and Tobit

Introduction Human beings, through intuition, have invented the art of healing systems, which are mostly based on medicinal plants. These medicinal plants are accredited with mystical and supernatural powers of healing. They are used widely across the world for primary health care and also in modern drug discoveries. It is estimated that more than 13,000 species of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants (MAPs) are used in traditional medicines and herbal cosmetics throughout the world (Wilkinson, *et. al.*, 2002).

Herbal medicine has been defined differently by various people. According to Kamboj 38 (2000), "herbal drugs constitute only those traditional medicines which primarily use 39 medicinal plant preparations for their therapy". Lucas (2010) also defines herbal medicine as 40 "the use of plant products to treat or prevent a disease". Nsowah-Nuamah et. al., (2004) 41 suggests that the treatment of herbal practitioners usually "takes the form of herbs, plant 42 preparations, and prayers. The World Health Organization (WHO) defines herbal medicine as 43 "a plant-derived material or preparation with therapeutic or other human health benefits 44 which contains either raw or processed ingredients from one or more plants (WHO, 2000a, 45 1998). However, the WHO Regional Office for Africa (2004) uses the term "traditional 46 47 medicine" as a synonym for herbal medicine and defines it as "the use of indigenous medicinal and aromatic plants, animal parts, or organic and inorganic materials for preventive 48 and therapeutic purposes. 49

50

Traditional medicine continues to play an important role in improving and maintaining health 51 52 in developing countries (Cunningham et. al., 2008). Traditional medicine is defined as 'diverse health practices, approaches, knowledge and beliefs incorporating plant, animal, and/ 53 or mineral based medicine, spiritual therapies, manual techniques and exercises applied 54 singularly or in combination to maintain well-being, as well as to treat, diagnose or prevent 55 illness' (NNMDA, 2008; WHO, 2002; 2005). Policies for the integration of traditional 56 medicine into public health care systems have to varying extents been formulated in some 57 countries (WHO, 2001) and the share of the population using traditional medicine are 58 59 reported to be as high as 40% in China and 80% in Africa (WHO, 2002). In Nigeria, there has been a reasonable and noticeable shift from the earlier preference in favour of orthodox 60 61 medicine to greater acceptance of traditional (herbal) medicines as in many other countries worldwide (Akunvili, 2003). Over 90% of Nigerians in rural areas and 40% in urban areas 62 63 depend partly or wholly on traditional medicine (Osemeobo and Ujor 1999).

64

Traditional medicine is generally considered highly available and accessible to people in developing countries (Anyinam, 1987). This high use of herbal medicines may be due to accessibility, affordability, availability and acceptability of traditional medicines by majority of the populace in developing countries (Elvin-Lewis, 2000). Consequently, poor and marginalized people are commonly assumed to be most reliant on traditional medicine for their healthcare (Cunningham *et. al.*, 2008). Differences in reliance have also been observed between rural and urban areas (Chaturvedi *et. al.*, 2009).

72

73 Traditional and herbal medicine has taken the new name, complementary and alternative 74 medicine (CAM). CAM refers to those therapeutic and diagnostic disciplines that exist 75 largely outside the institutions where orthodox or modern health care is provided (Shaikh and 76 Hatcher, 2005). On the other hand, the University of Maryland Medical Center (2010) gave a definition of herbal medicine as "plant's seeds, berries, roots, leaves, bark, or flowers for 77 medicinal purposes. Herbs that are used for medicinal purposes come in a variety of forms. 78 Active parts of a plant may include leaves, flowers, stems, roots, seeds, and berries (Woolf, 79 2003). They may be taken internally as pills or powders, dissolved into tinctures or syrups, or 80 brewed in teas and concoctions. 81

82

Medicinal plant is defined as any substance with one or more of its organ containing
substances that can be used for therapeutic purposes or which can be used as precursors for
the synthesis of antimicrobial drugs (Sofowora, 1982, 1984). It is estimated that there are
about 250, 000 - 500, 000 species of plants on earth (Borris, 1996), of which a relatively

small percentage (1-10%) of these are used for food by humans and animals. It is possible
that more serve medicinal purposes (Moerman, 1996).

89

Medicinal plants contain numerous biologically active compounds such as carbohydrates,
proteins, enzymes, fats and oils, minerals, vitamins, alkaloids, quinones, terpenoids,
flavonoids, carotenoids, sterols, simple phenolic glycosides, tannins, saponins, polyphenols,
to mention a few which have medicinal activities.

94

In African countries, approximately 80% of the population uses traditional medicine for the 95 96 treatment of various diseases and ailments like malaria, typhoid, ulcer, skin diseases, 97 diabetes, reproductive problems, aches and pains for various socio-cultural & economic 98 reasons (Ajose, 2007). In Nigeria, the majority of citizens still uses medicinal plants and visit 99 traditional medicine practitioners for their health care need (Odugbemi, 2006). It was reported by WHO that in Nigeria, the ratio of Traditional Health Practitioners to the 100 population was 1:110, while the ratio of Medical Doctors to the population was 1:16, 400 101 102 (African Health Monitor, 2003). This gives credence to the fact that people patronize Traditional medicine practitioners (TMPs) for their primary health needs more than orthodox 103 104 medical doctors.

105

106 In Nigeria, the use of medicinal plants for traditional and// or herbal remedies have become 107 more popular in the treatment of minor ailments, and also on account of the increasing costs of prescription drugs in the maintenance of personal health and well-being, and the bio 108 109 prospecting of new plant derived drugs. Based on current research and financial investments, medicinal plants will, seemingly, continue to play an important role as a health aid (Hoareau 110 and DaSilva, 1999; Moerman, 1996). In spite of the millions of chemical compounds 111 112 currently synthesized in the laboratory, and available for screening for action of therapeutic 113 value, natural products, particularly of plants origin remain the most important sources of new drugs (Odugbemi, 2006). Indeed, the market and public demand for these traditional 114 115 and/ or herbal remedies has been so great that there is a great risk that many medicinal plants 116 today, face either extinction or loss of genetic diversity. The factors that determine the use of medicinal plants for traditional herbal medicine are not know, it is on this premise that this 117 118 research was carried out to access the determinants of medicinal plants for traditional herbal medicine among respondents of the study area. 119

120

121 The specific objectives are to: (i) describe the socio-economic characteristics of the

- respondents. (ii) Determine the factors that affect the use of medicinal plants for traditional or
- 123 herbal medicine by the respondents and (iii) determine the level or intensity of medicinal
- 124 plants usage for traditional or herbal medicine by the respondents.
- 125

# 126 Hypothesis of the study

- 127 The hypothesis of the study is stated in the null form is as follows:
- Ho: There is no significant relationship between the medicinal plants usage for traditionalherbal medicine and the factors that determine it.
- Ho: There is no significant relationship between the intensity of medicinal plant usage fortraditional herbal medicine and the factors that determine it.
- 132 133

# Methodology

134 Study area: The study areas are the villages by IITA perimeter fence in Akinyele Local 135 Government area of Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria. The Local Government Council is bounded 136 on the East by Lagelu Local Government, on the North by Afijio Local Government, on the 137 South by Ibadan North Local Government and on the West by Iddo Local Government. The 138 whole Local Government Council area is five hundred and seventy five square kilometers  $(575 \text{km}^2)$ . The average annual rainfall is about 1200mm and ecological zone type is forest 139 savanna. The major occupations of the people residing in the area are farming, carpentry, 140 trading, marketing, food processing as well as carving work. Crop such as cassava, maize, 141 142 yam, pepper, cucumber, water melon, tomatoes and okroa are mostly grown in the area. IITA is located at longitude  $7^0$  30' 8''N, latitude  $3^0$  54' 37''E and 243m above sea level 143 (Tenkouano and Baiyeri, 2007). In 1965, the Federal Government of Nigeria allocated some 144 145 1000 hectares of land for the establishment of the main IITA campus. Prior to the acquisition 146 of land by IITA through the Federal Government of Nigeria, there are patches of secondary forest which serves as a means of livelihood to the villagers in the area. The most extensive 147 148 land use pattern was arable and tree crop and about 3000 people lived in about twenty eight 149 villages scattered in this area. These villages where relocated to the perimeter fence of IITA 150 where there are expanse of secondary forest. At the period of this study, only seventeen villages exist at the perimeter fence of I.I.T.A and the secondary forest had been taken over 151 152 by development leaving patches of scattered forest in the area.

153

154 **Data Collection and Sampling Methods:** A multistage sampling procedure was adopted for 155 this study. All the seventeen villages by IITA perimeter fence were purposefully selected 156 because of the following reasons (i) the villages were once located on the area were IITA is 157 presently located (ii) the closeness of the villages to IITA forest and (iii) the presence of forest patches in all the villages. These villages are namely Lagbe, Akinola, Ofakun, Alaraba, 158 159 Olodo, Laniba, Oloro, Oyafi, Adetoyebi, Awumoro, Aba Oso, Ajanbata, Olosun, Falao, 160 Oluana, Adeogun and Idi-ose. Respondents were stratified into four major groups in each of 161 the village: namely farmers, hunters, herb sellers and herbalist. Within each stratum, a 162 random selection of six respondents was carried out making twenty four respondents in each village and a total number of four hundred and eight respondents in all the seventeen villages. 163 164 Interview was conducted for each of the respondents with the aid of questionnaires and 165 responses were recorded.

166

167 **Data Analysis:** Data were analyzed with descriptive statistics such as tables, frequency, percentages and means to summarize the socio economics data. Probit model was used to 168 169 determine factors affecting the use of medicinal plants for traditional or herbal medicine by 170 the respondents and Tobit regression analysis was used following Adesina and Zinnah (1993), Goodwin and Mishra (2004), El Osta et. al., (2004), whose works were built on 171 172 Tobit (1958) to determine the intensity of medicinal plant usage for traditional and/ or 173 herbal medicine. Probit is an estimating model that emerges from the normal distribution 174 function. It is useful in regression that involves binary response of 0 and 1 (Gujarati, 2004).

- 175 176
- The model is specified implicitly as follows:

177  $P_1 = P_r (Y_1 = 1) = P_r (U_1 > Uo_1) = F_1 (X_1 \beta)$  ------ equation 1

- 178  $P_1$  = Probit notation
- 179 X = Matrix of the explanatory variables included in the model
- 180  $X_1$  = Age of the respondents (Years),
- 181  $X_2$  = Believe in traditional herb (BTH) (Yes= 1, 0 otherwise),
- 182  $X_3 =$ Religion (Traditional religion= 1, 0 otherwise),
- 183  $X_4 = Sex$  (Male= 1; 0 otherwise),

# UNDER PEER REVIEW

- 184  $X_5$  = Forest medicinal plants used in treating any ailment in the past (FPUTAP) (Yes= 1, 0
- 185 otherwise),
- 186  $X_6$  = Nearness of respondents to the forest medicinal plants (Distance in km),
- 187  $X_7$  = Household size (Actual number of household members),
- 188  $X_8 = Occupation$
- 189  $X_9$  = Level of education (years of schooling),

190  $X_{10}$ = Marital status

- 191  $X_{11}$  = Location of the respondent (Rural area = 1, 0 otherwise),
- 192  $X_{12}$ = Presence of health care centres (PHCC) Hospital (Yes= 1, 0 otherwise),
- 193  $X_{13}$  = Nativity of the household (native= 1, 0 otherwise),
- 194  $X_{14}$ = Poverty status of the respondent (PSR) (Poor= 1, 0 otherwise).
- 195  $X_{15}$ = Income (<del>N</del>)
- 196  $\beta$  = Vector of parameter to be estimated
- 197  $P_r$  = Probability function of using medicinal plants for traditional or herbal medicine (1, 0
- 198 otherwise)
- 199 F (X<sub>1</sub>  $\beta$ ) = Cumulative distribution function for random error term (U<sub>1</sub>) evaluated at X<sub>1</sub>  $\beta$
- Explicitly, the probit is specified as:
- 201  $P_1 = P (FAD = \frac{1}{x}) = b_0 + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + \dots + b_{15} x_{15} + e \dots + equation 2$
- 202 Where P (FAD =  $\frac{1}{x}$ ) = Probability decision of using medicinal plants for traditional or herbal
- 203 medicine (1, or 0 otherwise)
- 204  $b_1 b_{15} =$  Maximum likelihood estimates.
- 205  $X_1 X_{15} =$  Explanatory variables as defined in the implicit form of the Probit model above.
- e = error term
- 207 The conceptual Tobit model can be specified as;
- 208 209  $y_i^* = X_i\beta + \varepsilon_i$  ..... equation 3
- 210  $y_i = y_i^*$  if  $y_i^* > 0$
- 211  $y_i = 0$  if  $y_i^* \le 0$

212  $y_i$  is the observed dependent variables indicating the level or intensity of use of medicinal 213 plants,  $y_i^*$  is the latent dependent variables,  $x_i$  is the vector of the independent variable,  $\beta$  is 214 the vector of coefficients,  $\varepsilon_i$  is assumed to be independently normally distributed:  $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \delta)$ 215 and therefore  $y_i \sim N(X_i\beta, \delta)$ . 216 The extent or intensity of utilization of medicinal plant (yi) was measured using likert scale 217 of Never = 0, rarely = 0.2, sometimes = 0.4, frequent = 0.6 and always = 0.8.

- 219 The explanatory  $(x_i)$  variables include:
- 221  $X_1 = Age of the respondents (Years),$
- 222  $X_2$  = Believe in traditional herb (BTH) (Yes= 1, 0 otherwise),
- 223  $X_3 =$ Religion (Traditional religion= 1, 0 otherwise),
- 224  $X_4 = Sex$  (Male= 1; 0 otherwise),
- 225  $X_5$  = Nearness of respondents to the forest medicinal plants (Distance in km),
- 226  $X_6$  = Distance of health services centers (DHSC) (Km),
- 227  $X_7$  = Household size (Actual number of household members),
- 228  $X_8 = Occupation$
- 229  $X_9 =$  Level of education (years of schooling),
- 230  $X_{10} =$  Marital status
- 231  $X_{11}$  = Location of the respondent (Rural area= 1, 0 otherwise),
- 232  $X_{12}$  = Nativity of the household (native= 1, 0 otherwise),
- 233  $X_{13}$  = Poverty status of the respondent (PSR) (Poor= 1, 0 otherwise).
- 234  $X_{14} = \text{Income}(\mathbb{N})$
- 235 236

# **Result and discussion**

Socio economic characteristics of the respondents: Table 1 shows the socio- economic characteristics of the respondents. The average age of farmers and hunters was 55 and 57 years while the average age of herb sellers was 43 and herbalist 63 years. The highest age group was found between 41- 60 years for farmers, hunters and herb sellers with 67.65%, 68.63% and 60.78% respectively while 64.71% of herbalist had the highest age between 61- 80 years. The age of an individual determines his/ her utilization of medicinal plants for traditional herbal medicine. The use of medicinal plants increases with age.

244

The percentage of farmers that were male was 85.29 while 14.71% were female. 100% of hunters and herbalist were male while herb sellers had 100% female. 71.32% of the total respondents were male while 28.68% were female. A higher percentage of the males will use medicinal plants for traditional herbal medicine. This can be explained by a larger household size in male headed households, where medicinal plants would have to be used for traditional herbal medicine as curative measure in order to minimize cost.

251

Majority of the respondents were married with hunters' respondents having the highest value of 96.08% followed by herbalists 95.10%, farmers and herb sellers had 94.12% and 92.16% respectively. 94.36% of the total respondents were married, 2.21% were single, 2.45% and 0.98% were widower and widowed respectively. The married respondents will use medicinal plants for traditional herbal medicine. This is explained by a large sized household in the case of married respondent where medicinal plants is preferred to reduce the amount of income spent on curative measures.

259

The highest household size was found in the group of 6-10 for all the categories of respondents, herbalist had the highest household size of 77.45%, followed by hunters, herb sellers and farmers with 71.57%, 64.71% and 60.78% respectively. 68.63% of the total respondents had household size between 6-10, 12.99% had family size within 11-15 while only 18.38% had it between 1-5. The larger the household size the greater the use of medicinal plants for traditional herbal medicine. A large household will prefers medicinal plants in treatment of ailments because of its affordability and the inability of the household to purchase prescriptive drugs.

268

269 The percentage of the respondents that were not educated was 97.06%, 86.27%, 68.63% and 67.65% for hunters, herbalist, herb sellers and famers. Only 22.55%, 21.57%, 12.75% and 270 271 1.96% farmers, herb sellers, herbalist and hunters had primary six educations while 9.80% of famers and herb sellers, and 0.98% of hunters and herbalist had secondary school education. 272 273 The total number of respondents that were educated both primary and secondary school 274 education was 20.10% while 79.90% of them were not educated. An individual with no or 275 low level of education will use medicinal plants for traditional herbal medicine, this is due to 276 the number of years for which the respondent has been exposed to formal education while an 277 individual with higher level of education prefers orthodox medicine because of its ease of consumption, storability and carriage. 278

279

Majority of the respondents interviewed were native of the area with a value of 89.71% while 10.29% were non native residing in the area. The native are expected to use medicinal plants for traditional herbal medicine than non native. This is because the native are well familiar with medicinal plants in their environments with their curative values.

284

285 The nearness of the respondents to the forest showed that 86.27% of famers, 83.33% of herbalist, 66.67% herb sellers, and 37.25% of the hunters were closer to the forest with a 286 distance of 1-3 km. The percentage of hunters, herb sellers, herbalists and farmers that were 287 288 closer to the forest by 4-6 km were 48.04%, 24.51%, 11.77% and 13.73% respectively. Only 289 14.71%, 8.82%, and 4.90% of hunters, herb sellers and herbalist were closer to the forest by 290 7-9 km. 68.38% of the total respondents were closer to forest by 1-3 km while 24.50% and 291 7.12% of them had forest closer to them by 4-6 and 7-9 km respectively. The closer the forest 292 to the respondents the greater the use of medicinal plants for traditional herbal medicine 293

In term of employment, all the herb sellers' respondents were not employed apart from selling of herbal plants, they formed 100%. The percentage of unemployed herbalist, farmers and hunters were 87.25%, 79.41% and 39.22% respectively while 60.78% of hunters, 20.59% of famers and 12.75% of herbalists were employed. The result pooled together showed that 76.47% of the respondents were not employed while only 23.53% were employed. The unemployed respondents are likely to use medicinal plants for traditional herbal medicine because of its affordability and availability.

301

302 56.86% of famers, 44.12% of herb sellers, 36.27% of hunters and 5.88% of herbalists had 303 income ranges between 4, 000 to 12, 000 naira. The percentage of hunters, herb sellers, 304 famers and herbalist that had their income ranges between 12, 000 to 20, 000 naira were 305 58.82%, 55.88%, 43.14% and 20.59% respectively. Only 52.94% and 20.59% of herbalist 306 had their income ranges from 28, 000 to 36, 000 naira while 4.90% of hunters had it between 307 20, 000 to 28, 000 naira. 44.61% and 35.78% of the total respondent had their income ranges 308 between 12, 000- 20, 000 and 4, 000- 12, 000 while 14.46% and 5.15% had it between 20, 309 000-28, 000 and 28, 000-36, 000 respectively. The lower the employment and income, the 310 greater the use of medicinal plants for traditional herbal medicine. This is because of relative 311 cheapness of medicinal plants compared to orthodox drugs.

Determinants of medicinal plants usage for traditional herbal medicine by the 313 **respondents:** Table 2 showed the result of factors that affect the use of medicinal plants for 314 traditional herbal medicine by the respondents. From the table, eight out of fifteen variables 315 had significant coefficients. These include religion  $(X_3)$ , sex  $(X_4)$ , forest plant used in treating 316 317 any ailment in the past  $(X_5)$ , nearness to the forest  $(X_6)$ , occupation  $(X_8)$ , presence of health care centers  $(X_{12})$ , poverty status of the respondents  $(X_{14})$  and income  $(X_{15})$ . The significant 318 319 and positive determinants of medicinal plants usage for traditional herbal medicine by the 320 respondents include sex, medicinal plants used in treating any ailment in the past, nearness to 321 the forest, occupation, poverty status and income. In other words, enhancing these variables 322 enhances the likelihood of respondents to utilize medicinal plants for traditional and herbal 323 medicine. According to Omonona et. al., (2012), age, sex, and accessibility were significant 324 and positive determinant of consumer preference for medicinal plants in Oyo metropolis 325 Nigeria. Furthermore, significant and negative determinant of respondents utilization of 326 medicinal plants for traditional herbal medicine include religion and presence of health care 327 centre. However reducing these variables will enhances the respondent's likelihood of utilizing medicinal plants for traditional herbal medicine. Also, according to Omonona et. al 328 329 (2012), primary education and household head education are significant and negative 330 determinants of consumer preference for medicinal plants in Oyo metropolis, Nigeria. The 331 log-likelihood ratio (LR) statistics of the entire model is -144.54722 and is significant at 1% 332 level of significance, meaning that the overall model is significant and the null hypothesis is rejected. The coefficients of significant variables are explained thus: 333

334

Religion  $(X_3)$  is significant at 1% level of significance. It has a negative relationship with medicinal plant usage for traditional herbal medicine (-1.014154) which implies that a nontraditional religion respondents is likely not to use medicinal plants for traditional herbal medicine.

339

Sex  $(X_4)$  is significant at 1% level of significance. It has a positive relationship with medicinal plant usage (0.4111003). This means that a male respondents or a household headed by male is likely to use medicinal plants from the forest for traditional herbal medicine since such a household is likely to be large. This is because of the relative cheapness and availability of the medicinal plants.

345

Forest medicinal plants used in treating any ailment in the past  $(X_5)$  is significant at 1% level of significant. It has a positive (0.649201) relationship with medicinal plants usage. This means that respondent that has used medicinal plants in the treatment of a particular illness in the past are likely to continued using it.

350

Nearness to the forest  $(X_6)$  is significant at 1% level of significant. It has positive (0.2661395) relationship with medicinal plant usage. This means that the closer the respondents to the forest greater the likelihood of using the medicinal plants for traditional herbal medicine.

355

Occupation  $(X_8)$  is significant at 10% level of significant. It has positive (0.1385835) relationship to the medicinal plants usage. This means that the closer the relationship of respondent's occupation to the forest, the more likelihood will be the respondents to use forest medicinal plants for traditional herbal medicine.

361 The coefficient of educational level ( $X_9$ ) is negative (-0.0208478) but not significant meaning 362 that the level of education of an individual reduces the use of medicinal plants for traditional herbal medicine. This agrees with the findings of Omonona et. al. (2012) which stated that 363 the percentage of respondents that prefer medicinal plants is inversely proportional to the 364 educational status; this is due to the number of years for which the respondent has been 365 366 exposed to formal education. An individual with higher level of education prefers orthodox medicine because of its ease of consumption, storability and carriage. Rikke and Marie've 367 368 (2015) stated that the use of traditional medicine significantly decreased with education of 369 household head in the rural hills site as did use of medicinal plants in the rural hills site and 370 the peri-urban site. Kroeger (1983) argued that formal education in developing countries is the strongest form of exposure to Western paradigms, and that educated people therefore tend 371 372 to opt for allopathic medicine. Many other studies have documented this same trend (e.g. 373 Ahmed et. al., 2000b; Pouliot, 2011; Sato, 2012b). The insignificant effect of education on 374 the use of medicinal plants for traditional herbal medicine by the respondents could be 375 explained by the relatively strong cultural ties to medicinal plants for traditional herbal 376 medicine (Rikke and Marie`ve, 2015; Bhattarai et. al., 2010) and also could be due to the fact that majority of the respondents in the study area were not educated. 377

378

The presence of health care medical centre  $(X_{12})$  is significant at 1% level of significant. It has a negative relationship (-0.4787627) according to the a priori expectation with the medicinal plants usage. This means that the presence of health care medical centre reduces the use of medicinal plants for traditional herbal medicine by the respondents.

383

384 Poverty status of respondents ( $X_{14}$ ) is significant at 1% level of significant. It has a positive relationship (0.5132115) according to the a priori expectation with the medicinal plants 385 usage. This means that the poorer the respondents the more the use of medicinal plants for 386 387 traditional herbal medicine. Rikke and Marie've (2015) stated that richer households were 388 more likely to use traditional medicine and medicinal plants in the peri-urban site and 389 traditional medicine in the rural mountain site. Studies in developed countries have reported 390 increased use of alternative medicine with rising incomes (Robinson et. al., 2009). For the 391 rural hills site, Rikke and Marie've (2015) found a decreased likelihood of medicinal plant 392 use for rich households, supporting the common assumption that traditional medicine is mostly relied on by the poor and disadvantaged (Kroeger, 1983; Shrestha and Dhillion 2003; 393 394 WHO 2012).

395

396 The income of the respondents  $(X_{15})$  is significant at 1% level of significant. It has a positive relationship as against the a priori expectation. This means that the higher the income the 397 398 greater the use of medicinal plants for traditional herbal medicine by the respondents. 399 According to Bright (2013), income is a strong predictor of herbal medicine utilization and is 400 positively related to it. In most cases, having a lower income increases the use of herbal 401 medicine, especially in developing countries like Ghana (Darko, 2009; Brown, 1992; 402 Falconer et. al., 1992). On the hand, having a higher income increases the use of herbal 403 medicine, especially in developed countries (Eisenberg et. al., 1993; McClennon-Leong, 404 1999). This is because herbal drugs are relatively expensive in developed countries.

405

406 **Determinants of the level or Intensity of Medicinal Plants Usage for Traditional Herbal** 407 **Medicine by the Respondents:** This was analyzed by specifying and estimating a Tobit 408 regression model. From table 3, nine variables determines the intensity of medicinal plants 409 usage by the respondents, The variables are age  $(X_1)$ , believe in traditional herb  $(X_2)$ , religion 410  $(X_3)$ , sex  $(X_4)$ , nearness to the forest  $(X_5)$ , household size  $(X_7)$ , occupation  $(X_8)$ , location of the respondents  $(X_{11})$ , and poverty status of the respondents  $(X_{13})$ . According to Bright (2013), age, level of education and place of residence were background factors associated with the use of herbal medicine. The coefficient of variable  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_5$ ,  $X_8$ ,  $X_{11}$  and  $X_{13}$  were positive and significant at 1 percent level while  $X_8$  was significant at 5 percent and  $X_{11}$  was significant at 10 percent probability level. This is in accordance with a priori expectation that as the rate of these variables increases, the intensity of medicinal plants usage for traditional herbal medicine will also increases.

418

The coefficient of  $X_3$  (religion) and  $X_4$  (sex) were equally significant at 1 percent level while variables  $X_7$  (household size) was significant at 5 percent probability level but were negatively signed meaning that as these variables increases, the intensity of medicinal plants usage for traditional herbal medicine decreases. The coefficients of significant variables are further explained thus:

424

425 Coefficient of age  $(X_1)$  was positive sign as expected and significant at 1 percent probability 426 level. The higher the age the greater the experience and the more the tendency of increasing 427 the use of medicinal plants for traditional herbal medicine.

428

429 Coefficient of believe of the respondents in traditional herb represented by variable  $X_2$  was 430 positive sign as expected and significant at 1 percent probability level. Believe of the 431 respondents in traditional herbs increases the intensity of use of medicinal plants.

432

433 Coefficient of religion  $(X_3)$  was negative sign as expected and significant at 1 percent 434 probability level. The non traditional religions are less expected to use medicinal plants for 435 traditional herbal medicine.

436

437 Coefficient of sex  $(X_4)$  was negative sign and significant at 1 percent probability level. This 438 agreed with the findings of Fosu (1981) and Rathgeber and Vlassoff (1993) who found a 439 significant relationship between sex and the use of herbal medicine.

440

The coefficient of nearness of the respondents to the forest  $(X_5)$  was positive sign as expected and significant at 1 percent probability level. The nearer the respondents to the forest the greater the likelihood of intensifying the use of medicinal plants for traditional herbal medicine.

445

446 Coefficient of household size (X<sub>7</sub>) was negative sign and significant at 5 percent probability 447 level. This shows that an increase in household size decrease the intensity of use of forest 448 medicinal plants. Household head with formal education is associated with lower level of 449 preference for medicinal plant usage for traditional herbal medicine. Thorsen (2015) reports 450 that households headed by females have limited access to medicinal plants when those are 451 collected far from the house

452

453 Coefficient of occupation  $(X_8)$  was positive sign as expected and significant at 5 percent 454 probability level. The closer the relationship of respondent's occupation to the forest, the 455 more likelihood will be the intensity of use of forest medicinal plants for traditional herbal 456 medicine.

457

The coefficient of location of the respondent  $(X_{11})$  was positive sign as expected and significant at 10% probability level; this conforms to the statement made by Buor (1993) and Brown (1992) that herbal medicine is often used in the rural areas than the urban areas. This is because rural residents have little or no access to orthodox medicine and find herbal
medicine to be relatively less expensive (Buor, 1993; Brown, 1992). Traditional medicine use
is more prevalent in rural than in town areas (Pillai *et. al.*, 2003; Chaturvedi *et. al.*, 2009).
Also, Bright (2013) found a significant relationship between place of residence and the use of
herbal medicine.

466

467 Coefficient of poverty status  $(X_{13})$  was positive sign as expected and significant at 1 percent 468 probability level. The poor respondents are expected to intensify the use of forest medicinal 469 plants for traditional herbal medicine. This is also because the medicinal plants are relatively 470 cheap and available.

471 472

# **Conclusion and Recommendation**

473 From the result of the study on table 1, it can be concluded that all the hunters' respondents 474 are male and herb sellers are female while farmers and herbalist respondents are both male 475 and female respectively. The average age of farmers and hunters was 55 and 57 years while 476 the average age of herb sellers was 43 and herbalist 63 years. Majority of the respondents 477 pooled together are male, married with average age of 55 years and house hold size of 7 478 members. The larger percentage of them were native of the study area, not educated, not 479 employed, but having the monthly income between 12,000- 20,000 naira and closer to the 480 forest by 1-9 km.

481

The most important factors that affect the use of medicinal plants for traditional herbal medicine by the respondents are religion, sex, forest plant used in treating any ailment in the past, nearness to the forest, occupation, presence of health care centers, poverty status of the respondents and income while the level or intensity of medicinal plants usage for traditional herbal medicine were determined by factors such as age, believe in traditional herb, religion, sex, nearness to the forest, household size, occupation, location of the respondents and poverty status of the respondents.

489

490 It is therefore recommended that conservation of these valuable medicinal plants should be a 491 priority for the well-being and livelihoods of indigenous local communities and the society at 492 large, which depend on these medicinal plants. Moreover, studies into the domestication of 493 these medicinal plants, the types of plants, parts of plants used, efficacy and diseases cured 494 should be investigated in the future. The documentation of plants and their therapeutic 495 properties is an area that must be of interest to future researchers.

498	Table 1: Socio- economic characteristics of respondents
-----	---

Socio	Crop far	mers	Hunt	ers	Herb se	ellers	Herba	alist		
economics	Frequency	%age	Frequency	%age	Frequency	%age	Frequency	%age	Total	Percentage
characteristics										
Age										
21-40	5	4.90	1	0.98	40	39.22	-	-	46	11.27
41-60	69	67.65	70	68.63	62	60.78	33	32.35	234	57.35
61-80	28	27.45	29	28.43	-	-	66	64.71	123	30.15
81-100	-	-	2	1.96	-	-	3	2.94	5	1.23
Sex										
Male	87	85.29	102	100	-		102	100	291	71.32
Female	15	14.71	-	-	102	100	-	-	117	28.68
Marital Status										
Single	-	-	1	0.98	8	7.84	-	-	9	2.21
Married	96	94.12	98	96.08	94	92.16	97	95.10	385	94.36
Widowed	4	3.92	-	-	-		-	-	4	0.98
Widower	2	1.96	3	2.94	-		5	4.90	10	2.45
Household size										
1-5	39	38.24	4	3.92	29	28.43	3	2.94	75	18.38
6-10	62	60.78	73	71.57	66	64.71	79	77.45	280	68.63
11-15	1	0.98	25	24.51	7	6.86	20	19.61	53	12.99
Level of										
Education										
Primary six	23	22.55	2	1.96	22	21.57	13	12.75	60	14.71
Secondary	10	9.80	1	0.98	10	9.80	1	0.98	22	5.39
Not educated	69	67.65	99	97.06	70	68.63	88	86.27	326	79.90
Nativity										
Native	92	90.20	87	85.29	98	96.08	89	87.25	366	89.71
Non-native	10	9.80	15	14.71	4	3.92	13	12.75	42	10.29

Socio	Crop far	mers	Hunt	ers	Herb se	ellers	Herba	alist		
economics characteristics	Frequency	%age	Frequency	%age	Frequency	%age	Frequency	%age	Total	Percentage
Nearness to										
forest (Km)										
1-3	88	86.27	38	37.25	68	66.67	85	83.33	279	68.38
4-6	14	13.73	49	48.04	25	24.51	12	11.77	100	24.50
7-9	-	-	15	14.71	9	8.82	5	4.90	29	7.12
Occupation										
Farming	80	78.43	-	-	-	-	-	-	80	19.61
Hunting	-	-	88	86.27	-	-	-	-	88	21.57
Herb selling	-	-	-	-	77	75.49	-	-	77	18.87
Herbalist	-	-	-	-	-	-	96	94.12	96	23.53
Others	22	21.57	14	13.73	25	24.51	6	5.88	67	16.42
Employment										
Employed	21	20.59	62	60.78	-	-	13	12.75	96	23.53
Not employed	81	79.41	40	39.22	102	100	89	87.25	312	76.47
Income										
4000- 12,000	58	56.86	37	36.27	45	44.12	6	5.88	146	35.78
12,000- 20,000	44	43.14	60	58.82	57	55.88	21	20.59	182	44.61
20,000-28,000	-	-	5	4.90	-	-	54	52.94	59	14.46
28,000-36000	-	-	-	-	-	-	21	20.59	21	5.15
Willingness to										
pay										
Willing to pay	79	77.45	91	89.22	85	83.33	88	86.27	343	84.07
Not willing to	23	22.55	11	10.78	17	16.67	14	13.73	65	15.93
pay										

500 Source: Computed from Field Survey Data, 2016

502	Medicine by the Respondents using Probit Model						
Va	riables	Coefficient	Standard error	Z values	P>  Z  values		
Ag	$ge(X_1)$	-0.0071317	0.0107504	-0.66	0.507		
BT	$\Gamma H(X_2)$	2.18e-06	0.0000424	0.05	0.959		
Re	eligion (X <sub>3</sub> )	-1.014154	0.275508	-3.68	0.000***		
Sex	x (X <sub>4</sub> )	0.4111003	0.1117633	3.68	0.000***		
FP	$PUTAP(X_5)$	0.649201	0.1763329	3.68	0.000***		
Ne	earness to forest $(X_6)$	0.2661395	0.0724038	3.68	0.000***		
Но	buse hold size $(X_7)$	0.0326353	0.0436195	0.75	0.454		
Oc	ccupation $(X_8)$	0.1385835	0.0728342	1.90	0.057**		
	vel of education (X <sub>9</sub> )	-0.0208478	0.0245616	-0.85	0.396		
Ma	arital status $(X_{10})$	0.1185416	0.322819	0.37	0.713		
Lo	cation of respondents $(X_{11})$	-0.652795	0.4480325	-1.46	0.145		
PH	$ICC(X_{12})$	-0.4787627	0.1302914	-3.67	0.000***		
Na	tivity of the household $(X_{13})$	-0.0001033	0.0000965	-1.07	0.285		
Por	verty status of respondents $(X_{14})$	0.5132115	0.1395006	3.68	0.000***		
Inc	come $(X_{15})$	0.0001362	0.0000238	5.73	0.000***		
Co	onstant	-1.137793	0.9822856	-1.16	0.247		
503	Source: Computed from Field Surve	ey Data, 2016.					
504							
	*** Significant at 0.01, ** Significa						
506 I	Prob. >Chi2= 0.0000, LR chi2 (16)	= 67.70, Pseud	$\log R^2 = 0.1897,$				
	Prob. >Chi2= 0.0000, LR chi2 (16) Log likelihood = -144.54722, Numb						
507 <sup>]</sup> 508		per of obs. $= 40$	08	dicinal plar	its usage for		
507 ] 508 509 ~	Log likelihood = -144.54722, Numb	of the Level	)8 or Intensity of Me		nts usage for		
507 ] 508 509 7 510_7	Log likelihood = -144.54722, Numb Table 3: <b>Analysis of Determinants</b>	of the Level	)8 or Intensity of Me		P >  Z  values		
507 508 509 510 Va	Log likelihood = -144.54722, Numb Table 3: Analysis of Determinants Traditional Herbal Medicine by t	oer of obs. = 40 of the Level of he respondent	08 or Intensity of Mee ts using Tobit Mod	lel	P>  Z  values 0.000***		
507 508 509 510 Va Ag	Log likelihood = -144.54722, Numb Table 3: Analysis of Determinants Traditional Herbal Medicine by the triables	oer of obs. = 40 of the Level of he respondent Coefficient	08 or Intensity of Mee ts using Tobit Mod Standard error	lel T values	P>  Z  values 0.000*** 0.000***		
507 508 509 510 Va Ag BT	Log likelihood = -144.54722, Numb Table 3: Analysis of Determinants Traditional Herbal Medicine by t miables $ge(X_1)$	ber of obs. = $40$ of the Level of the respondent Coefficient 0.003883	08 or Intensity of Mee ts using Tobit Mod Standard error 0.0009624	lel T values 4.03	P>  Z  values 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000***		
507 508 509 510 Va Ag BT Rei	Log likelihood = -144.54722, Numb Table 3: Analysis of Determinants Traditional Herbal Medicine by the triables ge $(X_1)$ TH $(X_2)$	ber of obs. = $40$ of the Level of the respondent Coefficient 0.003883 0.2341244	08 or Intensity of Mee ts using Tobit Mod Standard error 0.0009624 0.0375628	Iel           T values           4.03           6.23	P>  Z  values 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000***		
507 508 509 510 Va Ag BT Re Sex	Log likelihood = -144.54722, Numb Table 3: Analysis of Determinants Traditional Herbal Medicine by the triables ge $(X_1)$ TH $(X_2)$ eligion $(X_3)$	oer of obs. = 40 of the Level of he respondent Coefficient 0.003883 0.2341244 -0.2015844	08 or Intensity of Mee ts using Tobit Mod Standard error 0.0009624 0.0375628 0.0311281	Iel           T values           4.03           6.23           -6.48	P>  Z  values 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000***		
507 508 509 510 Va Ag BT Re Sey Ne	Log likelihood = -144.54722, Numb Table 3: Analysis of Determinants Traditional Herbal Medicine by the triables $ge (X_1)$ TH (X <sub>2</sub> ) Eligion (X <sub>3</sub> ) $x (X_4)$	oer of obs. = 40 of the Level of he respondent Coefficient 0.003883 0.2341244 -0.2015844 -0.408688	08 or Intensity of Mee ts using Tobit Mod Standard error 0.0009624 0.0375628 0.0311281 0.0254503	lel T values 4.03 6.23 -6.48 -16.06	P>  Z  values 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000***		
507 1 508 509 5 510 7 510 7 510 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	Log likelihood = -144.54722, Numb Table 3: Analysis of Determinants Traditional Herbal Medicine by the principles ge $(X_1)$ TH $(X_2)$ eligion $(X_3)$ x $(X_4)$ earness to forest $(X_5)$	oer of obs. = 40 of the Level of he respondent Coefficient 0.003883 0.2341244 -0.2015844 -0.408688 0.1244676	08 or Intensity of Mee ts using Tobit Mod Standard error 0.0009624 0.0375628 0.0311281 0.0254503 0.0263149	lel <u>T values</u> 4.03 6.23 -6.48 -16.06 4.73	P>  Z  values 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000***		
507 508 509 510 Va Ag BT Re Sey Ne DH Ho	Log likelihood = -144.54722, Numb Table 3: Analysis of Determinants Traditional Herbal Medicine by the triables ge $(X_1)$ TH $(X_2)$ eligion $(X_3)$ x $(X_4)$ earness to forest $(X_5)$ HSC $(X_6)$	oer of obs. = 40 of the Level of he respondent Coefficient 0.003883 0.2341244 -0.2015844 -0.408688 0.1244676 -0.0014127	08 or Intensity of Mee ts using Tobit Mod Standard error 0.0009624 0.0375628 0.0311281 0.0254503 0.0263149 0.0037257	lel <u>T values</u> 4.03 6.23 -6.48 -16.06 4.73 -0.38	P>  Z  values 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000***		
507 508 509 510 Va Ag BT Ref Ser Ne DH Ho OC	Log likelihood = -144.54722, Numb Table 3: Analysis of Determinants Traditional Herbal Medicine by the triables $ge (X_1)$ TH (X <sub>2</sub> ) Eligion (X <sub>3</sub> ) $x (X_4)$ earness to forest (X <sub>5</sub> ) HSC (X <sub>6</sub> ) puse hold size (X <sub>7</sub> )	oer of obs. = 40 of the Level of he respondent Coefficient 0.003883 0.2341244 -0.2015844 -0.408688 0.1244676 -0.0014127 -0.0076084	08 or Intensity of Mee ts using Tobit Mod Standard error 0.0009624 0.0375628 0.0311281 0.0254503 0.0263149 0.0037257 0.0032877	lel T values 4.03 6.23 -6.48 -16.06 4.73 -0.38 -2.31	P>  Z  values 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.705 0.021**		
507 508 509 510 Va Ag BT Re Sey Ne DH Ho OC Lev	Log likelihood = -144.54722, Numb Table 3: Analysis of Determinants Traditional Herbal Medicine by the triables $ge (X_1)$ TH (X <sub>2</sub> ) Eligion (X <sub>3</sub> ) $x (X_4)$ earness to forest (X <sub>5</sub> ) HSC (X <sub>6</sub> ) puse hold size (X <sub>7</sub> ) ecupation (X <sub>8</sub> )	ber of obs. = 40 of the Level of he respondent Coefficient 0.003883 0.2341244 -0.2015844 -0.408688 0.1244676 -0.0014127 -0.0076084 0.0153784	08 or Intensity of Mee ts using Tobit Mod Standard error 0.0009624 0.0375628 0.0311281 0.0254503 0.0263149 0.0037257 0.0032877 0.0067273	lel <u>T values</u> 4.03 6.23 -6.48 -16.06 4.73 -0.38 -2.31 2.29	P>  Z  values 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.705 0.021** 0.023**		
507 508 509 510 Va Ag BT Re Sey Ne DH Ho Oc Lev Ma	Log likelihood = -144.54722, Numb Table 3: Analysis of Determinants Traditional Herbal Medicine by the privables ge (X <sub>1</sub> ) TH (X <sub>2</sub> ) eligion (X <sub>3</sub> ) x (X <sub>4</sub> ) exampts to forest (X <sub>5</sub> ) HSC (X <sub>6</sub> ) puse hold size (X <sub>7</sub> ) ecupation (X <sub>8</sub> ) vel of education (X <sub>9</sub> )	of the Level of           he respondent           Coefficient           0.003883           0.2341244           -0.2015844           -0.408688           0.1244676           -0.0014127           -0.0076084           0.0153784           0.0030982	08 or Intensity of Meets ts using Tobit Mod Standard error 0.0009624 0.0375628 0.0311281 0.0254503 0.0263149 0.0037257 0.0032877 0.0032877 0.0067273 0.0020917	lel <u>T values</u> 4.03 6.23 -6.48 -16.06 4.73 -0.38 -2.31 2.29 1.48	P>  Z  values 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.705 0.021** 0.023** 0.139		
507 508 509 510 Va Ag BT Re Ser Ne DH Ho OC Lev Ma Lov	Log likelihood = -144.54722, Numb Table 3: Analysis of Determinants Traditional Herbal Medicine by the triables ge (X <sub>1</sub> ) TH (X <sub>2</sub> ) eligion (X <sub>3</sub> ) x (X <sub>4</sub> ) earness to forest (X <sub>5</sub> ) HSC (X <sub>6</sub> ) puse hold size (X <sub>7</sub> ) ecupation (X <sub>8</sub> ) vel of education (X <sub>9</sub> ) arital status (X <sub>10</sub> )	ber of obs. = 40 of the Level of he respondent Coefficient 0.003883 0.2341244 -0.2015844 -0.408688 0.1244676 -0.0014127 -0.0076084 0.0153784 0.0030982 0.0216682	08 or Intensity of Meets using Tobit Mod Standard error 0.0009624 0.0375628 0.0311281 0.0254503 0.0263149 0.0037257 0.0032877 0.0032877 0.0067273 0.0020917 0.0315034	lel T values 4.03 6.23 -6.48 -16.06 4.73 -0.38 -2.31 2.29 1.48 0.69	P>  Z  values 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.705 0.021** 0.023** 0.139 0.492		
507 508 509 510 Va Ag BT Ref Sey Ne DH Ho Oc Lev Ma Lou Na	Log likelihood = -144.54722, Numb Table 3: Analysis of Determinants Traditional Herbal Medicine by the triables ge (X <sub>1</sub> ) TH (X <sub>2</sub> ) Eligion (X <sub>3</sub> ) x (X <sub>4</sub> ) exampts to forest (X <sub>5</sub> ) HSC (X <sub>6</sub> ) puse hold size (X <sub>7</sub> ) ecupation (X <sub>8</sub> ) vel of education (X <sub>9</sub> ) arital status (X <sub>10</sub> ) postion of respondents (X <sub>11</sub> )	ber of obs. = 40 of the Level of he respondent Coefficient 0.003883 0.2341244 -0.2015844 -0.408688 0.1244676 -0.0014127 -0.0076084 0.0153784 0.0030982 0.0216682 0.0494329	D8           or Intensity of Meets           ts using Tobit Mode           Standard error           0.0009624           0.0375628           0.0311281           0.0254503           0.0263149           0.0037257           0.0032877           0.0067273           0.0020917           0.0315034           0.0296905	lel T values 4.03 6.23 -6.48 -16.06 4.73 -0.38 -2.31 2.29 1.48 0.69 1.66	P>  Z  values 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.705 0.021** 0.023** 0.139 0.492 0.097*		
507 J 508 509 510 Va Ag BT Re Sex Ne DH Ho Oc Lev Ma Low Na Pov	Log likelihood = -144.54722, Numb Table 3: Analysis of Determinants Traditional Herbal Medicine by the triables $ge (X_1)$ TH (X <sub>2</sub> ) eligion (X <sub>3</sub> ) $x (X_4)$ earness to forest (X <sub>5</sub> ) HSC (X <sub>6</sub> ) puse hold size (X <sub>7</sub> ) ecupation (X <sub>8</sub> ) vel of education (X <sub>9</sub> ) arital status (X <sub>10</sub> ) ecation of respondents (X <sub>11</sub> ) trivity of the household (X <sub>12</sub> )	ber of obs. = 40 of the Level of he respondent Coefficient 0.003883 0.2341244 -0.2015844 -0.408688 0.1244676 -0.0014127 -0.0076084 0.0153784 0.0030982 0.0216682 0.0494329 -0.0094667	D8         or Intensity of Meet         ts using Tobit Mod         Standard error         0.0009624         0.0375628         0.0311281         0.0254503         0.0263149         0.0037257         0.0032877         0.0067273         0.0020917         0.0315034         0.0296905         0.0295594	lel T values 4.03 6.23 -6.48 -16.06 4.73 -0.38 -2.31 2.29 1.48 0.69 1.66 -0.32	P>  Z  values 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.705 0.021** 0.023** 0.139 0.492 0.097* 0.749		
507 508 509 510 Va Ag BT Re Sey Ne DH Ho Oc Lev Ma Lov Na Pov Inc	Log likelihood = -144.54722, Numb Table 3: Analysis of Determinants Traditional Herbal Medicine by the triables ge (X <sub>1</sub> ) TH (X <sub>2</sub> ) eligion (X <sub>3</sub> ) x (X <sub>4</sub> ) earness to forest (X <sub>5</sub> ) HSC (X <sub>6</sub> ) puse hold size (X <sub>7</sub> ) ecupation (X <sub>8</sub> ) vel of education (X <sub>9</sub> ) arital status (X <sub>10</sub> ) ocation of respondents (X <sub>11</sub> ) trivity of the household (X <sub>12</sub> ) verty status of respondents (X <sub>13</sub> )	ber of obs. = $40$ of the Level of he respondent Coefficient 0.003883 0.2341244 -0.2015844 -0.408688 0.1244676 -0.0014127 -0.0076084 0.0153784 0.0030982 0.0216682 0.0494329 -0.0094667 0.1368722	D8         or Intensity of Meet         ts using Tobit Mod         Standard error         0.0009624         0.0375628         0.0311281         0.0254503         0.0263149         0.0037257         0.0032877         0.0067273         0.0020917         0.0315034         0.0295594         0.0295149	Iel           T values           4.03           6.23           -6.48           -16.06           4.73           -0.38           -2.31           2.29           1.48           0.69           1.66           -0.32           4.64	P>  Z  values 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.000*** 0.705 0.021** 0.023** 0.139 0.492 0.097* 0.749 0.000***		

 

 Table 2: Analysis of Determinants of Medicinal Plants Usage for Traditional Herbal

 Medicine by the Respondents using Probit Model

 

Source: Computed from Field Survey Data, 2016. 

\*\*\* Significant at 0.01, \*\* Significant at 0.05, \* Significant at 0.10 Prob. >Chi2= 0.0000, LR chi2 (15) = 571.03, Pseudo  $R^2$  = 7.1881, 

Log likelihood = 245.79393, Number of obs. = 408

517	References
518	African Health Monitor. (2003). Traditional Medicine: Our Culture, Our Future. A magazine
519	of the World Health Organization Regional Office for Africa. 4: 1.
520	
521	Adesina, A. A. and M. M. Zinnah (1993). "Technology Characteristics, Farmers' Perception
522	and Adoption Decisions". A Tobit Model Application in Sierra Leone. Agricultural
523	Economics, 9:297-311.
524	
525	Ahmed, S. M., Adams, A. M., Chowdhury, M., Bhuiya, A. (2000b). Changing health seeking
526	behaviour in Matlab, Bangladesh: Do development interventions matter? Health
527	Policy and Planning 18: 306–15.
528	
529	Ajose, O. A. (2007). Some Nigerian plants of dermatologic importance, Int J Dermatol, 46,
530	48–55.
531	
532	Akunyili, D., 2003. Registration and regulatory requirements for production and marketing of
533	plant-based medicines in Nigeria: what you need to know. In: Innovation Science and
534	Bio-business Development Conference and Expo, HerbFest at Sheraton Hotels and
535	Towers Abuja.
536	
537	Anyinam, C. (1987). Availability, accessibility, acceptability, and adaptability: four attributes
538	of African ethno-medicine. Social Science & Medicine 25: 803–11.
539	
540	Bhattarai, S., Chaudhary, R. P., Quave, C. L., Taylor, RSL (2010). The use of medicinal
541	plants in the trans-himalayan arid zone of Mustang district, Nepal. Journal of
542	Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine 6: 14.
543	
544	Borris, R.P., (1996). Natural Product Research: Perspectives from a major pharmaceutical
545	company. Journal of Ethnopharmacology. 51: 29-38.
546	
547	Bright, A. (2013). Utilization of Traditional Herbal Medicine and its Role in Health Care
548	Delivery in Ghana: The Case of Wassa Amenfi West District. A Thesis submitted to
549	the Department of Geography and Rural Development, Kwame Nkrumah University
550	of Science and Technology. In partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree Of
551	Master of Philosophy Geography and Rural Development Faculty of Social Sciences,
552	College of Art and Social Sciences. pp. 1-122.
553	Deserve K (1002) Madising Diants Indianassa Madising and Diadissentity in Change Clabel
554	Brown, K. (1992). Medicinal Plants, Indigenous Medicine and Biodiversity in Ghana. Global
555	Environmental Change Working Paper, 92-36, Centre for Social and Economic
556	Research on the Global Environment, University of East Anglia and University
557	College London.
558	Duan D. (1002) The Impact of Traditional Madiaina on Health Daliyany Samiasa in Change
559	Buor, D. (1993). "The Impact of Traditional Medicine on Health Delivery Services in Ghana: The Ashanti Situation". Journal of the University of Science and Technology, vol.13,
560	
561	no. 3, pp. 138- 147.
562	Chaturyedi H. K. Mahantam I. & Dandey A. (2000). Treatment applying for fabrila illness
563	Chaturvedi, H. K., Mahantam, J., & Pandey, A. (2009). Treatment-seeking for febrile illness
564 565	in north- east India: and epidemiological study for the malaria endemic zone. <i>Malaria Journal</i> 8: 301.
565 566	<i>Journal</i> 0. 301.
200	

567 568 569 570 571	<ul> <li>Cunningham, A. B., Shanley, P., &amp; Laird, S. (2008). Health, habitats and medicinal plant use.</li> <li>In: Colfer CJ (ed). Human health and forests: A global overview of issues, practice and policy. People and Plants International Conservation Series. London: Earthscan, pp. 35–62.</li> </ul>
572 573 574 575	Darko, I. N. (2009). Ghanaian Indigenous Health Practices: The Use of Herbs. Unpublished MA Thesis presented to Department of Sociology and Equity Studies in Education. Ontario Institute for Studies in Education, University of Toronto.
576 577 578 579	<ul> <li>Eisenberg, D. M., Kessler, R. C., Forster, C., Norloc, F. E., Calkins, D. R., and Delbanco, T. L. (1993). Unconventional Medicine in the United States." <i>New England Journal of Medicine</i>, vol. 328, pp. 246-252.</li> </ul>
580 581 582	El Osta, H. Ahearn, M. and Mishra, A. (2004). Labour supply by farm operators under decoupled farm program payments. <i>Review of Economics of the Household</i> 2 (4): 367-385
583 584 585	Elvin-Lewis M. (200). Should we be concerned about herbal remedies? <i>Journal of Ethnopharmacology</i> , 75(2-3):141-164.
586 587 588 589	Falconer, J., Wilson, E., Asante, P., Lartey, J., Acquah, S. D., Glover, E. K., Beeko, C., Nketiah, S., Ossom, K., and Lamptey, M. (1992). Non-timber Forest Products in Southern Ghana. Draft report to ODA
590 591 592	Fosu, G. B. (1981). Disease Classification in Rural Ghana: Framework and Implications for Health Behaviour. Social Science and Medicine Vol.15B, pp. 471-482.
593 594 595 596	Goodwin, B., and Mishra, A. (2004). Farming efficiency and the determinants of multiple jobs holding by farm operators. <i>American Journal of Agricultural Economics</i> 86(3): 722-729
597 598 599	Gujarati, D. N. (2004). <i>Basic Econometrics</i> Tata Mc Graw Hill Publishing Company Limited. Fourth Edition. New Delhi pp 190 – 278
600 601 602	Hoareau, L. and DaSilva, E.J. (1999). Medicinal Plants: a re-emerging health aid. <i>Electronic Journal of Biotechnology</i> . 2: 56-70.
603	Kamboi, V. P. (2000). Herbal Medicine. Current Science, vol. 78, no. 1, pp. 35-51.
604 605 606	Kroeger, A. (1983). Anthropological and socio-medical health care research in developing countries. Social Science & Medicine 13B: 147–61.
607 608 609	Lucas, G. N. (2010). Herbal Medicine and Children. <i>Sri Lanka Journal of Child Health</i> , vol.39, pp. 76-78.
610 611 612	McClennon-Leong, J. and Ross-Kerr, J. (1999). Alternative Health Care Options in Canada. <i>The Canada Nurse</i> , vol. 11, pp. 26-30.
613 614 615	Moerman, D.E., (1996). An Analysis of the Food Plants and Drug Plants of Native North America. <i>Journal of Ethnopharmacology</i> . 52: 1-22.
616	NNMDA (2008). The Nigeria Natural Medicine Development Agency (Federal Ministry of

617	Science and Technology). Profile. pp.40.
618	
619	Nsowah-Nuamah, N.N.N. Overbosch, G.B. and Boom van den, G.J.M. (2004). Health Care
620	Provision and Self - Medication in Ghana. Legon: University of Ghana, ISSER.
621	(Accessed from http://www.isser.org/publications/older/healthcare provision.pdf on
622	14 September 2010).
623	
624	Odugbemi, T. (ed.) (2006). Outlines and Pictures of Medicinal Plants from Nigeria. pp.1 - 85.
625	
626	Omonona, B. T., Egbetokun, O. A., Ajijola, S. and Salaam, A. H. (2012). Consumer
627	preference for medicinal plants in Oyo Metropolis, Nigeria. Journal of Medicinal
628	Plants Research. Vol. 6(20), pp. 3609-3613, Available online at
629	http://www.academicjournals.org/JMPR DOI: 10.5897/JMPR11.1119 ISSN 1996-
630	0875 ©2012 Academic Journals
631	
632	Osemeobo, G.J. and Ujor, G., 1999. The non-wood forest products in Nigeria: report of the
633	EC-FAO partnership programme (1998-2000). Federal Department of Forestry,
634	Abuja. Forestry Statistics and Data Collection no. AFDCA/TN/06.
635	[http://www.fao.org/docrep/003/X6695E/X6695E00.HTM]
636	
637	Pouliot, M. (2011). Relying on nature's pharmacy in rural Burkina Faso: Empirical evidence
638	of the determinants of traditional medicine consumption. Social Science & Medicine
639	73: 1498 1507.
640	
641	Rathgeber, E. M., and Vlassoff, C. (1993). "Gender and Tropical Diseases: a new Research
642	Focus."Social Science and Medicine, vol. 37 no. 4, pp. 513-520.
643	
644	Rikke Stamp Thorsen, and Marie`ve Pouliot (2015). Traditional medicine for the rich and
645	knowledgeable: challenging assumptions about treatment-seeking behaviour in rural
646	and periurban Nepal. Health Policy and Planning, Vol. 00, No. 0, page 1-11. doi:
647	10.1093/heapol/czv060
648	
649	Sato, A. (2012b). Does socio-economic status explain use of modern and traditional health
650	care services? Social Science & Medicine 75: 1450–59.
651	
652	Shaikh, B. T., & Hatcher, J. (2005). Complementary and Alternative Medicine in Pakistan:
653	Prospects and Limitations. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
654	
655	Shrestha, P. M., & Dhillion, S. S. (2003). Medicinal plant diversity and use in the highlands
656	of Dolakha district, Nepal. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 86: 81–96.
657	
658	Sofowora, A., (1982). "African Medicinal Plants", Proceedings of Conference. University of
659	Ife, Nigeria. pp 70-73.
660	
661	Sofowora, A., (1984). Medicinal Plants and Traditional Medicine in Africa. John Wiley and
662	Sons Ltd., New York.pp.1 – 20.
663	
664	Thorsen, R. (2015). Conceptualizations of pluralistic medical fields: exploring the therapeutic
665	landscapes of Nepal. <i>Health and Place</i> 31: 83–9.
666	

667 668 669	Tobit, (1958). "The Use of Tobit Model in Analysing Technology Adoption: The case of Impact of Technologies on Agriculture. pp. 35-37.
670 671	University of Maryland Medical Centre (2010).What is Herbal Medicine? (Accessed from <u>http://www.umm.edu/altmed/articles/herbal-medicine-000351.htm on 11 October</u>
672	<u>2010</u> ).
673 674 675 676	WHO (1998). Guidelines for Appropriate Use of Herbal Medicines. Geneva: World Health Organization http://apps.who.int/medicinedocs/en/d/Jh2945e/11.html on 11 August 2010).
677 678 679 680	WHO (2000a). General Guidelines for Methodology on Research and Evaluation of Traditional Medicine. Geneva: World Health Organization Press.
681 682 683 684 685	<ul> <li>WHO (2001). The Legal Status of Traditional Medicine and Complimentary/Alternative Medicine: A worldwide Review. Geneva: World Health Organization Press, pp.16- 18. (Accessed from http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2001/WHO_EDM_TRM_2001.2.pdf on 11 August 2010).</li> </ul>
686 687 688 689	<ul> <li>WHO. (2002). WHO Traditional Medicine Strategy 2002–2005. Geneva: WHO.</li> <li><u>http://whqlibdoc.who.int/hq/2002/WHO_EDM_TRM_2002.1.pdf</u>, accessed 25</li> <li>October 2014.</li> </ul>
690 691 692	World Health Organization. (2005). <i>National policy on traditional medicine and regulation of herbal medicines</i> . Report of a WHO global survey.
693 694 695 696	Wilkinson, J.A., Wahlqvist, M.L., Clark, J. 2002. New Food and Pharmaceutical Products from Agriculture. Rural Industries Research and Development Corporation, Australia. pp 1-30
697 698	Woolf, A. D. (2003). "Herbal remedies and children: Do they work?" Are they harmful?" Pediatrics, vol. 112, no. 1, pp. 240-6.