



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_42848
Title of the Manuscript:	TREE SPECIES DIVERSITY AND STRUCTURE OF EDA FOREST RESERVE, EKITI STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>The author did the best work to reviews the manuscripts. However, I have a few additional observation and suggestions as follows. Generally typos and grammar errors were less improved; see the following examples and consider serious corrections throughout</p> <p>"X" is not a multiplication sign "x" Please insert a multiplication sign between 20 m..... and 20m in line 13. Use insert Symbols----- x through where multiplication occur</p> <p>Citation is needed at the ending of line 31-32.</p> <p>Line 15: The authors must clearly state the methodology for data analysis. Stating only "descriptive and inferential" statistics is too general.</p> <p>Edit some section like spacing between (53.87m) and The mean in Line 21, the end of line 26, between 30s and ..Over in line 39, at the end of line 47, 2006) and A section.. in line 50, line 60,67,75,89, 149 btn 2 and Family.... 106,116, 227, 229,238, 243, 255 etc.</p> <p>Check the colour to clearly distinguish between Primary and Encroached in Figure 3</p> <p>Line 86 20m×20m spacing for x is not same as in line 13</p> <p>Line 101, Eqn 2: In computing the volume I suggest the author to introduce a form factor to improve the calculation thus the calculation will have to be $v = \text{BAHF}$ where v = volume estimation (m^3/ha), g = basal area of the tree (m^2/ha), h = height of the tree (m) and f = form factor. Let the author read the existing literature on the use of formal factor and the underlying botanic / ecological or tree menstruation reasons.</p> <p>Line 108 to 109: How classification was done? Some of the questions readers would be attracted in this section could be the classification processes, was it is at the field or voucher specimens were collected for identification? Did the author identify these trees using any Flora or Botanic (Herbarium specimen) from any Herbarium or Herbaria reserved specimens? Did this study involve local communities, if so, how was the locals involved in the process of trees identification to merge some experience and trained skills on tree identification-----avoid biased results?</p> <p>Support your equations with Citation (Acknowledge that other scientists have developed and applied such formulae, give credit and put faithfulness on using others work, you are not the first to use these equations)</p> <p>Line 138???? 185 and 186????</p> <p>The Results sections showed significant improvement. However, more inputs are needed on the discussion section. The author must show the reasons/ implications of variations or similarity of the findings (in this work) and existing literature other than just using the phrases like as reported in</p> <p>The author should avoid reproduction or presenting the results in the discussion sections as line 213 to 216</p> <p>Avoid tautology (Hence... in line 251, Hence in line 252...</p> <p>Line 276: Edit "t" in the beginning of the word transect to be "T"</p> <p>Check for consistent use of symbol "&" and "and" in the list of Authors e.g. in line 282 and the rest references</p> <p>Also maintain the order of Authors' names e.g. Line 260, 263, 266, 268, 271 are not same as Line 273, 276, 282 etc. Avoid a mixed arrangement</p>	<p>Line 13 has being corrected.</p> <p>Citation needed at the end of Lines 31-32 has being cited.</p> <p>Line 15: The methodology for data analysis has being stated as required.</p> <p>Editing of all the sections from Lines 21 – 256 has being executed.</p> <p>The colour to distinguish Primary and Encroached farmland forest types has being increased. Line 86, 20m×20m spacing for x with Line 13 has being corrected.</p> <p>Line 101 - 103: Eqn 2 has being revised and suggestions adopted (Lines 113 to 127).</p> <p>Line 108 to 109 has being rectified.</p> <p>Lines 138, 185 and 186: The appropriate authors have being cited, Lines 115 to 127 as expected.</p> <p>Line 213 to 216 and implications for variation has being revised.</p> <p>Lines 251 and 252 have being corrected.</p> <p>Line 276 now 279 has being edited.</p>



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

	<p>Line 282 and others have being rectified. Lines 260 to 309 as regards the order of Authors' names have being rearranged accordingly.</p>
--	--