



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_42731
Title of the Manuscript:	Forage Potential of Alfalfa with Oats and Barley in Sole and Intercropping Systems
Type of the Article	Original research papers

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	It is necessary to review the presentation of the results according to the statistical analyzes. In addition, some data presented in the tables are not presented and discussed. Everything is marked in the manuscript in the form of comments.	<b>Abstract:</b> In the revised manuscript, we have corrected the abstract content and adopted provided comments. <b>Keywords:</b> In the revised manuscript, we have corrected the keywords <b>Introduction:</b> In the revised manuscript, we have corrected the introduction content and adopted provided comments. <b>Materials and Methods:</b> In the revised manuscript, we have corrected the materials and methods content and adopted provided comments.  <b>Results and Discussion:</b> In the revised manuscript, we have corrected the results and discussion content and adopted provided comments. We thank you very much for your comments for pointing out presentation of the results according to the statistical analyses. We presented the results according to the statistical analyses on the revised manuscript following provided comments.  <b>Conclusion:</b> Once again, thank you for the constructive advices. In the revised manuscript, we have corrected the conclusion content and adopted provided comments.  <b>References:</b> In the revised manuscript, we have followed and adopted journal references guidelines.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Check formatting, spacing, and units.	In the revised manuscript, we have corrected the formatting, spacing, and units and adopted all provided comments.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript is very relevant and important, but should be improved to be fit for publication.	We are very grateful to the reviewer's constructive comments and suggestions which are valuable in improving the quality of our manuscript. Thank you very much.