



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_42716
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ROLE OF SEED BANK IN FOREST REGENERATION
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript has moderate scientific contribution and the knowledge approached has applicability in the science of ecological restoration. I suggest that the manuscript state more clearly its purpose in review knowledge about the role of the soil seed bank in forest resilience and add a concluding item referring to its initial goal. As a review, the manuscript should present more current bibliographic references. This area of knowledge is developing very much in the present day and there are articles that can contribute to the robustness of the manuscript.	Thanks for the suggestions. Conclusion has been added to the manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments	Still on the basis of bibliographic references, I suggest that the article explore information on the functioning of tropical ecosystems and the roles of different ecological groups.	I do not completely agree with this because exploring more information on tropical forest might deviate us from the aim of the study which is the role of soil seed bank in forest regeneration
Optional/General comments		