



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_42370
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF PRESOWING TREATMENT ON SEED GERMINATION AND SEEDLINGS GROWTH CHARACTERSTICS OF ALBIZIA PROCERA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Respected sir,</b>	<p>1. Material and method should be rewritten. I think, this research was carried out in the petri dishes and nursery experiment.</p> <p>-How the two works were done and which parameters were examined?</p> <p>-How were the pre-treatment applications done? How many degrees cold water was applied, which hormone was dosed and for how long?</p> <p>-The investigated parameters how are they calculated (eg germination energy index) must be clearly written in manuscript or supported by the literature.</p> <p>2. The results of Variance Analysis should be given in the text. It is not clear which factors are important (provenance, pre-treatment or provenance x pre-treatment). The Duncan lettering should be made according to the materiality levels.</p> <p>3. There are too many punctuation mistakes in manuscript</p> <p>4. References should be re-audited.</p>	Thanks for your valuable comments on my Manuscripts, I have made the corrections and included the points as mentioned by You. Still if there is lacking any points i will be ready to correct after your valuation.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	