



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_42038
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Woody Species Diversity in Different Ecological Zones of Taraba State, Nigeria: A Strategy for Conservation
Type of Article:	Original research papers

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors response to final evaluator s comments
<p>1. The abstract is still riddled with errors;</p> <p>2. The results were not detail enough and lacks general scientific expression;</p> <p>3. There were a lot of typo errors;</p> <p>4. Formatting was not standardized;</p> <p>5. Can the authors point out where they sought to test for hypothesis? I can t see anywhere in the text were they indicated hypothesis testing;</p> <p>6. I expect the authors to write in prose, except in areas where there supposed to be a sub-heading, these sub-headings are not acceptable. The authors should delete them entirely, and rather write as paragraphs. For instance, Sub-headings D, E & F, are basically about comparing statistical significant difference in ecological zones and protected areas. So why report them as sub-headings, when you are presenting the same underlying information on significant difference?</p>	<p>1. We do not observe any error on the abstract.</p> <p>2. The results were explicitly written and all scientific expressions required for the research were used.</p> <p>3. Errors were minimized.</p> <p>4. Standard formatting was employed.</p> <p>5. Test for hypothesis were explained on sub-heading 2.2 data collection and analysis</p> <p>6. The sub-headings have been deleted and merged as suggested</p>