



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_42038
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Woody Species Diversity in Different Ecological Zones of Taraba State, Nigeria: A Strategy for Conservation
Type of Article:	Original research papers

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors response to final evaluator s comments
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The abstract is not well written; 2. The results were not detail enough and lacks general scientific expression. 3. There were a lot of typo errors. 4. Formatting was not standardized. 5. There was no hypothesis testing, although the authors attempt to report by 6. There were sub-headings with write-ups of only two or three-line sentences. Clearly this is unacceptable. 7. The results were not well presented. There was a general lack of coherence in the results presentation. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The abstract is short but very informative. 2. Scientific expressions for the research were carried out and adequate discussion was equally made. 3. All the errors observed were corrected. 4. Formatting was standard. 5. Hypothesis tests were observed. 6. We increase the number sentences on sub-heading C to four while second to the last sub-heading was merged. 7. The presentation was made on the order of density - diversity - similarity - comparison. That is testing ecological parameters - statistical analysis.