



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_41245
Title of the Manuscript:	Rootstock effect on seed yield in grafted watermelon [Citrullus lanatus (Thunb.) Matsum. and Nakai] onto different bottle gourd (Lagenarai siceraria Molina Standl. rootstocks
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I have already examined the manuscript, "Rootstock effect on seed yield in grafted watermelon [Citrullus lanatus (Thunb.) Matsum. and Nakai] onto different bottle gourd (Lagenarai siceraria Molina Standl. rootstocks)". It is very elaborate study for horticulture. It contains valuable datas. Grafting is very important for horticulturae.	The manuscript submitted to your journal was revised based on valuable suggestions of reviewers and their suggestion and correction increased value and disposition of the manuscript. Authors are thankful to reviewers for their contributions. Following additions and corrections were done based on suggestions; 1. All small correction were done and highlighted with yellow color. 2. "fruit yield" was added in title and aim of the MS. 3. Importance of water melon for Turkey was added in introduction. 4. Resistance status of the rootstocks was added in Table 1. 5. Cultivar characteristics of Crimson tide were added in material method section. 6. Geographical coordination of the experimental location was added. 7. Fernandez et al 2004 was corrected as 2002. 8. Duthie 1999 was corrected as Duthie et al., 1999. 9. Leoni et al., 1990 was added in reference list. 10. Jang et al 1992 was corrected as Jang, 1990.
Minor REVISION comments	There are some spelling, punctuation and grammar errors. Please re-checked them In abstract section; landraces rootstock name should be Birecik (Brecik) In material method Section; Characteristics of rootstocks can be given briefly In second experiment section, in 11. Row ungrfated should be ungrafted In result Variance value (p value) should be mentioned in thefigure in order to determine the significant different between data (Different letters same column.....) In conclusion most suitable combination can be given In references second reference must check	
Optional/General comments	It can be publish with some minor revisions	