



SDI Review Form 1.6

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry</a>                                    |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJRAF_41234   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Morphological variability of Detarium microcarpum Guill. & Perr. (Caesalpiniaceae) in Benin, West Africa |
| Type of the Article      | Original paper   |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**


This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)   |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p>Split data analysis following the three specifics objectives defined in lines 41, 42 and 43 to enable better understanding if possible. For example in each specific objective you can show the parameters which used to achieve. The way you did it is good but, it not very clear for others person who are not in the area.</p> <p>Also in the text you are talking about P-value, indicate the level of significance that you used line 178.</p> <p>For line 55 (study area map) the scale bar miss so add it to improve the quality of the map<br/>Line 260: remove the first.</p> <p>Some French references haves been translate to English and some still in French. Example line 370 et line 290 the references are in French while lines 284, 300, 306 references have been translated. There need to harmonize all the references.</p> <p>Line 351 the ; miss between 2017 and 29</p> | <p>Line 77: To describe the morphological variability of <i>D. microcarpum</i>, the.....</p> <p>Line 80: Average variables comparison was made by Student-Newman-Keuls test at the threshold of 5%.</p> <p>Line 88: To analyze the influence of phytogeographic and soil origin on morphological variability of <i>D. microcarpum</i>, descriptors were .....</p> <p>Line 91: In order to characterize the different morphotypes of <i>D. microcarpum</i> in Benin, accessions sampled were.....</p> <p>Line 54:</p>  <p>Line 340: .....Sci. Technol. 2017; 29: 87 - 106 87</p> |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <p>Not much to be done in the text. There is some writing mistakes like that I think writing editor will take care of these.</p>   |   |



SDI Review Form 1.6

|                                  |                     |  |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments |                     |  |
|                                  | It can be published |  |