



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_40238
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Desiccants on Seed Quality of Three Tree Species in the Moist-Deciduous Forest Ecotone
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	MATERIAL AND METHODS The experimental design would have been arranged in factorial design. In section 2.3, no detail explanation on how the germination test, conductivity etc were done. In line 105, there is no title for the table	Yes, 3X5 factorial CRD was used for the study. Those corrections have been made in the revised manuscript. In the results section some tables and interpretations have changed as a result. In section 2.3, I stated that the determination of germination, vigour etc were done using ISTA rules, 2007 I have replace the table in line 105 with a chart and labelled as such.
Optional/General comments		