



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_40238
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Desiccants on Seed Quality of Three Tree Species in the Moist-Deciduous Forest Ecotone
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I suggest making the corrections suggested in the document	Corrections made in the revised manuscript.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I suggest making the corrections suggested in the document	Corrections made in the revised manuscript. Concerning the updating of references, the use of desiccants is not a popular practice in forest research and hence only a few modern literature references.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The work is of great importance to the literature and the forest sciences. The use of desiccants, really, is little known in the forest area. However, I recommend that you run the ANOVA data in Factorial so that you test the factors separately and their interactions. If this was done, it was not mentioned in the text. I suggest that after that, make a table containing the results of the factorial test and the corresponding level of significance, followed by the averages of the values obtained accompanied by the respective letters of Tukey, if necessary. I believe this will reduce the excessive number of tables	Yes, 3X5 factorial CRD was used for the study. Those corrections have been made in the revised manuscript. In the results section some tables and interpretations have changed as a result. Thank you.