



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_40141
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINANTS OF MEDICINAL PLANTS USAGE FOR TRADITIONAL HERBAL MEDICINE AMONG VILLAGERS LIVING AT THE PERIMETER FENCE OF INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TROPICAL AGRICULTURE (I.I.T.A) IBADAN, OYO STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Title too long</p> <p>Line 14: average ages</p> <p>Line 15: were 55 and 57 respectively</p> <p>Line 16: were males</p> <p>Line 27: their extinction and ensure their continues supply</p> <p>The introduction is not properly due to some repetitions</p> <p>Line 121-124: should be re-casted</p> <p>Line 134-165: some information are not important</p> <p>Line 167: using descriptive</p> <p>Line 170-173: remove the boldness</p> <p>Line 473: remove 'on table 1'</p>	<p>Agreed and corrected</p> <p>Agreed: Done</p> <p>Agreed: Done</p> <p>Agreed: Effectted</p> <p>Agreed: Done</p> <p>Repetitions not spotted</p> <p>Agreed. Repetitions removed</p> <p>Agreed. Reviewed and unimportant information removed</p> <p>Agreed: Corrected</p> <p>Agreed: Corrected</p> <p>Agreed: Removed</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		