

Radioactivity Concentrations in Soil Samples from Kadam, Gyalggal, Burmawan masaka, Dinbisu and Giyawa Mines in Wurno LGA, Sokoto state, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

Aims: This study was conducted in a bid to ascertain the concentration of NORMS in the soil samples dugout of some minning sites in Kadam, Gyalggal, Burmawan masaka, Dinbisu and Giyawa town in Wurno LGA, Sokoto State Nigeria. The concerns about NORMS radioactivity in the environment are frequently linked to natural radioactivity which are more of primordial radionuclides.

Study design: A total number of nine soil samples were randomly collected for this study. The randomness was in order to represent over 90% of the sample population of different mines in Wurno LGA, Sokoto state for the analysis of gamma –ray spectrometry of High Purity Germanium (HPGe) detector coupled to a Multi-Channel Analyzer (MCA).

Place and Duration of Study: Department of Physics, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto, Nigeria, between August 2015 and June 2016.

Methodology: The concentrations of the radionuclides (^{226}Ra , ^{232}Th and ^{40}K) were ascertained through a high resolution gamma – ray spectrometry of HPGe detector coupled with a Multi-Channel Analyzer, Centre for Advanced Studies in Physics (CASP), Government College University (GCU), Lahore, Pakistan. The activity concentrations of ^{226}Ra , ^{232}Th and ^{40}K in the soil samples were obtained and used to calculate the radiological parameters (R_{eq} , H_{ex} , H_{in} , D_{Abs} and D_{Eff}) in order to assess the radiological impacts on the inhabitants.

Results: The mean activity concentrations for ^{226}Ra , ^{232}Th and ^{40}K in the soil samples were found to be 58.34, 53.76 and 679.70 Bqkg^{-1} while the radiological hazards parameters revealed mean values of 187.55 Bqkg^{-1} , 0.51, 0.66, 87.77 nGy.h^{-1} and 107.64 μSvyr^{-1} for R_{eq} , H_{ex} , H_{in} , D_{Abs} and D_{Eff} respectively. These were compared with the results of similar studies conducted elsewhere and around the world. It was noticed that ^{40}K contributes the highest level of radioactivity in this study which could enhance the amount of background radiation received by the population in the study area. The activity concentration unveiled from the soil samples were considerably lower for ^{226}Ra and ^{232}Th when compared to the activity of ^{40}K . The mean value of the dose calculations shows that an elevated exposure to radioactivity by the inhabitants around these mine is eminent.

Conclusion: Cancer and various forms of ailment due to radioactivity exposure are further anticipated for the workers in the pits and the dwelling communities.

Keywords: Radioactivity Monitoring, Wurno, Sokoto, Mines, Soil, Cancer.

1. INTRODUCTION

The basic premise of this research is on the philosophy of ICRP, UNSCEAR, USEPA, WHO and IAEA that strengthen the effort of radiological protections. It was as well conceptualized in order to preventing deterministic effects of exposure to environmental radioactivity, and also reducing the occurrence of stochastic effect of cancer and other relative ailments. Evidences have been established that the major sources of human exposure to ionizing radiations are the natural sources [7].

This means that there is a quest for individual protection by determining exposure limits or regulation on the risk of eminent exposure to radiological impacts in the environment [3].

The recent challenges in global economy has raised the dependence on mineral extraction, exploration, mining etc. to an alarming level [22].

Materials like sand, gravel, rock, talc, asbestos, limestone etc. are dramatically harnessed from mining for aggregates, concretes, roofing slates, cement, ceramics etc. These are enjoyed with

relatively high exposure to impurities inform of toxics to health status and pollution to the environment [6]. The exposure to radioactivity in the environment was found to account for roughly 85% of annual exposure dose received by the populations around the world [15].

Radioactivity exposures arise in the mining sites and their neighborhood through three major pathways which includes external gamma radiation from ores, inhalation of dusts containing long-lived alpha-emitting radionuclides and inhalation of the short-lived decay progenies of some radionuclides [31].

Various studies concerning environmental radioactivity have provided information on the sources and levels of background radiation in some observed changes on radioactivity levels and particular areas, but little evidences have unveiled and assessed the activities in the mining communities that could lead to elevated exposure of commonly occurring radionuclides of ^{40}K , ^{238}U , ^{226}Ra , ^{232}Th , ^{137}Cs with an interest on the maladies to human health [18].

Hence, this paper attempts to unveil the concentration of radioactivity in the soil samples collected from the mines. The radiological tendencies of the inhabitants around these mines will be also assessed through theoretical calculations. The results of this study may also signal some dangers attributable to indiscriminate mining of raw materials like Kaolin, Gypsum, Limestone etc., from the study area in Sokoto state.

The outcome of this finding could be added to the obtainable data of similar studies in other locations. Similar studies were conducted by [23];[14];[12];[2];[20];[28];[7] in a bid to unveil the environmental radioactivity and elemental analysis from environmental samples of farm land or mining sites.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The sampling was considered based on proportions, representation of the sample, homogeneity, sample points code and size (taking the weight) respectively. Soil samples were randomly collected from five mines in Wurno LGA, Sokoto State in a fashion that satisfies the outlines according to [9];[8];[24];[30];[26]. The soil samples were collected with the aid of a cleaned hand auger from 0 *cm* depth where hips of excavated soil from the mines are dumped in a distribution of 5 different points at about 25 *km* apart. Three separately collected samples were homogenized within 50 *cm* a parts in order to achieve a 95 to 98% representation of the soil for a particular location. The weight of the collected samples were measured so as to achieve a 2.5 *kg* gross weight of the sample from the field.

They were then parked into a 300 *ml* plastic container, labelled with a distinct code and then stored in their sealed form before shipping to laboratory for further experimental exercise. They were measurement by gamma – ray spectrometry of HPGe detector coupled with a MCA. The samples were dried for seven days under the ambient temperature of the air and manually sorted by removing stones, leaves and other organic particles. They were gently dried at 75°C for 24 hours in an oven for a careful removal of potential residual moisture and to avoid loss of volatile radionuclides to enable equilibration [25].

They were crushed, pulverized and homogenized with the aid of a mortar and pestle and then sieved through a standard mesh of 2.5 *mm* size using a vibratory sieve shaker to enable a uniform distribution of radionuclides [16].

The samples were hermetically sealed with a PVC tape to prevent gaseous nuclide flux in a standard 250 *ml* Marinelli beakers for 4 weeks to enable equilibration of the radionuclide progenies and to minimize partial accumulations of the radionuclides after preparation. In order to attain radioactive secular equilibrium, 4 weeks maximum duration was set between ^{222}Rn (radioactive noble gas), its decay products (^{214}Pb and ^{214}Bi) and radium (^{226}Ra), from the ^{238}U decay series. For the ^{232}Th decay series, the radon isotope (^{220}Rn) poses no serious problem in equilibration due to its short half-life of 55 seconds and in the ^{40}K decay series, no equilibrium is accounted for [16].

The techniques of gamma ray spectrometry of HPGe detector was used to count all the soil samples in this study as outlined by Umar et al [29].

2.1 Gamma – ray Spectrometry

The measurement of the activity concentrations of the radionuclides was performed using a P-type coaxial HPGe detector in a low-background configuration. The HPGe was made of concentric cylinders that were closed at the end. The peak-to-Compton ratio of the HPGe detector was 1332.5 keV at the photo peak of ^{60}Co . The detector was housed in a 12 cm of lead shielding to reduce the interference of background radiation from the surrounding with a cooling system of liquid nitrogen in a vertical cryostat Dewar (50 liters) to suppress the leakage current [5].

The detector cooling was incorporated for the system so as to enhance operation on low temperature for an optimal performance of the energy and detection efficiency during operation that requires cooling below 110°C . The detector system was fully automated with a multi-channel analyzer that was installed in a Personal computer for data acquisition. A fixed geometry was maintained for the standard source and the samples by choosing a 250 ml Marinelli beaker for the coaxial HPGe detector. The signals processing in the gamma – ray spectrometry set-up used main amplifier which was incorporated with an efficient pile-up ejector in the multi-channel buffer that consist of an analogue-to-digital converter (ADC).

For a linear amplification, the pulse height appears proportional to the gamma-ray energies absorbed. The pulses from the amplifier were then sorted by their pulse height and then converted to a digital number referred to as channel by the analog-to-digital converter (ADC) in the multichannel analyzer (MCA). The identification of individual radionuclides was then achieved with the aid of gamma ray analysis software, *GENIE 2000* of Canberra Company. It was used to acquiring spectrum signal for analysis in the MCAs. It was also used to perform Multiple Channel Analysis control, spectral display, basic spectrum analysis for the gamma ray spectroscopy and quality control [13].

The spectroscopic windows in the MCA were used for energy and efficiency calibration options and peak to total calibration which were maintained and stored for use. The spectrum results were obtained from the MCA spectra of the gamma – ray photo peaks of the typical radionuclide in the sample as well as all the interactions ranging from scattering events to photo peaks that were initiated by photoelectric effects. The two well-known ^{60}Co peaks (1173.2 and 1332.5 keV) were identified by their direct gamma - ray emissions. Other peaks of ^{241}Am (59.54 keV), ^{109}Cd (88.03 keV), ^{57}Co (122.06 keV), ^{139}Ce (165.86 keV), ^{203}Hg (279.20 keV), ^{113}Sn (391.69 keV), ^{85}Sr (514.01 keV), and ^{88}Y (898.04 keV and 1836.1 keV) were obtained from the standard source. This spectrum were found with additional peaks at 60.0, 88.0, 122.1 and 661.7 keV for ^{241}Am , ^{109}Cd , ^{57}Co , and ^{137}Cs respectively.

The efficiency of the HPGe detector was 60% with a resolution of 1.85 keV in its full-width at half maximum (FWHM) of the gamma-ray energy line of ^{60}Co at 1332.5 keV. A unified preamplifier was connected to the terminal for varying transmissions of the signals. The energy calibration of the detector was performed with the calibration standard source containing the following radionuclides: ^{109}Cd , ^{57}Co , ^{139}Ce , ^{203}Hg , ^{113}Sn , ^{85}Sr , ^{137}Cs , ^{60}Co , and ^{88}Y by inserting the standard source inside the detector setting for 86,400s counting time.

The soil samples were then counted on the HPGe detector for 86,400s. The activity concentration of the radionuclides in the samples was determined on dry weight basis in Bqkg^{-1} . The selected peaks were then manually calibrated by carefully entering and matching the known energies to a corresponding ROI centroids. The standard source channels range were defined by setting the energy window for detecting ^{226}Ra , ^{232}Th , ^{40}K and ^{137}Cs . Although, ^{137}Cs and ^{40}K are known to decays directly in gamma-ray by their common emission lines of 661 keV and 1460 keV. A linear fit was then used to obtain the energy calibration parameters. The amplifier gain was set such that 1 spectrum channel corresponds to 0.5 keV. The linear relationship of gamma-ray energies (0 - 2000) and channel numbers (0 - 6000) was set within the ROI obtained from the linear equation 1 by the computer [21];[11].

$$E = A_1 + A_2 \text{ChannelNumber} \quad (1)$$

where: E is the energy in keV, A_1 and A_2 are calibration constant for a given geometry.

Hence, a linear relationship was obtained for energy in keV against respective channel number. The activity concentration of radionuclide in the soil samples is given by equation (2):

$$A_c = \frac{N_E}{\epsilon_\gamma p_\gamma t_c m} \quad (2)$$

Where A_c is the activity concentration of a particular nuclide in of $Bqkg^{-1}$. N_E the net count at the interest peak energy as the corrected background counts of the corresponding full-energy peak, ε_γ is the absolute full-energy peak detection efficiency, p_γ is the gamma-ray emission probability, t_c is the counting time in 86,400 seconds, and m is the mass of the samples in kilogram. The minimum detectable activity (MDA) for each radioelement was obtained by equation 3 according to Gilmore and Hemingway [13];[33].

$$MDA = \frac{DL}{\varepsilon_\gamma p_\gamma t_c m} \quad (3)$$

Where DL is the detection limit under the background counts B such that:

$$DL = 2.71 + 465\sqrt{B} \quad (4)$$

The errors in the measurements were expressed in terms of standard deviation ($\pm\sigma$), where σ is expressed as in equation 5 [13];[33].

$$\sigma = \left[\frac{N_s}{T_s^2} + \frac{N_b}{T_b^2} \right]^{1/2} \quad (5)$$

Where, N_s is the sample counts measured in time T_s and N_b is the background counts measured in time T_b . The standard deviation $\pm\sigma$ in cps was converted into activity concentration in $Bqkg^{-1}$ according to equation 4 [13];[33].

2.2. Radiation hazards

2.2.1. Radium equivalent activity

Based on the detected values of the measured ^{226}Ra , ^{232}Th and ^{40}K for all the samples, the radium equivalent activity was calculated from equation 6;

$$Ra_{eq} = A_{Ra} + 1.43A_{Th} + 0.077A_K \quad (6)$$

where A_{Ra} , A_{Th} and A_K are the activity concentrations of ^{226}Ra , ^{232}Th and ^{40}K in $Bqkg^{-1}$. Due to the assumption that radiological contaminated materials from around mine are usually locally used for the construction of homes in most sampled localities, hence the external hazard index (H_{ex}) and internal hazard index (H_{in}) could be calculated from equations 7 and 8, [32].

$$H_{ex} = \frac{A_{Ra}}{370} + \frac{A_{Th}}{259} + \frac{A_K}{4810} \quad (7)$$

$$H_{in} = \frac{A_{Ra}}{185} + \frac{A_{Th}}{259} + \frac{A_K}{4810} \quad (8)$$

where: A_{Ra} , A_{Th} and A_K are the activity concentrations of ^{226}Ra , ^{232}Th and ^{40}K in $Bqkg^{-1}$

The assessment of radiological hazard to the inhabitants around these mines due to exposure to ionizing radiation from the commonly occurring radionuclides in the environment was based on the guidelines of [31],

The mean activity concentrations of ^{226}Ra , ^{232}Th , and ^{40}K ($Bqkg^{-1}$ and BqL^{-1}) in the samples were used to calculate the absorbed dose rate deposited on an individual by equation 9;

$$D_{Abs.} (nGy.h^{-1}) = (0.462A_{Ra} + 0.604A_{Th} + 0.0417A_K) \quad (9)$$

where $D_{Abs.}$ is the absorbed dose rate in $nGyh^{-1}$, A_{Ra} , A_{Th} and A_K are the activity concentration of ^{226}Ra , ^{232}Th and ^{40}K , respectively. The dose coefficients in units of $nGyh^{-1}$ per $Bqkg^{-1}$.

To account for the annual effective dose rates, the conversion coefficient from absorbed dose in air ($D_{Abs.}$) to effective dose (0.7SvGy^{-1}) and outdoor occupancy factor (0.2SvGy^{-1}). The effective dose rate in units of μSvyr^{-1} was calculated from equation 10 [13];[33].

$$D_{Eff} (\mu\text{Svyr}^{-1}) = D_{Abs.} (nGyh^{-1}) \times 8760h \times 0.2 \times 0.7\text{SvGy}^{-1} \times 10^{-3} \quad (10)$$

where $0.7 \text{ Sv Gy}^{-1} \times 10^{-3}$ is the conversion factor for absorbed to effective dose rate, 0.2 is the outdoor occupancy factors for 20% time spent by individual, 8760 h is the number of hours around the year.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Activity Concentration

The spectrometric results revealed a wide range of spectra from the counting of the samples. The spectra signals were used for the identification of different radionuclides from the soil samples. The results of activity concentrations of ^{226}Ra , ^{232}Th and ^{40}K from the soil samples are presented on Table 1 while Table 2 presents the radiological hazards parameters.

Table 1: Measured Values of Activity Concentration of ^{226}Ra , ^{232}Th Soil Samples, Wurno LGA, Sokoto State.

S/n		Activity Concentration (Bq kg^{-1})		
		^{226}Ra	^{232}Th	^{40}K
1.	Kandam	59.8±8.2	31.4±0.3	589.15±23.8
2.	Gyalggal	56.4±3.1	52.3±2.4	547.18±24.9
3.	Burmawan masaka	69.6±9.3	75.8±3.1	398.05±19.2
4.	Dinbisu	89.4±8.1	67.5±2.6	1201.07±19.3
5.	Giyawa	16.5±9.1	41.8±0.8	663.04±18.5
	Minimum	16.50	31.40	398.05
	Maximum	89.40	75.80	1201.07
	Mean	58.34	53.76	679.70
	World Mean	35.02	45.03	420.12

Table 2 presents the result of radiological hazards parameters in this study. It could be observed from the table that the calculated values of Ra_{eq} for the entire soil samples were in lower levels from 127.33 to $278.41 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$ with a mean of $187.55 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$ respectively. Also, from these results, Ra_{eq} values were comparably lower than $370.00 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$ which is the international threshold set by [31]. Similarly, the calculated mean values of H_{ex} and H_{in} from the activity concentrations of the radionuclides were found to be 0.51 and 0.66 respectively. These values were found fairly close unity threshold for international exposure for both inhabitants and occupational safety. Also, to assess the radiological impacts from the activity concentrations of ^{226}Ra , ^{232}Th and ^{40}K on the inhabitants of these communities, the absorbed dose and the annual effective dose rates were calculated using equations 9 and 10. The mean absorbed dose in the ambient air due to terrestrial gamma – ray was found to be 87.77 nGy.h^{-1} and $107.64 \text{ μSvyr}^{-1}$ for D_{Abs} and D_{Eff} respectively. For the annual effective dose rates, a conversion factor of 0.7 Sv Gy^{-1} was utilized to obtain the effective dose from the absorbed dose with a special emphasis on 0.2 as the outdoor occupancy factor.

Table 2: Radiological Hazards Parameters for the Soil samples Collected from Wurno LGA

S/n	Sample Point	Radiological Parameters				
		Ra_{eq}	H_{ex}	H_{in}	$D_{Abs} (\text{nGy.h}^{-1})$	$D_{Eff} (\text{μSvyr}^{-1})$
1.	Kandam	150.07	0.41	0.57	71.16	87.27
2.	Gyalggal	173.32	0.47	0.62	80.46	98.68
3.	Burmawan masaka	208.64	0.56	0.75	94.54	115.94
4.	Dinbisu	278.41	0.75	0.99	132.16	162.08
5.	Giyawa	127.33	0.34	0.39	60.52	74.22
	Minimum	127.33	0.34	0.39	60.52	74.22
	Maximum	278.41	0.75	0.99	132.16	162.08
	Mean	187.55	0.51	0.66	87.77	107.64
	World Mean	370.00	≤1	≤1	57.00	100.00

The activity concentrations of the five samples were obtained in a fashion of Concentration Level ± Standard Error of the mean for ^{226}Ra , ^{232}Th and ^{40}K and they were presented in ranges. The results

graphically illustrated in Figure 1. ^{40}K was detected from its direct decay in gamma at 1461 keV while other radionuclides of ^{238}U and ^{232}Th series with numerous decay daughters were identified from the spectra of their progenies. The activity concentrations of ^{226}Ra and ^{232}Th were calculated from the spectra generated using equation 2.




Figure1: A Combined graph of Radionuclides activities and radiological parameters for Soil Samples from Wurno LGA, Sokoto state, Nigeria.

The activity concentration from the soil of these mines in Kadam, Gyalgyal, Burmawan Masakka, Dinbisu and Giyawa in Wurno LGA, Sokoto state are presented in the chart of Figure 1. The mean values of the activity concentration measured were found to be 48.34 ± 7.0 , 80.76 ± 1.8 and $463.52 \pm 21.1 \text{ Bqkg}^{-1}$. The activity concentrations for ^{226}Ra , ^{232}Th and ^{40}K did not show any definite pattern in distribution with respect to any particular soil sample from the mines, but the activity concentration of ^{40}K appears to be highly significant when compared to those of ^{226}Ra and ^{232}Th respectively. The lowest activity concentrations of the three radionuclides was found to be $48.34 \pm 7.0 \text{ Bqkg}^{-1}$ for ^{226}Ra which may be due to higher occurrence of ^{232}Th and ^{40}K in most soil of the study area. The samples from Dinbisu was found with the highest level of activity concentrations for ^{226}Ra and ^{40}K by 89.4 ± 8.1 and $1201.07 \pm 19.3 \text{ Bqkg}^{-1}$ while ^{232}Th had its highest value of $75.8 \pm 3.1 \text{ Bqkg}^{-1}$ at Burmawan Masakka. These values of radioactivity were found in a significant levels above the world mean threshold of 35.02, 45.03 and 420.12 Bqkg^{-1} for ^{226}Ra , ^{232}Th and ^{40}K according to UNSCEAR report [31]. The concerns on this hike in the values of radioactivity could be prominent due to prevalence of younger granite rocks, Feldspars and Phosphate rocks in the lullemeden basin where Wurno LGA form apart [19].

Most precious minerals that are mined in parts of Sokoto basin are also from quartz-mica rocks, granites rocks and Monazites which are known to be responsible for higher level of radioactive exposure to inhabitants from their embedded primordial sources [20];[2].

The results of this study was found in good agreement with the results obtained in the work of other researchers in Nigeria and around the world. The results are presented in comparison with other results on Table 3.

Table 3: Comparison of the Results obtained in this Study with Previous Studies.

Sn	Country	Activity Concentration (Bqkg^{-1})			Reference
		^{226}Ra	^{232}Th	^{40}K	
1.	Nigeria (Wurno, Sokoto)	58.34	53.76	679.70	This study
2.	Nigeria (Zaria, Kaduna)	-	215.18 ± 8.70	476.04 ± 28.07	[27]
3.	Nigeria (Sokoto)	720 ± 4.2	33.5 ± 1.4	315.3 ± 6.7	[20]
4.	Saudi Arabia (Riyadh)	14.5 ± 3.9	11.2 ± 3.9	225 ± 63	[4]

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the method of gamma –ray spectrometry have been used to ascertain the concentration of radioactivity from some mines in Wurno LGA, Sokoto state, Nigeria. The activity concentration of ^{40}K was found to be the highest of the results of natural radioactivity of the commonly occurring radionuclides from the mines. ^{226}Ra , ^{232}Th and ^{40}K were found significantly higher in concentration above the world mean values which entails an enhanced background radiation levels in the study area. There are also significant levels of some radiological parameters unveiled in this study. Hence, there are potential dangers of exposure to radioactivity to the workers in these mines and the general public dwelling in these communities. The soil from these mine must be carefully handled in case of any domestic or industrial needs in order to mimic radiological hazards.

Lastly, due to lack of sufficient accounts on the level of radioactivity in the soil of mines from these localities, the results obtained from this study stands a good chance of baseline data for research on the radioactivity measurement in the environment and mining sites of these region.

However, effective radiation monitoring measures are recommended to enable frequent exercise of determining radioactivity levels so as to checkmate any potential radio-toxic burden on the general public.

REFERENCES

1. Abu-Samreh, M. Gamma radiation measurement and dose rates of naturally occurring radioactive samples from Hebron Province geological rocks. *Abhath Al-Yarmouk*. 2006;(15):1–9.
2. Ahijjo YM, Baba-Kutigi AN. A Model of Analytical Theory for Indoor Radon Contamination In Sokoto Metropolis. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering Science and Technology (JMEST)*. 2015;2(9):2460-2463.
3. Ahijjo YM, Umar S. A Theoretical Model of Health Implications Due To Potassium-40 Prevalence in Sokoto Basin: A Case Study of Dange-Shuni. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Engineering Science Studies (JMESS)*. 2015;1(1):2912-1309.
4. Alaamer AS. Assessment of Human Exposures to Natural Sources of Radiation in Soil of Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, *Turkish J. Eng. Env. Sci.* 2008;(32):229-234.
5. Alatise OO, Babalola IA, Olowofela JA. Distribution of some natural gammaemitting radionuclides in the soils of the coastal areas of Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*. 2008;99(11):1746-1749.
6. Aslam M, Orfi S, Khan K, Jabbar A. Radiological significance of Pakistani marble used for construction of dwellings. *Journal of radioanalytical and nuclear chemistry*. 2002;253(3), 483-487.
7. Baba-Kutigi AN, Joseph E, Tikyaa EV, and Sanni DM. Investigation of the Gross Alpha and Beta Activity Concentrations of Hand Dug Well Water from Dutsin-Ma Local Government, Katsina State – Nigeria *Journal of Natural Sciences Research*, (2016);6(22):64-70.
8. Bennet S, Woods T, Liyanage WM, Smith DL. A simplified general method for Stack sample surveys of health in developing countries. *World Health Statistics Quarterly*. 1991;(44):89-106.
9. Dalenius, T., and Hodges, J. L, Jr. (1959). Minimum variance stratification. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 54, pp. 88-101.
10. Ebaid YY. Use of gamma-ray spectrometry for uranium isotopic analysis of environmental samples. *Rom Journ Phys*. 2010;55(1–2):69-74.
11. Faanu A, Adukpo OK, Okoto RJS, Diabor E, Darko EO, Emi-Reynolds G, Awudu AR, Glover ET, Tandoh JB, Ahiamadjie H, Otoo F, Adu S, Kpordzro R. Determination of Radionuclides in Underground Water Sources within the Environments of University of Cape Coast. *Research Journal of Environmental and Earth Sciences*. 2011: 3(3), 269 -274.
12. Gilmore G, Hemingway J. Practical gamma-ray spectrometry. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, pp 119–124. ISBN 0-471-95150-1: 1995.
13. Girigisu, S., Ibeanu, I. G. E., Adeyemo, D. J, Onoja, R. A., Bappah, I. A., and Okoh, S. (2013). Assessment of radiological levels in soils from Bagega artisanal gold mining exercises at Bagega Zamfara State, Nigeria. *Archives of Applied Science Research*. 2013;5(3):204-210
14. Harb S, Salahel DK, Abbady A, Mostafa M. Activity concentration for surface soil samples collected from Armant, Qena, Egypt. *Proceedings of the 4th Environmental Physics Conference, Hurghada, Egypt*. 2010;(4):49-57.
15. Isinkaye MO, Oyedele EA. Assessment of radionuclides and trace metals in soil of an active designated municipal waste-dumpsite in Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria. *Journal of International Environment and Applications*. 2014;9(3), 402-410.

16. Jibiri NN, Alausa SK, Owofolaju AE, Adeniran AA. Terrestrial gamma dose rates and physical-chemical properties of farm soils from ex-tin mining locations in Jos-Plateau, Nigeria. *African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*. 2011;5(12):1039-1049.
17. Jibiri NN, Mabawonku AA, Oriade SJ. Natural radionuclide levels in soil and water around a cement factory in Ewekoro, Ogun State, Nigeria. *J. Phy.* 1999;5(11):12-16.
18. Kogbe AC. Geology of the SE sector of the Iullemeden basin. *Bulletin, Department of Geology, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria*. 1979;2(1):355-359.
19. Kolo MT. Natural radioactivity and environmental risk assessment of Sokoto Phosphate rock, Northwest Nigeria. *Afri. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2014;8(9):532-538.
20. Mukhammedov S, Tillaeva K. Natural. Radioac some med plants *J Nucl Radiat Physics*. 2005;1(1):73-76
21. Mustapha A, Patel J, Rathore I. Assessment of human exposures to natural sources of radiation in Kenya. *Radiation protection dosimetry*. 1999;82(4), 285-292.
22. Ogunleye PO, Mayaki MC, Amapu IY. Radioactivity and heavy metal composition of Nigerian phosphate rocks: possible environmental implications. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*. 2002;(62):39-48.
23. Peterson CH, McDonald LL, Green RH, Erickson WP. Sampling design begets conclusions: the statistical basis for detection of injury to and recovery of shoreline communities after the 'Exxon Valdez' oil spill. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*. 2001;(210):255-283.
24. Ramachandran TV and Mishra UC. Measurement of natural radioactivity levels in Indian foodstuffs by gamma spectrometry. *Appl. Radiat Isot.* 1989;40(8): 723-726.
25. Singh RVK, Audu A. Efficiency of Ratio Estimators in Stratified Random Sampling Using Information on Auxiliary Attribute. *International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology*, 2013;(2):166-172.
26. Taiwo AO, Adeyemo DJ, Sadiq U, Bappah IA. Determination of external and internal hazard indices from natural occurring radionuclide around a superphosphate fertilizer factory in Nigeria, *Archives of Applied Science Research*. 2014;(6):23-27.
27. Tchokossa P, Olomo JB, Osibote OA. Radioactivity in the community water supplies of Ife-Central and Ife-East Local government areas of Osun State, Nigeria. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A*. 1999;(422):784-789.
28. Umar AM, Onimisi MY, Jonah SA. Baseline measurement of natural radioactivity in soil, vegetation and water in the industrial district of the Federal Capital Territory (FCT) Abuja, Nigeria. *British Journal of Applied Science & Technology*. 2012;2(3):266-274.
29. Underwood AJ, Chapman MG. Power, precaution, Type II error and sampling design in assessment of environmental impacts. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*. 2003;(296):49-70.
30. United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation. Radiological Protection Bulletin No. 224, New York; 2000.
31. Yavar AR, Khalafi H, Kasesaz Y, Sarmani SB, Wood AK, Khoo KS. Verification of MCNP simulation for neutron parameters measurement at Malaysian nuclear agency research reactor. *Applied Radiation and Isotopes*. 2012;70(10):2488-2493.
32. Yavar AR, Sarmani SB, Wood AK, Zainal NS, Khoo KS. Development and implementation of Høgdahl convention and Westcott formalism for k0-INAA application at Malaysian Nuclear Agency reactor. *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*. 2011; 291(2): 521-527.