



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Review in Physics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_43738
Title of the Manuscript:	A new look at formulation of charge storage in capacitors and application to classical capacitor and fractional capacitor theory
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The paper is too long and the equations are too many up to 65. This manuscripts is more like text material rather than journal paper. 2. The reference numbers in the text are not in sequence. Please refer the standard one. There must arrange from the low number up to the highs number and never jumping 3. There must be the word Eq. before writing the number in the text e.g. Eq.(1) 	<p>I am thankful to the respected reviewer for valuable observations</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I am unable to shorten this. The equations need be present as here I am comparing the classical text book results with the new formulation. 2. The sequencing of references I require professional help, as suggested. 3. I have implemented this suggestion of writing Eq.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		