



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Review in Physics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_41178
Title of the Manuscript:	Electronic behaviour of Schottky diodes fabricated from electroplated CdSe semiconductors
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- Please note that the reference list and the whole manuscript must conform strictly to the Guide for Authors. - References are old. The author can use new references in literature review.	- Noted - We do not understand the word 'References are old'. The first cited reference is the work done by Turner and Rhoderick (the 1968 reference). Turner and Rhoderick are scientists and researchers who have done a lot of novel work on Schottky barrier devices and this work will not be completed without making reference to them.
Minor REVISION comments	Typographical error : - In page 2, line 231, « 6. References » change to « 5. References ». please check and correct.	This is correct in the original manuscript submitted to the journal.
Optional/General comments	The paper is well organized and written. However, requires further initial discussion. - Please note that the reference list and the whole manuscript must conform strictly to the Guide for Authors. - In general, No technical errors and approved for publication.	- Noted - Thanks to the Reviewer for the comments.