



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_44542
Title of the Manuscript:	A Cross-Sectional Study on the Factors Leading to Unintended Pregnancy in Women Attending Selected Health Facilities in Kumasi, Ghana
New Title of the Manuscript:	Study of the Factors Leading to Unintended Pregnancy in Women in Kumasi, Ghana
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I feel that there are still some fairly major edits that need to be made.	Who are seeking care for an induced abortion? The participants were asked whether they have ever had unintended pregnancy before. They were further asked what action they will take or took when they had unintended pregnancy (abort or carry the pregnancy). Not all of them were seeking care for an induced abortion. So, are all women in this study seeking care for an abortion? This is still not clear. No, not all the women in the study were seeking abortion. Some wanted abortion for the unintended pregnancy whilst others said that they would carry the pregnancy to term. Respondents were asked whether they have ever had induced abortions before because of unintended pregnancy and 28.1% said yes to that question. Does this mean they offer abortion services? I would suggest not being coy with your language. The word resolving should rather be preventing. The institutions help prevent unintended pregnancies. Below, in the results, it is indicated that all of the participants had either a mistimed or unwanted pregnancy. Did you sample for that on purpose? Was that part of the inclusion criteria? Participants were asked whether they have had any mistimed or unwanted before and the responses indicated that a lot of them have had mistimed or unwanted pregnancy before. Having mistimed or unwanted pregnancy was not part of the inclusion criteria. Were the participants interviewed? How were data collected? On a paper survey? On a computer? Was it self-administered? The participants were interviewed using paper questionnaire. Some individuals were trained to administer the questionnaire to the participants. You need to indicate what analyses you conducted in order to assess statistical significance. The Bivariate Correlation analysis was used to find out the association between two variables and the p-value was generated from the bivariate analysis. All of these were unintended pregnancies. This needs to be made clear in the methods section. Participants were asked whether their current pregnancy was unintended, or they have ever had any unintended pregnancy before. This has been captured in the methods section. But all of the pregnancies were either mistimed or unwanted. Yes, but if you compare single women with the married, the singles were having more mistimed compared with the married. How was this information collected? Was it open-ended, or did the participants select from options? This needs to be clearly stated in the methods section. The participants selected from options. This has been clearly stated in the methods section now. Did you ask what contraceptive method they were using at the time they became pregnant? No. The question was whether they used contraceptive method before becoming pregnant.