



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_44255
Title of the Manuscript:	URETERIC CALCULI COMPLICATING PREGNANCY
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper describes the case of a nephritic colic in a pregnant woman. The case is interesting. However, in the FOLLOW UP section, it is specified that the patient is recommended to increase fluid intake and a low calcium diet. This last recommendation should be accompanied by the determination of the excretion and concentration of urinary calcium in urine of 24 hours, since if the urinary calcium is normal, a restriction of its intake should not be recommended in any case. If this information is available, it should be attached.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	F. Grases
Department, University & Country	University of Balearic Islands, Spain