



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_44511
Title of the Manuscript:	Assesing the potential of using satellite data on radiation in the analysis of earth's climate system to complement the scarce radiation data measured from the ground stations.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The subject matter is within the scope of the journal and in terms of originality, subject coverage, clarity of presentation, strength of data and importance in field the paper is excellent. The article is novel and interesting to warrant publication; furthermore technically it adheres to the journal's standards. The research question is very important I estimate that, in terms of originality and appropriateness for the journal, the paper is in the top 25% of papers in this field. The title accurately reflects the content, the abstract is clear and adequate and the figures are explicative. The only remark to be taken into account is that the paper could be improved if the number of references was increased. On this regard, I strongly encourage the Authors to take into account the following points: The reference list is incomplete and should be integrated taking for example into account papers of Caccamo et al., and Calabrò et al. The quality of figures should be improved. The English should be revised.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Salvatore Magazù
Department, University & Country	Università di Messina, Italy