



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_45589
Title of the Manuscript:	On Estimating Variance Components of Two-Way Nested Random Model with Missing Information
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>In this article alternative estimators have been derived for the variance components using MIVQUE of two way nested model in the presence of missing observations.</p> <p>It is a good piece of work. However, it should be revised on the following points</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The simulation study results are not reported in the manuscript.</li> <li>2. The models compared using simulation study such as MMIV(MIV(0)), MMIV(ANOVA) etc. are not mentioned anywhere.</li> <li>3. Grammar and the language should be thoroughly checked.</li> <li>4. Formatting of the article is required.</li> </ol>	corrected
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	