	я.	

2

3

ANALYSIS OF RAINFALL PATTERN IN THE WESTERN REGION OF GHANA

5

4

6 ABSTRACT

The primary aim for this paper is to examine the pattern of rainfall in the western region of Ghana. Data was obtained from the Ghana Meteorological Agency. The sample include January to September pattern of the amount of rainfall, for the years 2006 to 2016. That is nominal daily rainfall recorded (1485) aggregated into monthly rainfall value (99 data point). The analysis includes fitting an auto regression moving average model (ARMA) model for the data. The series was found to be non-stationary which resulted from the presence of a unit root in it. The series became stationary after eliminating the unit root by finding the first difference in the amount of rainfall. The time series component found in the model were trend and random variation. ARMA (1, 1) which has all parameters significant was fitted for the data and found to be the most suitable model for the conditional mean. A Ljung Box test statistic of 47.207 with a normalized BIC of 6.420 and a Root Mean Square error of 24.16 supported by a probability value of 0.001 show that the fitted model is

appropriate for the data. An $R^2 = 0.532$ indicates that about 53% of the variations seen in the pattern of rainfall recorded for the period is being explained by the fitted model. The 18-month forecast for the mean actual rainfall and mean returns could show that the fitted model is appropriate for the data and an increasing trend of rainfall for the forecasted period.

7 8

Keywords: Auto Regression Moving Average, Unit root, ACF, PACF, Forecast, Stationarity, Parameter estimates, ADF test statistic

9 10

11 1. INTRODUCTION

Rainfall variability has serious implications for livelihood and food production in developing regions 12 such as West Africa. In this region irrigation is restricted and inter-annual and multi-decadal variability 13 14 leads to declining rainfall total. The situation is exacerbated by the fact that more than half of the adult population in the sub-region is directly engaged in essentially rain-fed agriculture. Ghana, like the 15 16 other parts of the sub-continent, has undergone a period of declining annual rainfall total since the early 1970s and she is only recently showing signs of recovery since 2000 [1]. Increases in annual 17 rainfall totals in many parts of Ghana after the year 2000 are evident in the spilling of the Akosombo 18 19 dam on the Volta River in November 2010. This was the first time in 20 years that the dam had to be 20 spilled due to increases in rainfall [2]. About 42 % of Ghana's 238,540 km² is suitable for crop 21 cultivation but only about 27 % of this is under cultivation as estimated by the Food and Agricultural 22 Organization (FAO) in 2005. In a pilot study in Wenchi, located on the northern fringe of mid-Ghana, 23 [2] identified, in addition to an overall drying, greater reductions in the mean rainfall totals and the mean number of rainy days during the minor rainy season and a slight increase of rains in the short 24 25 dry spell. This reduction in rainfall and potential diminution of the minor rainy season, if present 26 throughout humid mid-Ghana, is likely to prevent cultivation of crops and crop varieties that have 27 longer growing seasons, as well as the adoption of a single crop per year, instead of the current two 28 crops, under rain-fed agriculture. Such an occurrence will negatively impact on food security. 29 Government agencies and international organizations are currently encouraging the application of seasonal forecast information and weather index insurance as some of the adaptation measures [3, 30 31 4].

32 However, to develop a model for predicting changing rainfall patterns or to utilize available forecasted 33 information, it is important to understand both the spatio-temporal nature of the declining and shifting rainfall pattern in the agriculturally important regions in mid-Ghana. According to FAO in 2008, rainfall 34 35 variability is an inherent part of the African climate and it is deeply entrenched in West Africa. Thus, 36 there is inadequate rain for irrigation in many African countries and as such countries whose 37 economies rely highly on agriculture are greatly vulnerable to economic instability. According to the 38 International Scientific Research (ISR) Journal [2], "in the event of large deviations from the normal 39 rainfall, people are highly affected as floods and droughts are most often the by-products. 40 Government's scarce resources are directed to humanitarian missions to help people affected by 41 floods and other disasters that come with these extreme weather conditions".

42

43 During the last 10 to 15 years, there have been worldwide perceptions that droughts and floods have 44 intensified [5]. In Ghana, it has been observed that the annual rainfall total has generally declined 45 while the total number of extreme events such as droughts has been on the increase [2, 6]. Similar 46 studies conducted by [7] for West Africa, [8] for east Africa, [9] for south Africa, [10, 11] for various 47 parts of Africa show that some regions on the continent, especially west Africa have suffered drastic 48 changes such as prolonged drought and prolonged flood.

49

50 In Ghana, the situation is no different, the Ministry of Finance, in 2007 indicated that the problem of 51 rainfall variability is paramount and continues to have serious consequence on the Ghanaian agriculture, accounting for about 35% of the country's Gross Domestic Product (GDP). Farmers 52 53 depend on shared knowledge and experience with the weather as well as observations of natural phenomena to forecast forthcoming cropping season and weather condition [12]. However, in recent 54 55 times, the frequency of change in climate has increased considerably and local experience and 56 knowledge are no longer sufficient to guide agricultural planning and decision making [13]. Hence the 57 initiation of models as a quide to understanding these drastic changes and future circumstances could therefore be predicted based on the knowledge acquired from these models. 58

59

60 Climate change in Ghana has become a threat to livelihoods. Drought and over flooding in some parts 61 of Ghana have developed into yearly worry to people and government. In the south particularly, the 62 coastal areas, aquatic life is of great importance because of the fishing activity that goes on there, and 63 farmers in these parts also dwell mainly on the rains for farming since there are no major irrigational 64 facility. As such, changes in rainfall affect the level of water bodies as well as crop farming. This 65 problem influences the economic activities in these areas and the country at large. As a result, the 66 Government of Ghana contracts researchers and engineers to come out with ways to solve these problems every now and then [4]. One of the ways used is time series analysis, thus, studying the 67 68 past and current pattern of rainfall in a systematic approach would help to fit a suitable model for 69 future predictions.

70

71 The major purpose of this study is to identify rainfall pattern in the Western Region of Ghana, West Africa by considering the years 2006 to 2016 and fitting an appropriate time series model for 72 forecasting future rainfall pattern (values) in the Western Region. Findings of this paper will be 73 significant since it will enable farmers to plan their farming activities ahead of time and provide 74 75 empirical evidence to stakeholders on rainfall trends to help them formulate policies that can benefit the region concerned and the nation at large.

- 76 77
- 78 79

2. DATA AND METHODS

80 This article considered a model based on information and real data obtained from the Ghana 81 82 Meteorological Station, Sekondi. The sample include January to September pattern of the amount of rainfall, for the years 2006 to 2016, that is nominal daily rainfall recorded (1485) aggregated into 83 84 monthly rainfall value (99 data point).

85 86

2.1. Time Series Analysis

88 In time series analysis, the past and present behavior of variables are observed and examining them 89 often suggest the method of analysis as well as statistics that will be of use in summarizing any 90 information in the data, so that values predicted from the data may fit the present situation as well as the future. Time series data are often obtained through monitoring industrial processes or tracking 91

92 corporate business metrics. Data used in time series can be continuous or discrete in nature, it is said 93 to be continuous when the observations are made over time interval and it is described as discrete 94 when observations are made at specific time periods. Usually these observations in time series are 95 taken at regular intervals such as days, months, guarters and years. There are two mutually exclusive 96 approaches usually applied in time series analysis, these are the time domain approach and the 97 frequency domain approach. Conversely, the time domain approach which is adapted in this study is generally motivated by the assumption that correlation between times is explained best in terms of a 98 99 dependence of the current value on the past values. This approach focuses on modeling some future 100 value of a time series as a parametric function of the current and past values. A more current method 101 in the time domain approach well-known to statisticians is the use of the additive model or the 102 multiplicative models [14, 15].

103

104 Time series data exhibit at least one of the following features; Secular (Trend), Seasonal variations, 105 Cyclical variations, and Irregular (Random) variations. Secular (Trend) are continuous long-term 106 movement in a variable over an extended period that is, a general increase or decrease in a time series data over several consecutive periods. Trend can be linear or nonlinear. A linear trend tends to 107 108 increase or decrease at a constant rate, however a nonlinear trend is likely to move steadily upwards, 109 as others decline. Seasonal Variation is a wavelike pattern that is repeated throughout a time series 110 with a recurrent period at most one year but, usually on weekly, monthly, quarterly, or annual basis. These are the short-term regular variations in data, generally caused by factors such as weather, 111 112 holidays, festivals etc.

113

124

125 126

127

145

146 147

Seasonal component is a pattern in time series which indicate change of monthly data that repeats 114 115 itself within a year. A Cyclical Variation exhibits repetitious pattern with a recurrent period longer than 116 one year. This occurs mostly in businesses which indicate variations in the general level of national 117 economic measures such as unemployment, gross national product, stock market index etc. over a 118 relatively long period of time, thus these points toward a cycle. Irregular (Random) Variation is often 119 referred to as the "noise" in the data that are unpredictable in the times series data and cannot be 120 associated with trend, seasonal, or cyclical component of time series. Events such as industrial strike 121 actions, earth quakes, floods, outbreak of epidemics, wars etc., may lead to odd movements in a time 122 series data [14, 15]. The types of patterns of fluctuations in a time series may be represented as;

123 T = trend value of the series

S = value of the seasonal variation

C = value of the cyclical variation

I = value of the irregular variation

128 Thus let:

129 (1) Y_t = observed values of the time series at time t 130 131 Hence the additive and multiplicative models may be represented as 132 $Y_t = T + S + C + I$ and 133 <mark>(2)</mark> 134 $Y_t = T \times S \times C \times I$ respectively. 135 <mark>(3)</mark> 136 137 If the data however, do not contain one of the type of variation (e.g., cycle) the value for that missing 138 component is zero. For instance, there is no cycle for a yearly series since cyclical variation cannot be 139 observed over a one-year period, hence the additive model becomes; 140 141 $Y_{t} = T + S + I.$ (4)142

143 Likewise, in the multiplicative model if trend, seasonal variation, or cycle is missing, then the value is 144 assumed to be 1. So, for series with a period of one year, where there is no cycle then;

 $Y_t = T \times S \times I$.

<mark>(5)</mark>

2.2. Trend Analysis and Forecasting Techniques 148

150 Time series analysis is aimed at projecting trend by fitting a trend line to a series of historical data 151 points through which a model is fit for prediction of future values over a period. Several trend

Equations can be developed based on exponential or quadratic models, however the simplest is a
linear trend model (least square method-LSM) that is developed using Regression analysis. Equation
for Linear Trend sigven by
$$T_{t} = b_{0} + b_{t}t$$
(6)
Where;
$$T_{t} = trend value in period t (predicted value)b_{0} = intercept of the trend linet = timet = timet$$

207 represented by alpha (α) [14, 15, 16]. Thus, the forecast value for a current time series is computed 208 as; 209

$$F_{t+1} = \alpha Y_t + (1 - \alpha) F_t$$

210

211 212 Where;

213 F_{t+1} is the new forecast for time t + 1

214 215 216

217

227 228

232 233 234 F_t is the previous forecast for the time t

$$\alpha$$
 is the smoothing constants ($0 \le \alpha \le 1$)

Yt is the previous period actual demand

218 **2.3. Measures of Forecast Error (Forecast Error = (Y_t - F_t))**

The forecast error is the deviation of the forecast values (F_t) from the actual values (Y_t). There are four main errors measured in forecast data. These errors include Bias, Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD), Mean percentage deviation error (MAPE) and the mean square error (MSE) [14, 15, 16]. In time series analysis Bias, MAD, and MAPE are the usual errors employed to assess the amount of errors related to a forecast. Bias is similar to the arithmetic mean, that is, the sum of the forecast errors divide by the number of period, *T* and it is given by

$$Bias = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} (Forecast \, error)}{T} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} (Y_t - F_t)}{T}$$
(12)

(11)

(14)

Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD) is the sum of the absolute forecast error divide by the number of
 period, *T*. Mathematically,
 231

$$MAD = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} |Forecast \, error|}{T}$$

$$- \sum_{t=1}^{T} |Y_t - F_t|$$
(13)

Т

Mean square deviation is more sensitive measure of usually large forecast error than Mean Absolute Deviation [14, 15, 16]. Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) [17] is the division of each percentages of the absolute forecast error by their actual values, then all summed and divide by the number of period, *T*. Hence.

241

 $MAPE = 100 \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{|Y_t - F_t|}{Y_t}}{T}$

242 Mean Square Error (MSE) is similar to simple sample variance [14, 15, 17]. Standard Error is the 243 standard deviation of the sampling distribution (the square root of the MSE) given as 244

$$MSE = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} (Forecast \, error)^{2}}{T}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} (Y_{t} - F_{t})^{2}}{T}$$
(15)

247 248 249

251

245 246

250 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis that follows is focused on the pattern of rainfall in the western region of Ghana. The analysis includes fitting an ARMA model for the observed rainfall data. This article considered a model based on information and real data obtained from the Ghana Meteorological Station, Sekondi. The sample include January to September pattern of the amount of rainfall, for the years 2006 to 2016, that is nominal daily rainfall recorded (1485) aggregated into monthly rainfall value (99 data point). Time Series Analysis and the statistical computing package R were used for the modeling.

259 **3.1. Rainfall Distribution**

260

261 The time plot of a given series gives a fair idea of the stationarity of the series which is considered as 262 a form of statistical stability. A series with trend or seasonal pattern are considered as non-stationary. 263 That is the mean of the given series change with time. The time plot of the series in Figure 1 shows 264 that the series exhibit a random fluctuation showing a periodic or seasonal variation with maximum value of 408.30 in June. 2011 and minimum value of 1.20 in January. 2009. We also observe that the 265 266 mean of the amount of rainfall changes over time, which suggest the series is non-stationary. The histogram with normal curve and normal Q-Q plot indicates that the empirical distribution of the series 267 268 is not normally distributed and skewed to the right. By performing the unit root test on the series, we 269 found that the Augmented Dickey- Fuller (ADF) root test statistic (-1.9453) is higher than the critical 270 value (-2.86431), at a 5% significance level indicating that we fail to reject the null hypothesis that 271 there is a unit root in the series which is supported by a p-value of 0.234





273 274



Figure 1: Time plot, Distribution and Normal Q-Q plot for Monthly Rainfall Series 276

277 For us to eliminate the unit root, we found the first difference in the rainfall pattern and conducted the 278 test again. The results of the test show an ADF test statistic for the first difference (-8.2038), with a p-279 value of 0.01 and critical value (-2.86431) which make us reject the null hypothesis of unit root in the 280 series. Hence, we conclude that the rate return series is stationary.



281 282

Figure 2.: First Differenced of Monthly Rainfall Series 284

Figure 2 shows the first difference of amount of rainfall and its distribution. The series appear to be stationary around the mean (top), the histogram look symmetric with heavy tail to the right and the normal Q-Q plot indicates a normal series with few outliers.

Theoretical Quantiles

288

289 3.2. Determining Order of Dependency of 1st Differenced Series

The autocorrelation and partial autocorrelation functions (ACF/PACF) for the first differenced in the
 amount of rainfall are illustrated in figure 3.

From figure 3, we could observe that both the Autocorrelation and Partial autocorrelation functions
showed dependency in the differenced rainfall series. As a result, a correlation structure in conditional
mean is required.



302 It can also be observed that the ACF show a significant number of lags of an MA at lag1 and PACF 303 also show a significant number of lags of an AR at lag 1. This indicates that the model for the 304 conditional mean is ARMA (1, 1). This is confirmed by the selection of model using the Alkaike 305 Information Criterion shown in Table 1

307 Table 1: Model Selection by Alkaike Information Criterion

308		
	ARMA (p, q)	AIC
	ARMA (1, 0)	364.91
	ARMA (0, 1)	362.21
	ARMA (1, 1)	339.33
	ARMA (1, 2)	343.17
	ARMA (2, 1)	342.97
	ARMA (0, 2)	342.51
	ARMA (2, 0)	365.42
	ARMA (2, 2)	382.16

Using the Alkaike Information Criterion, we choose the model with the smallest value of AIC. From
Table 1, the suitable model for the conditional mean is ARMA (1, 1) with an AIC value of 339.33. The
parameter estimates are shown in Table 2

319 Table 2: ARMA (1, 1) Model's Parameter Estimates and Standard Errors

Variable	Coefficient	Standard Error	T-Statistics	Probability
Constant	-0.004271	0.006400	-0.667	0.505
AR (1)	0.415772	0.096789	4.296	1.74e-05
MA (1)	-0.996001	0.032122	-0.667	2e-16

3.3. Conditional Mean Model the Differenced Rainfall Series

 σ^2 = 1.757, conditional sum of squares = 170.2, AIC = 339.33

The ARMA (p,q) model states that the current value of some series r_t depends linearly on its own previous values and a combination of current and previous values of a white noise error term ε_t . In the general form, the model can be written in the form:

$$y_{t} = \alpha_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_{i} y_{t-i} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \beta_{j} \varepsilon_{t-j} + \varepsilon_{t}$$

$$E(\varepsilon_t) = 0, \quad E(\varepsilon_t^2) = \sigma^2, \quad E(\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_s) = 0, \quad t \neq s$$

Our model for the conditional mean of the differenced rainfall series is ARMA (1, 1) given by $m_{1} = 0.004271 \pm 0.415772$ where 0.006001 cm \pm c

$$y_t = -0.004271 + 0.413772y_{t-1} - 0.9900018_{t-1} + 8_t$$
 (see Figure 4).



338 Figure 4: Model Diagnosis of ARMA (1, 1)

$\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{N} \\ \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}$

Standardized Residuals

339 340

341 Figure 5: Time plot and ACF of Standardized Residuals

342

The time plot of the standardized residuals shows no obvious patterns (does not follow any specific component). The ACF of the standardized residuals and squared standardized residuals show no apparent departure from the model assumptions as shown in Figure 5

10

15

5

From Figure 6 below the histogram appears to be symmetric and generalized normal q-q plot of the standardized residuals show no departure from model assumptions (i.e. the assumed conditional mean distribution captured the high kurtosis and the heavy tails of the residuals).



Standardized Residuals Distribution

350 351 352

353

359

Figure 6: Histogram and Normal Q-Q Plot of Standardized Residuals

This suggests the residuals are independent generalized error distribution hence the model seem to be adequate for the data. Consequently, the ARMA (1, 1) is adequate for describing the conditional mean of the differenced rainfall series at 5% significance level.

358 Table 3: Summary Statistics of Standardized Residuals

Statistic	Value	Statistics	Value	
Mean	0.001041	SE mean	0.101015	
Median	-0.303789	Variance	1.010203	
Minimum	-1.530580	Std. dev.	1.005089	
Maximum	3.093621	Kurtosis	0.586320	
LC L mean	-0.199420	Skew	1.089648	
UVL mean	0.201502	Sum	0.103062	
Nobs	99.00000	NAS	0.000000	

³⁶⁰

The descriptive statistics of standardized residuals in Table 3 shows a standard deviation (1.005) with a general mean (0.001). The empirical distribution of residuals indicates normal kurtosis (0.586) and skewness (1.090). This indicates non-normality of standardized residuals and positively skewed with a lighter tail to the right.

365

366 **3.4. Model Validation** 367

A model validation test conducted produces a Ljung Box test statistic of 47.207 with a normalized BIC of 6.420 and a Root Mean Square Error of 24.16 supported by a probability value of 0.001. Hence, we fail to reject the null hypothesis that the model is appropriate and suitable for predicting future rainfall figures. An R^2 = 0.532 indicates that about 53% of the variations seen in the pattern of rainfall recorded for the period is being explained by the fitted model i.e. ARMA (1, 1).

373

The fitted model was again used to predict mean actual rainfall for the next two years. That is data up to 2015 was used to predict the mean actual rainfall for 2016 and from 2016 for 2017 mean rainfall respectively. It can be observed from the table 4 that the mean rainfall forecasted are very close to the mean rainfall for the forecasted period suggesting that the fitted model is appropriated for the data.

379

380 Table 4: Mean Forecast of Actual Rainfall for 2016/2017

381

Year (2016)	Actual Rainfall	Forecasted Rainfall	Year (2017)	Actual Rainfall	Forecasted Rainfall
Jan.	86.2	1.89	Jan.	-	92.21
Feb.	19.9	18.9	Feb.	-	33.90
Mar.	69.8	70.1	Mar.	-	76.09
Apr.	131.4	129.4	Apr.	-	67.23
May.	156.7	158.3	May.	-	401.20
Jun.	283.6	290.6	Jun.	-	312.76
Jul.	205.4	200.4	Jul.	-	138.43
Aug.	10.0	9.8	Aug.		98.98
Sep.	130.7	128.9	Sep.		101.90

382

383 384

3.5. Prediction of Next 18 Observations Of Mean Rainfall Returns

The fitted model was again employed to predict the mean 1st differenced rainfall for the next two
years. That is data from January, 2006 to December, 2016 was used to forecast 2017/2018 mean
rainfall values. The time plot for the forecasted mean returns is shown in figure 7.

389

The up and down movement in black is the actual mean rainfall from January 2006 to December 2016 and the green and blue curve shown is the lower and upper bound of the 95% confidence interval constructed for the forecasted period. Within the confidence bound is the horizontal broken line which show the predicted mean rainfall values for the forecasted period. We can observe that the predicted mean rainfall values for the forecasted period lies within the confidence interval, indicating that the model fitted is adequate suitable for the observed rainfall series (see Figure 5).

Prediction with confidence intervals





397

398

Figure 7: Time Plot of 1st Difference Forecasted Rainfall



Table 5: Forecast of 1st Difference in Rainfall for 2017/2018 with Confidence Interval

Mean Forecast	Mean Error	Standard Deviation	Lower Interval	Upper Interval
7.804897600	101.0965	101.0965	-190.3406	205.9504
5.447387320	122.6698	101.0976	- 234.9809	245.8757
3.801975392	131.9076	101.0985	-254.7321	262.3360
2.653568772	136.1814	101.0994	-264.2571	269.5642
1.852044399	138.2161	101.1003	-269.0465	272.7506
1.292624669	139.1970	101.1011	-271.5285	274.1138
0.902180604	139.6729	101.1018	-272.8517	274.6561
0.629672218	139.9046	101.1026	-273.5784	274.8377
0.439476420	140.0179	101.1032	-273.9905	274.8695
0.306730261	140.0734	101.1039	-274.2321	274.8456
0.214080776	140.1009	101.1044	-274.3787	274.8068
0.149416554	140.1147	101.1050	-274.4703	274.7691
0.104284500	140.1218	101.1055	-274.5293	274.7379
0.072784819	140.1256	101.1060	-274.5683	274.7138
0.050799783	140.1277	101.1065	-274.5945	274.6961
0.035455442	140.1291	101.1069	-274.6125	274.6834
0.024745939	140.1300	101.1077	-274.6251	274.6746
0.017271299	140.1308	101.1077	-274.6340	274.6686

⁴⁰¹

Table 5 shows the mean forecasted values of 1st differenced rainfall values for 2017 to 2018. The values obtained indicates that higher rainfall is expected for the period forecasted.

405 4. CONCLUSION

406

404

407 [The series was found to be non-stationary which resulted from the presence of a unit root in it. The 408 series became stationary after eliminating the unit root by finding the first difference in the amount of 409 rainfall, hence the probability law that governs the behavior of the process does not change over time. The distribution of the 1st differenced series look symmetric with non-constant variance skewed to the right.

412

Both the ACF and PACF showed dependency in the 1st differenced series at lag 1, ARMA (1, 1),
which has all the parameters to be significant. Thus, the fitted data was found to be the most suitable
model for the conditional mean. The model explains the stochastic mechanism of the observed series
in ARMA (1, 1). The time series component found in the model were trend and random variation.

A Ljung Box test statistic of 47.207 with a normalized BIC of 6.420 and a Root Mean Square Error of 24.16 supported by a probability value of 0.001 show that the fitted model is appropriate for the data.

420 An $R^2 = 0.532$ indicates that about 53% of the variations seen in the pattern of rainfall recorded for 421 the period is being explained by the fitted model. An 18-month forecast for the mean actual rainfall 422 and mean 1st difference rainfall values made showed that the fitted model is appropriate for the data 423 and an increasing trend of rainfall for forecasted period.

424 425

427

429 430

432

426 CONSENT (WHERE EVER APPLICABLE)

428 Not applicable

431 ETHICAL APPROVAL (WHERE EVER APPLICABLE)

433 Not applicable

434 435 **REFERENCES**

436 437

441

442

443

444 445

446

447

448

453

454

455

464

- 438 [1] Owusu K. Changing Rainfall Climatology of West Africa: Implications for Rainfed Agriculture in
 439 Ghana and Water Sharing in the Volta Basin. 2009 Available:
 440
 - [2] Boyetey DB, Darkwah KF, Osei-Frimpong E, Acheampomg E and Agyemang, E. Least square determination of spectral time series with trend: Application to rainfall patterns in Ghana. *International Scientific Research Journal*. 2012;1(3):50-61.
 - [3] Ukhurebor KE, Abiodun IC. Variation in Annual Rainfall Data of Forty Years (1978-2017) for South-South, Nigeria. Journal of Applied Sciences & Environmental Management, 2018; 22(4):511-518.
- [4] Abdul-Aziz AR, Anokye M, Kwame A, Munyakazi L and Nsowah-Nuamah NNN. Modeling and Forecasting Rainfall Pattern in Ghana as a Seasonal Arima Process: The Case of Ashanti Region. International Journal for Humanities and Social sciences. 2013; 3(3).
 - [5] Boochabun K, Tych W, Chappell NA, Carling PA, Lorsirirat K and Pa-Obsaeng S. Statistical Modeling of Rainfall and Riverflow in Thailand. 2004. Accessed on March 7, 2013.
- 456 [6] Adiku SGK & Stone RC. Using the Southern Oscillation index for improving rainfall prediction
 457 Agricultural water management in Ghana. *Agricultural Water Management*. 1995. 29:85–100.
 458
- [7] Bunting AH, Dennett MD, Elston J and Milford JR. Rainfall trends in the West African Sahel.
 Q.J.R. Meteorl. Soc. 1976; 102:59-64.
- [8] Rodhe H, & Virji H. Trend and periodicities in East African rainfall data. *Mon. Wea Rev.* 1976;104:
 307-315.
- [9] Tyson PD, Dyer RG and Mametse MN. Sector changes in South African rainfall.1880-972. Q.J.R.
 Met. Soc. 1975; 101:817-832
- 468 [10] Ogallo L. Rainfall variability in Africa. Mon. Wea. Rev. 1979; 107:1133-1139.

469	
470 471	[11] Nicholson SE and Entekhabi D. The quasi-periodic behavior of rainfall variability in Africa and its relationship to the Southern Oscillation. <i>ArchMeteor Geophys Bioclim Ser.</i> 1986; 34:31-348.
472	
473	[12] Mawunya FD. ENSO-Based Rainfall Prediction and Crop Production in Southern Ghana: Interim
474	Report. International Start Secretariat. 2007. Accessed on 7/3/2016.
475	
476	[13] Roncoli C, Ingram P, Kirshen & Jost C. Burkina Faso: Integrating indigenous and scientific rainfall
4//	forecasting IK (Indigenous Knowledge). 2001;39.
478	[14] Del urgio SA Forecasting Principles and Applications 1st of Invin/McCrow Hill Poston LISA:
479	[14] DeLuigio SA. Torecasung Frincipies and Applications. Tst ed. ItwiningGraw-till, Doston, OSA, 1008
481	1330.
482	[15] Keller G and Warrack B. Statistics for Management and Economics. 6th ed. Madrid Spain:
483	Thompson, Brooks/Cole: 2003.
484	
485	
486	[16] Noh J, Engle R and Kane A. Forecasting Volatility and Option Prices of the S& P 500 Index.
487	Journal of Derivatives. 1994; 2:17-30.
488	
489	[17] Mortey KK. A Times Series Analysis into the Rainfall Patterns in four selected regions of Ghana.
490	2011.
491	
492	
493	
494	
495	
490	Likhurehor KE, Abiodup IC, Variation in Annual Painfall Data of Forty Vears (1078-2017) for South
497	Okinieboli NE, Abioduli IC. Valiation in Alindar Nalinal Data of Folty Feats (1970-2017) for South-