



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_42676
Title of the Manuscript:	Generalized Euclidean Least Square Approximation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments Revising of the Abstract Concluding remarks revision	The Author made a comparative study between Maclaurin and Euclidean Least square but didn't discuss the results between the two models. The maximum and minimum residuals were not known. Moreover, the contribution and significance of this study was not known. The Author made a dicey statement in his last sentence and need to be revised for clearer understanding.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Revising the introduction	The Author talked about several existing mathematical models but did not reveal the weakness of the aforementioned models. Also, there is a reason why the Author propose ELS and the why answer was not captured.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments Results revision	The author must label the graph with the appropriate units and discuss the two models very well for readers to know the weakness and efficiency of the two models.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Michael Stanley Peprah
Department, University & Country	Covenant Institute of Professional Studies, Ghana