



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_41267
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARISING OF GOODNESS OF FIT TESTS FOR NORMAL DISTRIBUTION.
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. The manuscript should be rearranged with respect to the journal style. 2. Proofreading is necessary. 3. Line 50, there is a big gap. 4. Line 90, there should be a gap. 5. Line 92, 111, 117 "Where" should be written as "where". 6. References should be rearranged with respect to the journal style.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bilge Peker
Department, University & Country	Department of Mathematics Education, Necmettin Erbakan University, Turkey