



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_41240
Title of the Manuscript:	THE COST FOR SINGLE CHANNLE WAITING LINE MODELS
Type of the Article	Method Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title is not precise 2. Key words are not alphabetically 3. In introduction part, the references are not shown systematically, eg. Mehri <i>et al</i> It should be Mehri <i>et al</i>. Give dot at al. 4. In lineThese models are used to reduce waiting time of customer (calls)..... here bracket is used for ... calls. Write, i.e. calls. Remove bracket. It should be corrected. 5. Use correct grammar. 6. Each section should have uniformity. 7. Write figure number below or above the figure with proper heading. Here it should be written as 3.1 8. Equation numbers should be given in the form, for eg. 3.1, 3.2, 3.3.....etc. According to section number. 9. '4- Simulation Study' part should be rewrite. It has not sequence. 10. Table numbers should be written systematically, for eg. . 3.1, 3.2, 3.3.....etc. According to section number. 11. Headings of titles are not precise. It should be corrected. 12. '5- Conclusion' ... this part should be rewritten. It is incomplete. 13. References are not given alphabetically and proper form. It should be corrected. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Pay attention towards English grammar.	
Optional/General comments	Paper should be reconstructed.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramesh M. Mirajkar
Department, University & Country	Statistics Department, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar College, India