



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_41240
Title of the Manuscript:	THE COST FOR SINGLE CHANNLE WAITING LINE MODELS
Type of the Article	Method Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The proposed queueing model is interesting but probably only for the very limited number of specialists. I have no remarks to the description of the model. The main problem of this paper and, generally say, of many papers of the last decade dedicated to the study of queueing systems that most of them use the well-known methods and approaches. The simulation technique used in the paper is well known and to tell the truth I have found nothing new, no modifications, heuristics, approximations or new insights. Sometimes it is possible to argue the model by means of the real application and real data sets. But also these elements are missing here. Only some hypothetic model which is analyzed in a standard way. This part can be rewritten so that it is more concise. Good feature is that the matrices involved were very precise and carefully derived; it is a hard work as I know.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamed Boualem
Department, University & Country	Departement of Technology, University of Bejaia, Algeria