



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_41012
Title of the Manuscript:	Mathematical Programming for Statistical Inference
Type of the Article	Method Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The paper presents interesting examples of the application of mathematical programming to hypotheses testing. The contents may be useful for people who use statistical hypotheses testing for practical purposes. However, the article is not written properly. The presentation is sloppy, to say the least. Almost each sentence contains grammar mistakes to say nothing about punctuation. Here are some examples, only to mention a few. 1) "Two model" must be changed to "two models". 2) "level of significant" has to be replaced by the "level of significance" 3) "confident in rejecting the null" has to be "... the null hypothesis". 4) "K which maxims" has to be "K which maximizes" 5) "The study interested to calculate" has to be "the study aims to calculate" This list can be easily extended, but I do not think that such a proof reading is a referee's duty. Apart from that, the Introduction part has to be more informative. Currently, it consists of a few well-known facts from the undergraduate statistics course. This has to be shortened and some relevant overview of the subject has to be presented. In my opini0on, the work needs serious revision.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sofiya Ostrovska
Department, University & Country	Department of Mathematics, Atilim University, Turkey