



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_44107
Title of the Manuscript:	Computational Generalization of Mixed Models on Large-Scale Data with Applications to Genetic Studies
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	THE TITLE OF MANUSCRIPT is appropriate. The manuscript is also appropriate as an Original Research Article. The article has been well researched with adequate and relevant details ABSTRACT: The author should revise the abstract according to the structure and format stipulated in the guidelines of the Journal stating the aim of the study, sample, study design etc. INTRODUCTION : Introduction is adequate. MATERIALS AND METHODS: This has been well- written. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION : The author (s) have outlined or laid out the results but failed to do a thorough work on the discussion aspect of the paper. The author should endeavour to revise the discussion as much as possible citing relevant references from introduction and other sources. CONCLUSION This chapter has fairly written. REFERENCES The literature list should be prepared in line to Journal Editorial Requirements	ABSTRACT The abstract has been written as per the reviewer's comments RESULTS AND DISCUSSION The results has been discussed as per the reviewer's comments REFERENCES Not done conclusively as per the journal Editorial requirements
Minor REVISION comments	The discussion should be revised.	Discussion has been rewritten
Optional/General comments	If all the corrections made on the manuscript are effected it should be accepted for publication as original research article.	All minor corrections of spellings has been corrected

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues because the data used is secondary data



SDI Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>