



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_43741
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of the Bootstrap and Delta Method Variances of the Variance Estimator of the Bernoulli Distribution
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In the introduction, the authors could present the main results of the paper.</li> <li>- The authors have to discuss their findings, comparing them to other papers that confronted the same wrong figures of Casella &amp; Berger (2002).</li> </ul>	Thank you very much for your suggestions. We have presented the main results of the paper in the introduction. There are no papers that confronted the same wrong figures of Casella & Berger (2002) to our knowledge. Thanks.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		