



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJPAS_43741 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Comparison of the Bootstrap and Delta Method Variances of the Variance Estimator of the Bernoulli Distribution |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>1. Title is précised.</p> <p>2. Abstract is OK.</p> <p>3. Key words should be written alphabetically. It should be 4-5.</p> <p>4. In introduction part is OK.</p> <p>5. The table, figure and equation nos. Should be written according to section, such as 1.1,1.2,1.3,....2.1,2.2,.... etc.</p> <p>6. Conclusion part is ok.</p> <p>7. References part is ok.</p> <p>8. Appendix is OK.</p> <p>9. A real life dataset should be used for application purpose.</p> | <p>1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8: Thank you very much for your appreciation of our article.</p> <p>3: Key words have been written alphabetically.</p> <p>5: The table, figure, and equation numbers have been written according to section. Thanks.</p> <p>9: Section 3 provides three examples of the Bernoulli trials to illustrate the three methods.</p> <p>Thank you very much for your suggestions.</p> |
| Minor REVISION comments | Nil | |
| Optional/General comments | Nil | |