



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_43741
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of the Bootstrap and Delta Method Variances of the Variance Estimator of the Bernoulli Distribution
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title is précised. 2. Abstract is OK. 3. Key words should be written alphabetically. It should be 4-5. 4. In introduction part is OK. 5. The table, figure and equation nos. Should be written according to section, such as 1.1,1.2,1.3,....2.1,2.2,.... etc. 6. Conclusion part is ok. 7. References part is ok. 8. Appendix is OK. 9. A real life dataset should be used for application purpose. 	<p>1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8: Thank you very much for your appreciation of our article.</p> <p>3: Key words have been written alphabetically.</p> <p>5: The table, figure, and equation numbers have been written according to section. Thanks.</p> <p>9: Section 3 provides three examples of the Bernoulli trials to illustrate the three methods.</p> <p>Thank you very much for your suggestions.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Nil	
Optional/General comments	Nil	