



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_42696
Title of the Manuscript:	GROUP DIVISIBLE VARIANCE – SUM THIRD ORDER ROTATABLE DESIGN THROUGH BALANCED INCOMPLETE BLOCK DESIGNS IN FOUR DIMENSIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	- Section 3.1.1 and 3.1.2 is the author's main contribution, but in the article, the calculation process should be described in more detail. - Group-divisible variance sum third order rotatable designs through BIBD and the test example should be discussed separately. - Practical application of the present study should be mentioned at the end.	- All the calculations process was done through the help of MATLAB software as per each particular group independently. - The test example in this case is a four dimensional factor space GDVSTORD. - Practical application initially omitted has been added.
Minor REVISION comments	- In the conclusion part, mentioned that the less number of design of points when compared with others, but should be provide the points for each case you mentioned in your paper. - A case study may be very helpful.	The respective clarification as per the number of point's comparison has been made as per the case of a four dimensional factor space rotatable designs.
Optional/General comments	I much enjoy reading the write up and think that the paper is good work.	I am quite pleased with the positive criticism which has added value to this paper making it a success.