



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_42696
Title of the Manuscript:	GROUP DIVISIBLE VARIANCE – SUM THIRD ORDER ROTATABLE DESIGN THROUGH BALANCED INCOMPLETE BLOCK DESIGNS IN FOUR DIMENSIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In the 94th line, y should be a scalar, not a vector. In line 105, β must be a vector, not a constant. V is introduced in the 114th line. It was not given before. How the results of the numerical matrix in the 159th row are calculated. It's not clear enough. The same interpretations apply to the 160th, 16th, 182nd, 183rd and 184th lines. As a result, the results and discussions section must be rewritten explicitly. Because the numerical results obtained are not clearly understood	In the line 94 th y is really a scalar and not a vector as before. In line 105, β is a vector and has been corrected accordingly. All the results of the numerical matrices have been generated with the help of MATLAB software as per each group independently which was initially not mentioned in the methodology.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The conclusions and discussions will be rewritten. Also negative impressions in the test should be carefully watched.	Necessary additions in the conclusion part have been made.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The work will be more successful if the necessary corrections are made. Researchers are advised to make necessary corrections.	Am quite pleased with your positive criticism which have added value to this paper making it a success.