



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_42519
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficiency of Bayesian approaches in quantile regression with small sample size
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Though the concept elaboration is good, paper lacks the readability with too much of mathematical contents. 2. To obviate comment 1, authors are suggested to elaborate simulations made for evaluation of the concept.	Thank you for comments. In general all the mathematical equation is improved and the parameters are defined. The simulation already exist and the results are discussed.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		