



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_42210
Title of the Manuscript:	REVIEW OF ANTIBIOTICS USAGE IN THE MANAGEMENT OPEN FRACTURE IN A NIGERIAN HOSPITAL
Type of the Article	An Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sd-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1-The introduction section is very long, like a review. It is need to be shorten. 2-The authors have to give the Local Ethical Committee Number of the study, if it is prospective. 3-At the end of the materials and method section, the statistical method have to be given briefly. 4-The materials and method section can be structured, as emergency department applications and laboratory applications. 5-At the results section, some of the figures and tables not cited at the text (figure 3 and 4, table 1 and 2). 6-At the line 173, the reference 1 have to be cited. 7-The discussion section have to be re-written. You have to discuss your protocol with the literature. Your differences have to be given according to the others.	Noted
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		