



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_45387
Title of the Manuscript:	Psychological Adjustment among Persons with Spinal Cord Injury in the Tamale Metropolis, Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	A very good qualitative piece of work. Highly appreciate the method and trustworthiness sections. The major weakness is linkage with the theoretical field only for which I have included a suggestion at the end of the review In abstract what do you mean by well-endowed countries? Better replace well-endowed with developed or only developed countries. Exclude Iran too as USA and Iran are at two different levels of economic development The author should include theoretical contribution , for example, to which field area of study. At the moment only contextual contribution has been mentioned in the abstract and introduction too. Please add a sentence or so about that.	Well-endowed countries is replaced with developed countries. Iran deleted from the list of developed countries Theoretical contribution of the study has been added at the end of the background
Minor REVISION comments	Why Tamle Metroplois? Selected for the study. Due to the high percentage of cases etc? Please mention briefly the causes of spinal cord injury as in Africa and specifically in that region. Also, mention why SCI has been taken as a major disease? Please make a list of questions or add at the end of the paper as an appendix Some of the questions that were asked during the interviews were: "could you please share with me your experiences with SCI"; "could you please explain the challenges you have been facing since after you injury; "could you please share with me how you have been able to adapt to the challenges that come with the injury?" "What has kept you going in spite of all that you have been through?"	All these comments were addressed; the reasons for the selection of the Tamale metropolis is captured under research setting, epidemiology SCI in Africa and the research setting as well as causes of SCI in Ghana was added to the background The list of question can be found under data collection
Optional/General comments	Transferability- replace with the small t.	Transferability with T was replaced with t



PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u> Have names being disguised as we cannot use original names in the published paper. Please mention clearly that how confidentiality of data and names were ensured. Include in Ethical section	Yes! The names are pseudonyms and not participants' real names. The issue of confidentiality and anonymity is added to ethics section