



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_45387
Title of the Manuscript:	Psychological Adjustment among Persons with Spinal Cord Injury in the Tamale Metropolis, Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Place reference within the text in square brackets or as superscripts. Refer to author's guidelines 2. State reference numbers in increasing order if 2 or more reference numbers follow a statement. E.g. 3, 7, 14, 31 3. Review the references; stated Reference Number 41 (There Is No Reference numbered 41 In Your Reference List	1. All the references within the text have been placed in square brackets 2. References have been restated in increasing order 3. The reference list has been updated to include all the citations in the text
Minor REVISION comments	1. Limitations can be place under a sub-heading " Limitations of the study" and the Conclusion made more concise 2. Edit the text to correct minor grammatical errors. E.g. - line 10: was to evaluate or determine the psychological - line 18:.....SCI in employ...(clarify this statement) - line 19: religious beliefs - line 94: since after (delete one)..... - line 98: locations based - line 114: read and re-read or read repeatedly to fully understand the responses and to be familiar - line 215: everybody and the time and I know? (Reconstruct this sentence) - line 240: to be able to do that.. - line 241: even in a wheel chair.... - line 250: I got to realise or realised.....	1. Limitations were placed under the heading " Limitations of the study" 2. -line 10..... was corrected as suggested, -line 18 corrected -line 94...."since" was deleted -98...base was changed to based -line 114..... "read repeatedly" was inserted -line 215..... we had a difficulty in reconstructing "everybody and the time and I know" because, it is a verbatim quote from a participant. As reviewers are aware, with qualitative studies, the researchers back their findings with verbatim quotes from the participants. So, we tried as much not to change the meaning of the data by presenting the responses as given by the participants. However, we tried to reconstruct the sentence to suite reviewer's requirement without changing the meaning of the sentence. Hence, the statement was restructured as.....", <i>there I realized that everybody and the destiny, and I know that, my time for recovery would come</i>". - Line 240....change effected - Line 241.....change effected - Line 2050.....change effected
Optional/General comments	Quite interesting	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	