



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJORRIN_42254</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EFFECTS OF ORNITHINE LEVELS INCREASING IN THE SERUM ON THE PATHOGENESIS OF ALZHEIMER AND PARKINSON'S DISEASES</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>The title of the manuscript should be changed to reflect the contents of the manuscript</b>	The title of the article, the recommendation of the new title in accordance with the referee is as follows. "The importance of increased serum ornithine levels In the pathogenesis of Alzheimer and Parkinson's disease"
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The introduction and discussion should be shorten	The referee did not express clearly how he wanted a change. No suggestions were made for the changes requested. Neither is wrong or it is not. He tells everything to change in a very general way. This approach is not a minor but a major change. So, it is not possible
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript must be revised and modified in a correct form as the discussion is general and the conclusion is not clear	