# FIRST-PRINCIPLES THEORETICAL STUDY ON ELECTRONIC BAND STRUCTURE OF DIAMOND AND GRAPHITE

## ABSTRACT

1 2

3

4

5 6 7

8

The total ground state energy and electronic band structure of Graphite and Diamond were calculated in this work using FHI-aims (Fritz Haber Institute ab initio molecular simulations) Density Functional Theory (DFT) code. The density functionals used are the generalised gradient functional PBE, and PBE+vdW approach as defined by Tkatchenko and Scheffler. The results obtained from the computations of the ground state energies of diamond and graphite were -2056.898408114 eV and - 2061.703700984 eV respectively. Similarly, the results obtained from the calculations of the electronic band gaps of graphite and diamond were 0.0 eV and 5.56369215 eV, respectively. These are in good agreement when compared to the experimental values of 0eV and 5.48eV. These band gaps are within reasonable percentage errors of 0.0% and 1.46% respectively. This shows that DFT has overestimated the band gap of diamond by 0.08 eV in our computations.

9

11

10 Keywords: DFT, Diamond, Electronic Band Structure, DOS, and Graphite.

### 12 **1. INTRODUCTION**

13 For many years the band structure emerging from density functional theory was the

- 14 only electronic structure free of experimental parameters that could be calculated to interpret carrier
- 15 levels, doping, chemical bonding, etc. As such, it still has enormous reach in the community as a
- 16 Baseline against which better (formally more rigorous) approximations are compared [1]. The
- 17 electronic band structures of graphite and diamond are particularly critical because of their unique
- 18 semiconducting applications and fascinating properties. Researchers have studied the band structure
- 19 of pure hexagonal graphite using nonlocal ionic pseudopotential [2], compared the band structure and
- 20 DOS of the three graphite structures using an *ab* initio norm-conserving pseudopotential [3].
- 21 On the other hand, an empirical pseudopotential method was used [4] to calculate the band structure
- of diamond. Louis et al., 1970, also computed the band structure of diamond using nonlocal
- 23 pseudopotential method. Similarly, the band gap of diamond was calculated by [6] using both LDA

and GGA functionals. The methods mentioned above involved an empirical and pseudopotential

25 computations, an all-electron/full Potential with the numeric atom-centred basis function computational

26 method is therefore necessary to study the band structure of these new Carbon allotropes. In this

27 work, the electronic band structure of diamond and graphite were simulated using FHI-aims DFT

package [7]. This paper aims to re-investigate the ground state electronic properties as well as predict
 the suitable potential electronic applications of diamond and graphite.

30

#### 31 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

First-principles calculations continue to be a successful method of electronic structure calculations. Starting with the fundamental constants and Schrödinger's equation as a postulate, these methods proceed to describe the nature of atomistic systems to the degree that is almost irrefutable. The methods applied in solving Schrödinger's equation break into two main types: Hartree-Fock (HF) based methods and Density Functional Theory (DFT) methods. While both make approximations to make calculations possible, they represent the best available methods for atomistic modelling.

38 The original idea of DFT (i.e. using the electron density) is dated back to the individual work of [8 and 39 9]. In Thomas-Fermi model, they showed that the distribution of electrons in an atom is uniform and 40 can be approximated using statistical considerations. In 1964, [10] proved two essential but 41 straightforward theorems, which later become the basis of DFT. In 1965, in trade of simplicity for 42 accuracy, [11] invented an ingenious indirect approach to the theory in such a way that the kinetic 43 energy can be computed merely to reasonable accuracy, leaving a small residual correction that is 44 handled separately. They showed that one could build a theory using simpler formulas also referred to 45 as Kohn-Sham (KS) kinetic energy functional and ground state electron density, namely;

46 
$$T_{s}[n] = \langle \psi_{i} | -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{i}^{2} | \psi_{i} \rangle \qquad (1.1)$$

47 and

48 
$$n(\overrightarrow{r}) = \sum_{i}^{N} \sum_{S} |\psi_{i}(\overrightarrow{rS})|^{2}$$
(1.2)

Where  $\psi_i$  are the original spin orbitals? To give a unique value to the KS kinetic energy functional  $T_s[n]$  through Eq. (1.1), KS invoked a corresponding non-interacting reference system, with the Hamiltonian:

52 
$$\hat{H}_{s} = \sum_{i}^{N} (-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{i}^{2}) + \sum_{i}^{N} V_{s}(\vec{r})$$
 (1.3)

53 In which there are no electron-electron repulsion terms, and for which the ground state electron 54 density is prec  $n(\vec{r})$  ise. KS thus established that for any real (interacting) system with ground state 55 density  $n(\vec{r})$ , there always exists a non-interacting system with the same ground state density 56  $n(\vec{r})$  For this system there will be an exact determinantal ground state wave function;

57 
$$\Psi_{S} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} det \begin{bmatrix} \Psi, \Psi, \dots, \Psi \end{bmatrix}_{N}$$
(1.4)

58 where  $\psi_i$  are the *N* lowest eigenstates of the one-electron Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}_s$ 

59 
$$\stackrel{\wedge}{H_s} \boldsymbol{\psi}_i = [(-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_i^2) + V_s(\vec{r})] \boldsymbol{\psi}_i = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}_i \boldsymbol{\psi}_i$$
(1.5)

Now, to produce  $T_{s}$  [*n*] precisely as the kinetic energy component [12] of T [*n*] in HK theorem, KS reformulate the universal functional as;

62 
$$F[n] = T[n] + J[n] + E_{xc}[n]$$
(1.6)

63 where;

64 
$$E_{xc}[n] = T[n] - T[n] + V[n] - J[n]$$
(1.7)

The defined quantity  $E_{xc}[n]$  is called the exchange-correlation energy functional. The corresponding Euler equation for Eq. (1.7) is;

67 
$$\mu = V_{eff} \left( \overrightarrow{r} \right) + \frac{\delta T_s[n]}{\delta n \left[ \overrightarrow{r} \right]}$$
(1.8)

68 Where  $V_{e\!f\!f}(\vec{r})$  is the KS effective potential and is defined by;

69 
$$V_{eff}(\vec{r}) = V_{ne}(\vec{r}) + \frac{\delta I[n]}{\delta n[r]} + \frac{\delta E_{xc}[n]}{\delta n[r]}$$
(1.9)

70 
$$V_{eff}(\vec{r}) = V_{ne}(\vec{r}) + \int \frac{n[\vec{r}]}{\vec{r} - \vec{r}} d\vec{r} + V_{xc}(\vec{r})$$
(2.0)

The second term of Eq. (2.0) is the Hartree potential while the XC potential  $V_{xc}(\vec{r})$  is given as

72 
$$V_{xc}^{(\vec{r})} = \frac{\delta E_{xc}[n]}{\delta n[r]}$$
(2.1)

73 Therefore, for a given  $V(\vec{r})$ , one gets the  $n(\vec{r})$  simply by solving the *N* one-electron equations;

74 
$$[(-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{i}^{2}) + V_{eff}(\vec{r})]\psi_{i} = \mathcal{E}_{i}\psi_{i}$$
(2.2)

#### 75 and setting

76 
$$n(\vec{r}) = \sum_{i=S}^{N} |\psi_i(\vec{r}S)|^2$$
(2.3)

The productive potential from Eq. (1.9) Depends on the electron density; therefore the Kohn-Sham equations have to be solved self-consistently. The electronic total energy *E* is typically calculated using the sum over the Kohn-Sham eigenvalues;

$$E = \sum_{i}^{N} \mathcal{E}_{i} - \frac{1}{2} \iint \frac{n\left(\overrightarrow{r}\right)n\left(\overrightarrow{r}\right)}{|\overrightarrow{r}-\overrightarrow{r}|} d\overrightarrow{r} d\overrightarrow{r} + E_{xc}[n] - \int V_{xc}(\overrightarrow{r})n(\overrightarrow{r})d\overrightarrow{r}$$
(2.4)

80

81 The Kohn-Sham scheme is in principle exact. The approximation only enters when we have to decide on an explicit form for the unknown functional for the exchange-correlation energy  $E_{\!\scriptscriptstyle xc}\![n]$  and its 82 corresponding potential  $V_{xc}(\vec{r})$ . The main goal of modern DFT is therefore to find better 83 approximations to these two functionals. A great variety of different approximations  $V_{xc}(\vec{r})$  have been 84 85 developed. For many years the local density approximation (LDA) has been used [13]. In LDA, the 86 exchange-correlation energy density at a point in space is taken to be that of the homogeneous 87 electron gas with the local electron density. Thus the total exchange-correlation energy functional is 88 approximated as,

89 
$$E_{xc}^{LDA} = \int \vec{n(r)} \mathcal{E}_{xc}(\vec{n(r)}) d\vec{r}$$
(2.5)

90 From which the potential is obtained using Eq. 2.1. However, LDA can have significant errors in its 91 approximations for some physical and chemical properties computations. Recently, an effective 92 potential that depend both on the local density and the magnitude of its local gradient are widely used. 93 They are known as generalized gradient approximations (GGA) functionals. The GGA's total 94 exchange-correlation energy functional is approximated as,

95 
$$E_{xc}^{GGA}[n_{\uparrow,}n_{\downarrow}] = \int \mathcal{E}_{xc}(n_{\uparrow,}n_{\downarrow}, \vec{\nabla n} \uparrow \vec{\nabla n} \downarrow) n(\vec{r}) d\vec{r}$$
(2.6)

96 There are many GGA versions among which is the Perdew Burke Ernzerhof (be) functional (1997) 97 used in this study. On the other hand, there are many DFT computational codes among which is the 98 FHI-aims package. FHI-aims is a computer program package for computational materials science 99 based only on quantum-mechanical first principles mathematical model. It uses solution methods of 100 DFT to compute the total energy and derived quantities of molecular or solid condensed matter in its 101 electronic ground state (Blum *et al,* 2009). In addition, FHI-aims allow describing a wave-function based molecular total energy calculation based on Hartree-Fock and many-body perturbation theory(MP2 and MP4).

#### 104 2.1 Computational Details

105 Total ground state energy of graphite and diamond were calculated in the Generalized Gradient 106 Approximation (GGA) and Local Density Approximation (LDA) using the [14] and [15], exchange-107 correlation energy functionals respectively. The calculation was performed by using Brillouin-zone of 108 12×12×12 k-point grids for the SCF convergence. For the interplanar lattice parameter c of graphite, 109 vdW effects correction based on [16] was included into the PBE functional. In order to generate a 110 smooth-looking DOS, we used a denser 8×8×8 k-space grid to integrate the DOS for diamond. The 111 factors by which the original k-space grid from the SCF cycle is increased are now (8, 8, 8). Together 112 with the original k-grid of 12×12×12, this makes for a 96×96×96 integration mesh that is used for the 113 DOS. However, we used a less dense 5×5×5 k-space grid to integrate the DOS for graphite. A 114 Gaussian broadening of 0.05eV was used for both structures DOS computations. We used an experimental lattice constant of a = 3.567 Å and a = 2.461 Å, c = 6.708Å for diamond and graphite 115 116 respectively. Figure 1.1 shows crystalline structures that were used for computational simulations. In 117 (Figure 1.1a), diamond crystalline lattice is presented. For graphite (Figure 1.1b), graphene sheets are 118 shown, however a unit cell of the bulk graphite structure was used for this work.



119 120

- 121
- 122 123

### 124 3. RESULTS

- 125 The following table summarises the output data obtained during FHI-aims computations,
- 126 Table 1.2: Band gaps for Diamond

127



Fig. 1.2 Band Structure and Density of States of the bulk Diamond as generated by the'aimsplot.py.' script.





Fig. 1.3 Band Structure and Density of States of the bulk Graphite as generated by the

159 'aimsplot.py.' script.

#### 160 4. DISCUSSIONS

Fig. 1.2 and 1.3 show the band structures and DOS for diamond and graphite respectively. The zero shows the position of the Fermi level in the band structure of these crystals on the energy scale, and vertical lines indicate that of symmetry points on the band graph in Fig. 1.2 and Fig. 1.3.

In Fig. 1.2, there is an essential characteristic of the band structure, namely the range of energies where there are no electronic states across the entire Brillouin zone; this is the band gap. The Fermi level within the band gap shows that all state below it remains occupied and all state above remain unoccupied. From the plot and shown in Table 1.2, the energy difference between the lowest empty land and the highest occupied country along reciprocal space direction number one

169 is 5.56369215 eV. This value differs from other theoretical and experimental values by 0.12 eV and

170 0.08 eV, respectively [6 and 17]. Since the valence band maximum at Γ-point and the conduction 171 band minimum partially at X - the point is on different symmetry point, it shows that diamond is an 172 indirect band gap semiconductor with a significant energy gap value of 5.56 eV. The similar result of 173 an indirect bandgap semiconductor for AIAs and diamond were also computed using FHI-aims code 174 [18 and 19]. The DOS for the unit cell in Fig 1.2 also shows that the number of the electronic states in 175 the valence bands is more than that of the conduction bands.

176 In Fig. 1.3, there is another important characteristic of the band structure, namely a narrow or zero 177 gap range of energies where there are no electronic states; the Figure shows that graphite does not 178 have a gap because there is an overlap between the valence and conduction bands. This zero-gap 179 value agrees with other theoretical and experimental work [3 and 20]. It is obvious that the Fermi level 180 lies within the conduction band, this shows that the conduction band is partially filled. The bands can 181 be seen to touch at the entire L-K region of the Brillouin zone. The overlapping points on the Fermi 182 level is between π- nonbonding orbitals in different graphite planes. This is simply because, in 183 graphite the strong bonding between the segments connecting nearest-neighbour atoms within the layers (intralayer) is described by sp<sup>2</sup> hybridized 2s,  $2p_x$ , and  $2p_y$  atomic orbitals ( $\sigma$ -states), and the 184 185 weak interlayer bonding is derived from the overlap between  $2p_z$  orbitals ( $\pi$ -states) perpendicular to 186 the graphitic planes. The resulting band structure consists of bonding  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$ -states and anti-bonding 187  $\pi$  \* and  $\sigma$  \* states forming the valence and conduction bands, respectively. The weak interactions 188 between graphitic planes is such that these bands split which leads to a zero-gap semiconductor and 189 create a wide overlap semi-metal [3, 17 and 21]. The splitting of the bands at the Fermi level is in 190 agreement with experiment [2]. As the electronic nature of a structure depends on the density of

- 191 states in the region of the Fermi level, the theoretical overlap three regions of peaks are presented in
- 192 the DOS of Fig. 1.3.

#### 193 5. CONCLUSION

194 The total ground state energy and electronic band structure of Graphite for hcp and Diamond crystal 195 were calculated using the LDA in the parameterization by Perdew and Zunger 1981, the generalized 196 gradient functional PBE, and PBE+vdW approach as defined by Tkatchenko and Scheffler. The 197 results of the total energy required for binding/stability of the ground state during the optimized 198 process were found to converge faster with the 12x12x12 k-grid points in the Brillouin zone of the FHI-199 aims code. We have found that a DFT LDA/GGA calculation of diamond and graphite electronic band 200 structure and DOS gives correct location and shape of the Fermi level, band gap, VBM and CBM. The 201 comparison between theory and experiment is better than could have been expected from band-gap 202 studies with similar formalism. 203

#### REFERENCES

- 204 1. Ruiz V. and Blum V. Theoretical Material Science: Electronic structure theory at the computer. 205 Exercise Sheet 9: Electron band structure and density of states. Based on an exercise by 206 Juergen Wieferink, Lydia Nemec, and Volker Blum, Fritz-Haber-Institut der Max-Planck-207 Gesellschaft, Berlin. 2012.
- 208 2. Charlier J.-C. X. Gonze and J.-P. Michenaud. First-principles study of the electronic

209 properties of graphite. Physical Review B. 1991; 43(6): 4579-4589.

- 210 3. Charlier J.-C. X. Gonze and J.-P. Michenaud. First-Principles Study of the Stacking Effect on 211 the Electronic Properties of Graphite(S). Carbon. 1994; 32(2): 289-299.
- 212 4. Saslow W., Bergstresser T.K. and Cohen M.L. Band Structure and Optical Properties of 213 Diamond. Physical Review Letters. 1966; 16(9): 354-356.
- 214 5. Louis A., Hamstreeet Jr., Fong C.Y., and Cohen M. L. Calculation of the Band Structure and 215 Optical Constants of Diamond Using the Nonlocal-Pseudopotential Method. Physical Review 216 B, 1970; 2(6): 2054-2063.
- 217 6. Belenkov E.A., M. M. Brzhezinskaya and V. A. Greshnyakov. Crystalline Structure and 218 Properties of Diamond-like Materials. Nanosystems: Physics, Chemistry, Mathematics. 2017; 219 8 (1): 127-136. DOI 10.17586/22208054201781127136.

- Blum V., Gehrke R., Hanke F., Havu P., Havu V., Ren X., Reuter K., and Matthias S. *Ab Initio* Molecular Simulations with Numeric Atom-Centered Orbitals. Computer Physics
   Communication. 2009; 180(11): 2175–2196.
- Thomas, L.H. (1927). The calculation of atomic Fields. In Mathematical Proceedings of the
   Cambridge Philosophical Society. 1927; 23: 542-548. [Reprinted in March 1975].
- 9. Fermi, E. Un metodo statistice per la determinazione di alcune proprieta dell'atomo. Rend
   Accad. 1927; Lincei 6: 602-607. (French).
- 10. Hohenberg, P. and W. Kohn. "Inhomogeneous Electron Gas," Phys. Rev. 1964; 136(3B):
  B864–B871.
- 11. Kohn, W. and L. J. Sham. "Self-Consistent Equations Including Exchange and Correlation
  E ects," Phys. Rev. 1965; 140 (4A): pp. A1133–A1138.
  http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/physRev.140.A1133
- 232 12. Parr, R. G. and Yang, W. Density-Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules. Oxford
   233 University Press: New York. 1989.
- 13. Abdu S.G. and Babaji G., DFT Calculations of the Lattice Constant, Stable Atomic Structure
  and the Ground State Total Energy of Silicon, Journal of the Nigerian Association of
  Mathematical Physics. 2013; 24 (1): 89-92.
- 237 14. Perdew J. P., K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof. Generalized gradient approximation made simple.
  238 Phys. Rev. Letter. 1997; 77 (18): 3865–3868.
- Perdew, J.P. and Zunger, A. Self-Interaction Correction to Density-Functional Approximations
   for Many-Electron Systems. *Physical Review B.* 1981; 23, 5048-5079.
   <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.23.5048.</u>
- 242 16. Tkatchenko A. and M. Scheffler. Accurate molecular van der Waals interactions from ground
  243 state electron density and free-atom reference data. Physical review letters. 2009; 102(7),
  244 073005. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.073005.
- 245 17. Pierson H. O. Handbook of Carbon, Graphite, Diamond and Fullerenes. Noyes Publications,
  246 New Mexico. 1993.

247	18. Owolabi, J.A., Onimisi, M.Y., Abdu, S.G. and Olowomofes, G.O. Determination of Band
248	Structure of Gallium-Arsenide and Aluminium-Arsenide Using Density Functional Theory.
249	Computational Chemistry. 2016; 4: 73-82. http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/cc.2016.43007
250	19. Abdu S.G., Adamu M.A, and Onimisi M.Y. Energetics of the Basic Allotropes of Carbon. 2018;
251	18 (1). (In Press).
252	20. Krueger A. Carbon Materials and Nanotechnology. WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA,
253	Weinheim. 2010.
254	21. Newton Ooi, Asit Rairkar, James B. Adams. Density functional study of graphite bulk and
255	surface properties. Carbon. 2006; 44: 231–242. doi:10.1016/j.carbon.2005.07.036
256	