



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Physical and Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOPACS_43400
Title of the Manuscript:	ESTIMATION OF RADIATION RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH RADON WITHIN RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS IN OKRIKA, RIVERS STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
8-22	Structure the abstract under the following subheadings; 1. Background 2. Study aim 3. Objective 4. Materials and Methods 5. Results 6. Conclusion	
15-19	Shall be in the discussion section, but in the abstract. Discussion of the findings of the current study with the International Standards or with the findings of the previously published studies shall be done in the discussion section. In the abstract the findings of the current study shall only be stated in the results section of the abstract.	
8-22	State the study design, sampling method employed and the study duration in the section of materials and methods of the abstract.	
23-24	Reduced the keywords to 3	
58-64	State the findings of at least two published works related to the current study	
65-69	Explain clearly the significance of the study in the last paragraph of the introduction section.	
72-79	State the study design, sampling method employed and the study duration in the section of materials and methods of the abstract. Explain in detail how the calibration of the measuring instrument was carried out.	
79-80	Remove the map, mention the selected areas.	
88-89	Remove the picture of the instrument, explain it in the text.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

152	Have separate sections for results and discussion	
153-202	Do more literature search and compare the findings of the current study with the findings of the previously published work.	
257-272	Summarize the conclusion to 2 or 3 sentences	
278-284	Include the website and the date accessed .	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Remove the numbering of the headings and subheadings	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammed Sidi
Department, University & Country	Department of Radiography, Bayero University Kano, Aminu Kano Teaching Hospital Kano, Nigeria