



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Physical and Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOPACS_38092
Title of the Manuscript:	A theoretical study of aniline and nitrobenzene by computational overview
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It is good piece of work with simple examples, and can be published. But the manuscript is full of spelling and grammer mistakes. It was hard to read and digest the manuscript. Please ask the authors to resubmit the manuscript after due corrections.	I try to make the errornless my manuscript again. In time of correction, the spelling and grammer mistakes are carefully checked. I resubmit my manuscript after correction.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		