



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Physical and Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOPACS_36244
Title of the Manuscript:	Excess Conductivity and Critical Physical Parameters of Y Substituted Ca Site of Bi: 2223 High Tc Superconductors
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Check the formula in the expression "alters the carrier concentration in the CuO ₂ planes". The English problem is also found here; "may be alters" should be "may alter". If possible, the format of the paper may be slightly changed. Experimental, Result and Discussion should be two different sections. The numbering in the references section should use the unified format for the journal.	Ok, thanks It was corrected to may alter by red color in page 3. Experimental and Discussion are separated. Refs. are written with a good way as well as international Journal. No need for article title.
Optional/General comments		