



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Physical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJOPS_31098</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Categorization of Morphometric Surface Through Morphometric Diversity Analysis in Kushkarni River Basin of Eastern India</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Paper presented a noble methodology where spatially distributed morphological surface was characterized by three distinct models namely RDM, DDM and MDM. Lot of GIS work has been carried out to estimate parameters spatially.</b>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Please describe how you got some of the parameters spatially for each pixel, which are primarily dependent on watershed like bifurcation ratio, drainage density, relief etc. For example, drainage density cannot be computed if you don't consider watershed area.</p> <p>Please revise Table No. 4, Placement of parameters are creating some confusion</p>	No, sir we have not got it for each parameter, we have sub divided entire map into significant number of grid and we retrieved it grid wise. It is mentioned at text.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Good paper with detail analysis.	