



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geological Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGER_41867
Title of the Manuscript:	Geochemical and Engineering Properties of Selected Lateritic Deposits in Akure Metropolis as Highway Subgrade Foundation Material
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	For the discussion section, on MDD and OMC, author needs to explain why the values are different in each location. What is causing the disparity? Any variations in all locations from top to bottom? I do not see detailed reason(s) why this is so. Also, for every explanation given for the results I expect to see citations showing other works which corroborates or negates the reasons behind the explanations. This also applies to the chemical analyses and explanation for the variation in silica to sesquioxide ratios.	OK Noted Noted
Minor REVISION comments	In the abstract and some sections of the general paper, ppercentage symbol should be typed close to the numbers. In the first paragraph of the introduction, laterite soils and lateritic soils were used interchangeably. It should be lateritic soils.	Noted
Optional/General comments	In the conclusion section, "would require" instead of "required" should be used. It was written in such a way that shows the samples are already being used as subbase and subgrade which is not so.	