



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_45819
Title of the Manuscript:	Proximate Composition, Phytochemical Analysis and Elemental Characterization of Raphia taedigera Seed
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript contains a number of grammatical mistakes which the author has to address before the paper can be accepted.</p> <p>In the paper the author claims that the high concentration of some elements revealed how useful the seeds.....in the body'. However, the author has just determined the different phytochemicals and elements present but has not studied any therapeutic uses of the seeds in his manuscript.</p> <p>The introduction is too general, the author could report mainly the phytochemicals present in R. taedigera and also explain what are the amount of the different components in proximate analysis for main fodder trees</p> <p>The author has to briefly describe the different methods used to determine the different parameters for proximate analysis.</p>	<p>The grammatical structure has been improved on</p> <p>The author statement was based on previous reports on sample containing similar bioactive component. For instance, the presence of "A" in a sample is known to be responsible for action.</p> <p>Thanks for your observations. Since little is known about the plant, the author wishes to give a wider report on the 'hidden' plant.</p> <p>The procedures are not novel and are from AOAC, 194 without any medication. I believe reporting again is not necessary.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Line 31: 390,000 is it the number of genus or species?</p> <p>Lines 53-55, 124, 140-141 need to be rephrased</p>	<p>Line 31: corrected</p> <p>Lines 53 -55 and line 140-141 rephrased appropriately.</p> <p>However, the line numbers might have changed now due to modifications.</p> <p>Thanks.</p>
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	